28th Conference on Integrated Observing and Assimilation Systems for the Atmosphere, Ocean, and Land Surface @ AMS 2024, Paper 7.5, January 30, 2024 2:45 pm Eastern, Tuesday





National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service January 30, 2024

Impact of Assimilating AMV Winds Using a Variational Feature Track Correction (VarFTC)

Ross N. Hoffman^{1,2}, Hui Liu^{1,2}, Kevin Garret³, Kayo Ide⁴, and Katherine E. Lukens^{1,2}

1: NOAA/NESDIS/Center for Satellite Applications and Research (STAR), College Park, MD

- 2: Cooperative Institute for Satellite Earth System Studies (CISESS), University of Maryland
- 3: NOAA/NWS/Office of Science and Technology Integration (OSTI), Silver Spring, MD
- 4: University of Maryland, College Park, MD

Key Points



- AMVs are almost everywhere.
- Experiments were conducted to optimize the use of atmospheric motion vectors (AMVs) in NWP by accounting for their error characteristics.
- Application of the variational feature track correction (VarFTC) method to AMVs has a positive impact on forecast skill.
- The anticipated synergy of VarFTC and Aeolus winds was not apparent in the current experiments.





Introduction



- AMV winds are assimilated in NWP systems and shown positive impact.
- Can we improve how we characterize AMVs' errors and how we assimilate AMVs ?
 - AMVs may have bias/uncertainty due to height assignment errors.
 - AMVs may have additional wind speed biases.
 - AMVs may be representative of a thick layer motion with depth up to a few hundred hPa, not a single atmospheric level.
- A feature track correction (FTC, Hoffman et al, 2022) statistically accounts for these aspects of AMVs using higher quality wind profiles as a reference.
- ESA Aeolus winds provided high vertical resolution and accurate global 3D wind profiles observed. Can these anchor the FTC procedure ?
- A prototype of the FTC is implemented in NCEP/GSI data assimilation (DA) and tested with and without Aeolus winds to assess the impact of Aeolus winds on the VarFTC assimilation of the AMVs.





VarFTC OSE Setup

- OSEs
 - Base: Operational setting
 - FTC: Base + VarFTC observation operator (for all AMVs)
 - DWL: Base + (all) Aeolus winds
 - FTC+DWL: Base + VarFTC observation operator + Aeolus winds
- NCEP global-work flow v15.3 at C384L64 resolution (see Garrett et al. 2022)
- The OSEs are run from Aug 2 to Sep 16, 2019.
- The results are presented Aug 7 Sept 16, 2019.
- Forecast verification is relative to ECMWF analyses.





VarFTC OSE Motivation

- Base: Operational settings
- FTC: Base + VarFTC observation operator
 - What is the impact of the VarFTC method alone ?
- DWL: Base + Aeolus assimilation
 - What is the impact of the Aeolus data alone ?
- FTC+DWL: Base + VarFTC + Aeolus
 - What is the synergy of VarFTC and Aeolus ?
 - Does Aeolus provide useful anchoring observations ?





The Feature Track Correction (FTC)

- The FTC is implemented as a vertical averaging observation operator of background winds (u and v) around each AMV wind location:
 - $u^{b} = \sum (W_{k} u_{k}) + \Delta_{u}$

$$- v^{b} = \sum (W_{k} v_{k}) + \Delta_{v}$$

- Where
 - $\circ \quad u_k, v_k$: background u and v estimate for FTC averaging grid k
 - $\circ \quad W_k \quad : \text{weight at FTC grid } k$
 - $\circ~\Delta_u, \, \Delta_v \colon FTC$ estimated u and v bias correction terms
 - o k is the index of the FTC averaging grid around each AMV
 - K= -12 to 12
 - $p_k = p^o + / k \delta_p$, with $\delta_p = 25$ hPa
 - k=0 is the AMV level, p=p°;
 - u^b , v^b : background estimates of the AMV u and v



The FTC average grid can move up/down wrt to the AMV OBS; and has tunable depths

Calculation of the FTC Parameters

- FTC minimizes a cost function of the innovations based on the FTC observation operator
 - Define a search list of averaging layer of thickness (T) and offset
 (H) wrt the AMV OBS level.
 - For each pair T and H, linear regression to find the multiplicative and additive coefficients of FTC.
 - Find the optimal T and H with minimum of the cost function.

Example: AHVRR IR in SHX; low layer (Samples ~600/cycle)



12 prior cycles Jc HI 1000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 LO

Range [0, 14171.51399] mapped to [0, 100]







FTC Statistics in OSE FTC (all layers, global, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019)

- Typical averaging layer is 150 hPa
- Typical height correction is 15 hPa (except for VIIRS);
- That is, compare the AMVs to the 150 hPa layer average background 15 hPa higher in the atmosphere
- Note much larger numbers for GOES AMVs.
- Additive corrections are order
 0.25 m/s (seen on next slide)





Impact of Aeolus on FTC Bias Correction (High Layer, Tropics, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019)





National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service

AMV OBS Errors Assigned in GSI

- **GOES AMV errors** assigned in GSI are larger than other AMVs
- These were modified to account for the improvement in fit in the FTC experiments

AMV OmB Wind Statistics (SHX, Tropics, and NHX, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019)

- FTC operator reduces biases.
- FTC operator reduces standard deviation by order 4%.

National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service

Sonde and Aircraft OmB Wind RMSE (SHX, Tropics, and NHX, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019)

 FTC operator w/o Aeolus slightly increases Radiosonde/ Aircraft OmB RMSD in Tropics and SHX.

ASCAT OmB Wind Statistics (SHX and Tropics, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019)

500 hPa Height RMSE Forecast Skill (SHX and Tropics, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019, ECMWF verification)

- In SHX, DWL gives significant improvements
- In Tropics, FTC gives significant improvements

Wind RMSE Differences (SHX and Tropics, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019, ECMWF verification)

National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service

Forecast Score Cards (RMSE, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019, ECMWF verification)

V

700hPa

850hPa

100hPa

200hPa

700hPa

850hPa

100hPa

200hPa

700hPa

850hPa

Wind 500hPa

Temp 500hPa

FTCDWL vs. DWL

Forecast Score Cards (RMSE, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019, ECMWF verification)

Forecast Score Cards (RMSE, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019, ECMWF verification)

Quantitative Assessment of Forecast Skill (SAMs) (Summary Assessment Metrics, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019, ECMWF verification)

Better

Worse

Overall

- FTC improves forecast skill modestly;
- DWL improves forecast skill significantly;
- DWL+FTC not significantly better than DWL alone.

Positive impacts of FTC are seen in the next slide mostly

- In the Tropics and SHX and
- For height and
- For forecast times centered on days 6-7

Quantitative Assessment of Forecast Skill (SAMs) (Summary Assessment Metrics, Aug 7 - Sep 16, 2019, ECMWF verification)

Worse Better

National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service

- Variance explained by the FTC operator on the dependent sample is on the order of 3-4%
- In the data assimilation experiments the standard deviation of OMB is reduced on order of 4%.
- Overall, FTC improves forecast skill modestly, DWL significantly, and DWL+FTC not significantly better than DWL alone.
- Will a future DWL provide better anchoring of wind observations?

Thank you

- Contact: <u>ross.n.hoffman@noaa.gov</u>
- Previous project studies
 - Aeolus OSE study: Garrett et al. 2022, QJRMS, doi: 10.1002/qj.4331
 - FTC study: Hoffman et al. 2022, QJRMS, doi: 10.1002/qj.4207
 - Aeolus AMV collocation study: Lukens et al. 2022, AMT, 10.5194/amt-15-2719-2022
 - Aeolus TLS bias correction study: Liu et al. 2022, AMT, 10.5194/amt-15-3925-2022
 - Aeolus background sensitivity: Liu et al. 2023, QJRMS, 10.1002/qj.4600
- Acknowledgments
 - The authors acknowledge support from the NOAA/NESDIS Office of Projects, Planning, and Acquisition (OPPA) Technology Maturation Program (TMP) through CICS and CISESS at the University of Maryland/ESSIC (grant nos. NA14NES4320003 and NA19NES4320002) and CIMSS at the University of Wisconsin-Madison (grant no. NA20NES4320003).

