

### Introduction

- Surface sensible heat flux induced by precipitation  $(Q_P)$  is dependent on two fluctuating variables: rain rate (R), and the temperature difference between the raindrops and the surface  $(T_0 - T_R)$ .
- This primarily has a cooling effect at the surface but is a notably small flux which is not taken into account by most climate models (Curry et al., 1999).
- During extreme rainfall events, Q<sub>P</sub> can exceed both sensible and latent heat flux on hourly time scales.
- In high-rainfall regions, this flux plays a considerable role in the earth-atmosphere energy balance.

## **Observed Data**

- U.S. DOE Tropical Western Pacific (TWP) site C3.
- Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) site collected various atmospheric properties used to estimate hourly  $Q_P$ . **Reanalysis Data**
- ERA5 Land data used to estimate

hourly  $Q_P$ .



Fig. 1 & 2 (top & bottom). satellite view of TWP region, green marker indicates ARM site location in Darwin, Australia.







Fig. 4. ARM data collection equipment.

$Q_P = C_W \times \rho \times R \times (T_0 - T_R)$
$C_W$ = specific heat of rainwater [4,128 J kg
$\rho$ = density of rainwater [1,000 kg m <sup>-3</sup> ]
R = rain rate [m s <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ], T <sub>0</sub> = skin temperatur
T <sub>R</sub> = raindrop temperature [K], which is es
using the wet bulb temperature (Gosnell e

# Precipitation-Induced Surface Sensible Heat Flux: Comparison of Observed and **Reanalysis Estimates in Darwin, Australia**

Gabriel Taylor (gtaylor2021@my.fit.edu)

Dr. Pallav Ray, Dr. Milla Costa, Connor Welch, Florida Institute of Technology

### Methods

Location and Data (Datasets from 2009)

Methods (Estimating Sensible Heat of Rainfall)  $g^{-1} K^{-1}$ ]

> e [K] stimated by et al., 1995)

Observed data from the ARM site in Darwin was used to determine the error of reanalysis Q<sub>P</sub> (from ERA5-Land) during 2009 and for each season. Additional comparisons were made using Q<sub>P</sub> calculated from the two altering variables in  $Q_P$ : • ARM rain rate and ERA5-Land  $T_0 - T_R$ ERA5-land rain rate and ARM  $T_0 - T_R$ • These  $Q_P$  estimates were compared to observed  $Q_P$ to determine which of the two variables (rain rate

and  $T_0 - T_R$ ) from reanalysis have the greatest bias and which one may provide relatively accurate data.





Fig. 5. Hourly time series of ARM  $Q_p$  and ERA5-Land  $Q_p$  for the year 2009 at the Darwin, Australia Central Facility, units are W m<sup>-2</sup>. 
 Table 1

ERA5 vs. ARM $Q_{P}$	Mean	Error	<b>RMS Error</b>	Std. Dev.	
Yearly	0.497		1.747	1.675	
DJF	1.442		2.340	1.843	
MAM	0.207		0.827	0.801	
JJA	0.009		0.045	0.044	
SON	0.347		2.476	2.452	
2009 Q <sub>p</sub> Time Series (Darwin Site & ERA5-Land: 12.425 South, 130.892 East)					
17 5		— Qp	using ARM Rain Ra	te and ERA5 Ts - Tr	
1/.5		—— Qp	using ARM Rain Ra	te and ARM Ts - Tr	
15.0					



Fig. 6. Hourly time series of  $Q_p$  calculated from ARM rain rate and ARM  $T_0$  $_{R}$  and  $Q_{P}$  from ARM rain rate and ERA5-Land  $T_{0}$  -  $T_{R}$  during JFMA of 2009, units are W m<sup>-2</sup>.

Table 2						
ARM Rain Rate	Mean Error	<b>RMS Error</b>	Std. Dev.			
ERA5 $\Delta$ T vs. ARM $\Delta$ T	0.031	0.328	0.326			



Figure 7: Hourly time series of Q<sub>p</sub> calculated from ARM rain rate and ARM  $T_0 - T_R$  and  $Q_P$  from ERA5-Land rain rate and ARM  $T_0 - T_R$  during JFMA of 2009, units are W m<sup>-2</sup>.



- (fig. 5 & table 1).
- were recorded).





Table 3								
RM ΔT	Mean Error	<b>RMS Error</b>	Std. Dev.					
RA5 R vs. ARM R	1.144	2.258	1.947					
Discussion								
ERA5-Land heavily overestimated $Q_P$ during 2009								

Although infrequent, there were some instances where ERA5-Land estimated less Q<sub>P</sub> than observed by ARM (i.e. late September featured an event where observed Q<sub>P</sub> exceeded 70 W  $m^{-2}$  because of a 2hour event where rain rates of ~1.5 inches hour  $^{-1}$ 

Only similarity between ARM and ERA5-Land data is the seasonal trend (larger  $Q_P$  during wet season and less during dry season estimated by both datasets). JJA (peak of dry season) featured the best performance of ERA5-Land reanalysis data. ERA5-Land  $T_0 - T_R$  tends to be greater than ARM  $T_0 - T_R$  $T_{R}$  which led to overestimated  $Q_{P}$  values (fig. 6). ERA5-Land rain rate had the greatest bias between the two altering variables (fig. 7 & table 3).

### References

Reeder, R., Zhang, Y.C., Webster, P.J., Liu, G. and Sheu, R.S. (1999) High-resolution satellite-derived dataset of the surface fluxes of heat, freshwater, and momentum for the TOGA COARE IOP. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 80, 2059–2080. http://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1999)080<2059:HRSDDO>2.0.

Gosnell, R., Fairwall, C.W. and Webster, P.J. (1995) The sensible heat of rainfall in the tropical ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research, 100(C9), 18437–18442. https://doi.org/10.1029/95JC01833.

Ramos, C. G. M., Tan, H., Ray, P., & Dudhia, J. (2021). Estimates of the sensible heat of rainfall in the tropics from reanalysis and observations. International Journal of Climatology, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7363 Xiao, C., & Shaocheng, X. ARM Best Estimate Data Products (ARMBEATM). Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

### Acknowledgements

This research was sponsored by the Earth and Environment System Sciences Division of the DOE. Special thanks to the PI, Dr. Pallav Ray, and the course instructor Dr. Milla Costa for governing this research.