Comparison of Marine Boundary Layer Cloud Properties From CERES-MODIS Edition 4 and DOE ARM AMF Measurements at the Azores Baike Xi(baike@aero.und.edu), Xiquan Dong, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND Pat Minnis, Sunny Sun-Mack, NASA LaRC **Objectives:** (1) Compare CERES team derived cloud height/temperature from MODIS (CERES-MODIS) Impact of drizzling underneath cloud base to with ARM ground-based observations during daytime (Figs 1A to 1C) and nighttime (Figs. 2A to 2C); the microphysical properties (Daytime only) (3) Analyze the impacts of drizzling on both ground-based and satellite cloud retrievals (Figs. 4 to 6). 63 daytime cases. **Ground-based measurements** from the following instruments: (1) WACR 95 GHz cloud radar provides cloud-top height (H_{top}) ; (2) Ceilometer provides cloud base height (H_{base}) ; (3)MWR provides liquid water path (LWP); (4) PSP provides solar flux; (5) Merged sounding provides temperature profiles. laytime samples *Satellite measurements:* CERES-MODIS (CM) Ed4 both daytime and nighttime overpasses over AZORES. Methods: 1-hour averaged properties for ground-based; 30 km×30 km averaged properties from CM. LWC. **Right: Neither H_{base} / H_{top} or** Left: Both H_{base} and H_{top} agree between ARM and CM even wher T_{base}/T_{top} do not agree between (d) ARM and CM. For detailed reasons heir related temperatures are not see Xi. et al 2014 <mark>o each other</mark> $LWP(ARM) = 92.8 \text{ gm}^2$; $LWP(CM) = 82.4 \text{ gm}^2$ $LWP(ARM) = 98.2 \text{ gm}^{-2}; LWP(CM) = 63.2 \text{ gm}^{-2}$ CM-ARM = 2.5K; std = 1.8highly likely $R^2 = 0.84; RMSE = 3.09 \bullet$ $R^2 = 0.82; RMSE = 2.$ 'izzling: Corr= 0.91 $LWP(ARM) = 35.9 \text{ gm}^{-2}; LWP(CM) = 116.0 \text{ g}$ $(2.1) - r_a(3.7) = 2.4$ um non-drizzling: Corr = 0.91 $r_a(2.1) - r_a(3.7) = 2.5 um$ ERES-MODIS T..... (K CERES-MODIS T. (K LWP(ARM) 🖹 61.6 gm²: LWP(CM) = 115.5 g CM-ARM=-0.068km; std= ($R^2 = 0.60; RMSE = 0.28$ $R^2 = 0.73; RMSE = 0.26$ size distributions . r_(3.7) LWP(ARM) = 1 X7.5 gm⁻²; LWP(CM) = 121.7 gn CERES-MODIS H. CERES-MODIS H_{base} (km) For daytime, the CM-derived H_{top} (H_{base}), on average, is 0.063 km (0.068 km) higher (lower) than its ARM radar-lidar observed counterpart, and the **Nighttime** (e) $LWP(ARM) = 94.7 \text{ gm}^2; LWP(CM) = 78.5 \text{ gm}^2$ CM-ARM= -2.0K; std= 2.60 CM-ARM= 2.3K; std= 2.6 Base (ARM) retrievals increase with increased ■ Top (ARM) $R^2 = 0.73; RMSE = 3.47$ drizzling underneath the cloud base, and their slopes are similar to each other. LWP(ARM) = 190.0 gm^{-2} ; LWP(CM) = 108.1 gm^{-2} ^{f)} LWP(ARM) = 42.5 gm⁻²; LWP(CM) = 252.2 gm⁻² **Summary and Conclusions** The averaged daytime $r_e(3.7)$ and $r_e(2.1)$ means are 1.3 µm (10%) and 3.8 µm (29%) larger LWP(ARM) = 202.7 gm⁻²; LWP(CM) = 73.8 gm⁻² (g) LWP(ARM) = 110.0 gm²; LWP(CM) = 64.2 gm² than the ARM retrievals; the average **T** values are about 4.1 (30%) lower than their ARM CERES-MODIS T_{hase} (K) CERES-MODIS T_{tan} (K) CM-ARM=-0.044km; std= 0.37 M-ARM= 0.137km; std= 0.34 counterparts; and the LWP(3.7) and LWP(2.1) means are 13.7 g m⁻² (12%) lower and 2.1 g m⁻¹ $R^2 = 0.32; RMSE = 0.37$ $R^2 = 0.50; RMSE = 0.37$ ² (2%) greater than the ARM retrievals. The 10% differences between ARM and CERES-MODIS LWP and r_{e} retrievals are within the uncertainties of ARM LWP (~ 20 g m⁻²) and r_{e} (~ 10%) retrievals, however, the 30% difference in τ is significant. (h) **DWP**(ARM) = 97.5 gm²; LWP(CM) = 264.5 gm² $WP(ARM) = 140.5 \text{ gm}^2$; $LWP(CM) = 66.4 \text{ gm}^2$ Analyzing all ARM LWC profiles for the 63 daytime cases, we find that non-drizzle cases 3.00 (26) basically follow adiabatic growth from cloud base to a given height within the cloud, and drizzle cases (37) have a low degree of adiabaticity, primarily due to drizzle occurrence near the cloud base. The retrieved r_e and τ from both ARM and CM increased as increasing contribution from CERES-MODIS H_{tm} (km CERES-MODIS H₁ (km) drizzling LWP (LWP_d>0.5g/m²). Daytime _(h) ● [⊥] $CM3.7-ARM = -13.7g m^{2}; R^{2} = 0.38$ ' CM-ARM= -4.1; R²= 0.43 CM3.7-ARM= 1.33um; $R^2 = 0.23$ SD= 0.53; RMSE= 62.5 SD= 0.27; RMSE= 3.6 SD= 0.43; RMSE= 7.2 CM2.1-ARM = 2.1g m^2 ; $\text{R}^2 = 0.37$ CM2.1-ARM = 3.75um: R² = 0.29 CM(site)-ARM= -2.3; $R^2 = 0.54$ SD= 0.55; RMSE= 62.6 $SD = 0.43; RMSE = 6.3 \bullet \bullet$ $300 \text{ CM(site)-ARM} = -3.3 \text{g m}^2; \text{ R}^2 = 0.42$ SD= 0.64; RMSE= 72.9 averaged results. Tau(CM) = 9.6; std = 6.4 $\operatorname{Fau}(\operatorname{at site}) = 11.5$

(2) Compare cloud microphysical retrievals during daytime only (Figure 3A to 3D); Data sets: *Time periods*: 200906-201012;















Re (um)

The ARM radar-MWR derived re profiles [Dong and Mace, 2003] plotted for 2 h centered on the satellite overpass. Note that the ARM re values in Fig. 3C are vertically and temporally (1-h centered at satellite overpass the ARM AMF site)

The right column represents the 1-h averaged ARM r_e profiles with matched $r_e(3.7)$ and $r_e(2.1)$ retrievals (regardless of CM retrieved H_{eff}). Cases (a, sample 53 in Figure 7) and (b, sample 11) assume adiabatic increase from cloud base to top, while cases (c, sample 50) and (d, sample **27) have drizzle near the cloud base.**

Acknowledgements

The authors are supported by the NASA CERES project under Grant NNL04AA11G and DOE ASR project DE-SC0008468.