

## INTRODUCTION

A commonly accepted paradigm is that the dominant mechanism separating electric charge in convective clouds is the non-inductive separation that occurs when ice particles collide and separate. If the separating particles are different in size, one will sediment relative to the other. If small particles on average end up with charge of one sign, and large particles with the opposite, net charge separation will occur over time in the storm. The sign of charge retained by the smaller and larger separating particles varies with cloud liquid water concentration, temperature, cloud water trace solutes, and perhaps other parameters. Another mechanism that is hypothesized to lead to charge separation is melting of aggregates. Tiny fragments released from the outer branches on average carry charge of one sign and remain near the melting level, while the main body of the aggregate carries the other charge as it falls further.

If charge separates in this way, one would expect to find microphysical differences between regions of cloud with net charge of one sign compared to regions of cloud with net charge of the opposite sign. For instance, lower concentrations of predominantly larger particles in regions dominated by charge of one sign and higher concentrations of predominantly smaller particles in regions dominated by the opposite sign.

Here are two examples of airborne electric field measurements . In the first, the instrumented aircraft finds itself alternately above and below a thin undulating layer of positive charge near the melting level. Microphysical properties in the cloud are uniform across the horizontal sheet of positive charge.

In the second, the instrumented aircraft crosses the path of a long looping horizontal lightning discharge. The lightning propagates along an apparent gradient in microphysical properties that can be discerned with a combination of airborne *in situ* and polarimetric meteorological radar observations.

Unfortunately, reliable particle size and charge observations are not available for either of these cases.

An instrument capable of imaging, and determining charge, for the same hydrometeor is needed for further progress in microphysical-electrical studies.

## Correspondence, or lack thereof, between microphysical and electrical boundaries in thunderstorms

Andrew Detwiler Atmospheric and Environmental Science Program and Department of Physics South Dakota School of Mines and Technology Rapid City, SD





the laser beam (shaded)



Caye, H., 2012. *Electrification of the 29 June 2000 Supercell Thunderstorm*. M.S. thesis, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology. 124 pp. Mo, Q., A. G. Detwiler, J. Hallett, and R. Black, 2003: *Horizontal structure of the* electric field in the stratiform regions of an Oklahoma mesoscale convective system. J. Geophys. Res., 10.1029/2001jd001140. Warner, T., J. H. Helsdon, and A. G. Detwiler, 2003: Aircraft observations of a lightning channel in STEPS. Geophys. Res. Letters, 10.1029/2003gl017334.

A long looping horizontal discharge through lower downshear anvil region of a Kansas supercell, at -10°C level appears to move along a microphyiscal gradient between predominantly graupel and predominantly aggregates



LMA map overlaid on reflectivity. Aircraft track in red

west.

Acknowledgments: Assistance from Heather Caye and Donna Kliche (SDSMT), and Kyle Wiens (AFRL) Funding from National Science Foundation ATM-0245147, Naval Postgraduate School N00244-12-2-007, and the State of South Dakota

Presented at the American Meteorological Society's 14<sup>th</sup> Conference on Cloud Physics, July 7-11, 2014, in Boston, Massachusetts