TRAJECTORIES OF CLOUD DROPLETS AROUND A RAIN DROP OBSERVED IN MAINZ VERTICAL WIND TUNNEL

J. Fugal^{1*,2} A. Górska^{1,3}, S. K. Mitra², S. P. Malinowski^{1,2}, Miklos Szakall², Nadine von Blohn², Alexander Jost¹, **Stephan Borrmann**^{1,2}



¹ Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany ² Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany ³ Institute of Geophysics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland



Introduction

Collisions are a crucial phenomena to understand aerosol scavenging by rain (Querel et al., 2014) and precipitation formation (Vohl et al., 2007), especially in certain regimes of collisions between cloud droplets themselves and between small rain drops and cloud droplets where there is a lack of data. Our experiment investigates collisions and near-collisions of cloud droplets

Rain drop trajectory and sizes





with a rain drop by means of in-line holography. Measurements are performed in the Mainz vertical wind tunnel in a laminar flow. We present details of the experimental setup, data processing procedure, as well as first results of selected droplet trajectories.



Experimental setup





рх

(x, y, z) = (9, 180, 12)

• Filtered rain drop trajectory showing also the drop velocity by color. • Rain drop size also shrinks in time, about 10 μ m (1 pixel) in 5 seconds. In this case, evaporation is stronger than growth by collision-coalescence. • Also the minor diameter of a fitted ellipse about the rain drop is rather consistently one pixel or ${\sim}10$ microns smaller than the major diameter perhaps due to droplet asphericity due to its fall (Szakall et al., 2010).

Collisions





are simultaneously measured.

100 0

120 8



= 16

• Particle size is unbiased and uniform with position in the flow.

• Vertical velocity is laterally uniform in the sample volume.

• Droplet size has a strong correlation with its vertical velocity showing the expected dependence of sedimentation velocity on droplet size (left figure). • Lateral velocity shows weak correlation with the lateral position (right figure).

Future work

• 45 seconds more of the same data set to analyze.

• Automate droplet injection.

• Further automate detection of collision and near-collisions.

• More measurements of different droplets and drops sizes.

• Higher-resolution and higher frame-rate camera to have better resolved tracks.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support of the Max Planck Institute of Chemistry for Anna Gorska, and the writers of the HOLOSUITE software which was used to process the holograms.

References

A. Querel, M. Monier, A. I. Flossmann, P. Lemaitre, and E. Porcheron. The importance of new collection efficiency values including the effect of rear capture for the below-cloud scavenging of aerosol particles. Atmos. Res., 142:57-66, 2014. doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.06.008. M. Szakall, S. K. Mitra, K. Diehl, and S. Borrmann. Shapes and oscillations of falling raindrops - A review. Atmos. Res., 97:416-425, 2010. O. Vohl, S. K. Mitra, S. Wurzler, K. Diehl, and H. R. Pruppacher. Collision efficiencies empirically determined from laboratory investigations of collisional growth of small raindrops in a laminar flow field. J. Atmos. Res., 85:120-125, 2007. doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2006.12.001.