The role of sub-grid mixing on the scale and evolution of **convective storms in high resolution simulations** Robin J. Hogan^{1,3} Kirsty Hanley², Thorwald Stein¹, Humphrey Lean², Robert Plant¹, John Nicol¹, Peter Clark¹ and Carol Halliwell² ¹Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, UK ²MetOffice@Reading, UK ³ECMWF, UK Correspondence to <u>r.j.hogan@reading.ac.uk</u> **5. Storm lifecycles** (b) 1500-m model (a) Radar observations Convection-resolving forecast models are the new frontier in operational regional forecasting, but how realistic are the simulated clouds? Does higher resolution always improve the realism? • In the Dynamical and Microphysical Evolution of Convective Storms project we evaluate the Integrated Rainfall (AIR) Met Office forecast model via a statistical analysis of thousands of storms on many days. Shower case • Test impact of model resolutions from 1.5 km to 100 m as well as mixing length and microphysics. ਓ 0.8 • Three dimensional cloud structures and estimates of updraft intensity & width are derived from the high-resolution Chilbolton radar using automated storm tracking to scan the 25-m dish. • Lifecycles of surface rainfall features compared to UK radar network (5-min and 1-2 km resolution). (c) 200-m model (d) 100-m model 30 60 90 120 150 180 Storm duration [min] and the second the second the ₽ 0.8 °0 0.6 Σ_{0} Time since t_{max} [min] **3D snapshots from deep case 25 August 2012** Instruct high-resolution Chilbolton radar ...and stacked PPIs to retrieve three-Simulated radar reflectivity using model's microphysical assumptions dimensional structure of storms. to do RHIs through storm cores... 3. Effect of mixing length 4. Updrafts Equivalent diameter [km] Model uses LES-type Smagorinsky mixing length Estimate vertical velocity by applying continuity Radar observations normally 0.2 times horizontal grid-length. equation to radial winds from single RHIs. Radar interguartile range Not perfect but sufficient to characterize mean —— 1500m model Thunderstorms: 25 Aug 2012 **Showers: 20 Apr 2012** Thunderstorms: 25 Aug 2012 updraft behaviour when applied to many cases. - 500m model ____λ_ = 300m - 1573 storms $\lambda_{0} = 300 \text{m} - 3274 \text{ storms}$ 200m model, 1.5-km 1.5-km ___λ_ = 100m - 3042 storms (b) ____λ_ = 100m - 1628 storms Shower case Deep case $\lambda_0 = 40m - 2047$ storms 40m mixing length ____λ_ = 40m - 4251 storms model mode - 100m model → radar - 3251 storms → radar - 4756 storms 6 10 17.8 31.6 Storm equivalent diameter (km) 6 10 17.8 31 Storm equivalent diameter (km) decaying part of the lifecycle. $\lambda_{a} = 300 \text{m} - 1540 \text{ storms}$ $\lambda_{o} = 300m - 3450$ storms 500-m _ = 100m − 4052 storms ____λ_ = 100m - 2094 storms -2 -2 $\lambda_0 = 40m - 3261$ storms 0 -4 $\lambda_0 = 40m - 5336$ storms Distance from centre [km] Distance from centre [km] → radar - 4756 storms (d) (C) **DYMECS References** .6 10 17.8 31.6 Storm equivalent diameter (km) Storm equivalent diameter (km) $\lambda_{0} = 300 \text{m} - 4101 \text{ storms}$ $\lambda_{o} = 300 \text{m} - 4722 \text{ storms}$ 200-m 200-m $\lambda_{o} = 100m - 4817$ storms $\lambda_{0} = 100 \text{m} - 4026 \text{ storms}$ $\lambda_0 = 40m - 4359$ storms ____λ_ = 40m - 4661 storms

1. Introduction to DYMECS



Track storms in surface rainfall data and prioritize them...

2. Surface rainfall comparison

• Surface rain rate snapshots (mm h⁻¹) from radar and two model resolutions for two contrasting cases:



5.6 10 17.8 31.6 Storm equivalent diameter (km) 5 10 17.8 31 Storm equivalent diameter (km) • More mixing kills small storms; little effect on large • No one value works well for all cases

----- radar - 3251 storms

model

model





• 1.5-km model over-predicts per-updraft mass flux by at least an order of magnitude. Updraft size increases steadily with grid size. 200-m model has updrafts of around the right width, but intensity not always right. • Increased mixing-length widens updrafts.

12 16 20



Weath. Rev.



Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., in press.

Stein, T. H. M., R. J. Hogan, K. E. Hanley, J. C. Nicol, H. W. Lean, R. S. Plant, P. A. Clark and C. E. Halliwell, 2014: The threedimensional morphology of simulated and observed convective storms over southern England. Submitted to Mon.

Stein, T. H. M., R. J. Hogan, P. A. Clark, C. E. Halliwell, K. E. Hanley, H. W. Lean, J. C. Nicol, R. S. Plant, 2014: The DYMECS project: A statistical approach for the evaluati convective storms in high-resolution models. Submitte Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.