## Addressing the Efficacy of the Base-State Substitution Technique: A Comparison of Simulations



#### **Base-State Substitution**

Base-state substitution (BSS) is a novel modeling technique for approximating environmental heterogeneity in idealized simulations. After a certain amount of model run time, BSS replaces the original horizontally-homogeneous background environment with a new horizontallyhomogeneous environment while maintaining any storm-induced perturbations (Fig. 1); this is repeated at a prescribed temporal interval defined by the model user.



Figure 1: Schematic of the procedure followed for base-state substitution. See Letkewicz et al. (2013) for more details.

#### Limitations:

- Total values of model variables are not conserved (perturbations are maintained, but not the base-state)
- The *integrated effect* of the storm moving across an environmental gradient over time is assumed to be greater than the *instantaneous effect* of small-scale spatial variations This assumption is central not only to BSS, but to ALL idealized models with horizontally-

homogeneous environments. Is this assumption valid?

### Methods

A pair of idealized model simulations, one using BSS (as formulated in CM1r17) and one using WRFv3, simulating the 5 June 2009 Goshen County storm during VORTEX2.

	<u>CM1</u>	WRF
Base-state	VORTEX2 near-inflow soundings:	NCEP North American Regional
conditions	2155, 2240, 2335, & 0057 UTC	Reanalysis (NARR)
Model grid	Δx, Δy: 250 m	Δx, Δy: 4000 m
spacing	Δz: stretched from 50 to 250 m	Δz: stretched, 29 vertical levels
Microphysics	Morrison double-moment	Morrison double-moment
Run details	<ul> <li>First 90 min: 2155 UTC sounding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Initiated: 1200 UTC 5 June 2009</li> </ul>
	• 90—270 min: restart every 5 min	• Complete: 0600 UTC 6 June 2009
	(2155 to 0057 UTC sounding)	
	• 270—300 min: 0057 UTC sounding	

**Table 1:** Model settings utilized for CM1 (using BSS technique) and WRF (fully heterogeneous, four-dimensional).

# Casey E. Davenport University of North Carolina at Charlotte

#### **Benefits:**

- Clean separation of cause and effect
- Independent modification of wind, temperature, and moisture profiles, giving the model user a significant amount of control over changes to the environment
- Allows for the study of how the same storm would *respond* to different environments



![](_page_0_Figure_22.jpeg)

KCYS on 5-6 June 2009.

![](_page_0_Figure_24.jpeg)

0125 UTC in CM1 (blue) and WRF (red).

Department of Geography and Earth Sciences

#### **Inflow Environments**

WRF Model--fully heterogeneous environment

#### Results

Figure 5: Observed composite radar reflectivity from

![](_page_0_Figure_37.jpeg)

#### **Summary and Future Work**

• The BSS technique is being tested to determine whether its assumptions (and those of all idealized models) are appropriate • Preliminary results demonstrate that WRF (using a fully heterogeneous base-state environment) and CM1( using a horizontally homogeneous base-state environment, temporally varying via BSS) produce comparable storm evolution and intensity trends • The WRF simulation will be re-run using nested grids to achieve a similar grid resolution as the CM1 BSS simulation • Additional cases from the VORTEX2 and BAMEX field projects will be simulated to test BSS in a variety of situations and environments Additional tests will evaluate BSS's sensitivity to varying microphysical schemes

![](_page_0_Picture_43.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_44.jpeg)

Figure 6: Composite simulated radar reflectivity (shaded) and 5 km vertical velocity (contoured at 10 m/s) from the WRF model.

Figure 7: Composite simulated radar reflectivity (shaded) and 5 km vertical velocity (contoured at 10 m/s) from the CM1 model.

 Both WRF and CM1 largely reproduce observed storm evolution (cf. Fig. 5 to Figs. 6-7)

• Finer details of storm structure are poorly resolved in the WRF simulation due to larger grid spacing than CM1. Even so, both model simulations shown broad agreement in storm evolution (cf. Figs. 6-7).

• Measures of storm intensity such as 5 km vertical velocity and vertical vorticity also exhibit similar patterns (Fig. 8)