The Effect of Assimilating Clear-Sky INSAT 3D Radiances on Heavy Rainfall Prediction over Indian Region

Krishnamoorthy C and C. Balaji Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India



Absract

Early mitigation of natural hazards like flash floods, cyclones etc. has been the focus of much of mainstream meteorological research. This study looks at the problem of heavy rainfall over the Indian ocean and ways and means to improve the forecast skill of such events. In this study, clear-sky infrared radiances from INSAT 3D, a geo-stationary sounder, are assimilated with a view to determine its impact on improving the skill in heavy rainfall prediction. In order to eliminate cloudy radiance observations, an adaptive threshold based cloud screening algorithm is used. Initial ensembles required for the assimilation are generated using an iterative EOF (Empirical Orthogonal Function) based perturbation technique, wherein the perturbations were made 24 hours prior to the proposed analysis time in order to allow for the model spin-up. The Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (LETKF) assimilation strategy is adopted. The assimilation of cloud free window channel radiances from INSAT 3D show improvements in the analysis especially for atmospheric layers between 850 - 1000 hPa. The assimilation shows considerable improvements in the forecast of moderate rainfall whereas for the heavy rainfall it shows no improvement when compared with the control run.

INSAT 3D

INSAT 3D, a geostationary satellite launched on 25 July 2013, carries a 6 channel multi-spectral imager and a 18 channel infrared sounder. The channel specifications of the infrared sounder is given in table 1.

Channel	Frequency $(\mu \mathbf{m})$	Туре	Channel	Frequency $(\mu \mathbf{m})$	Туре
1	14.71	CO2 band	10	7.43	Water vapor
2	14.37	CO2 band	11	7.02	Water vapor
3	14.06	CO2 band	12	6.51	Water vapor
4	13.96	CO2 band	13	4.57	N2O
5	13.37	CO2 band	14	4.52	N2O
6	12.66	Water vapor	15	4.45	CO2
7	12.02	Water vapor	16	4.13	CO2
8	11.03	window	17	3.98	window
9	9.71	ozone	18	3.74	window

Table 1 : INSAT 3D channel specifications

In this study, only clear-sky radiances were assimilated into NWP model. Hence the cloudy pixels were removed

Analysis and forecast verification

The analysis from the LETKF assimilation at 00 UTC of 30 November 2015 was compared with the GDAS FNL data obtained from CISL RDA. Figure 4 and 5 shows the domain averaged temperature and humidity profiles from the analysis and background.





The domain averaged values of RMSE in temperature and humidity is shown in figure 6 and 7





using the observed radiances. A Bispectral Composite Threshold (BCT) method illustrated by Jedlovec et al. [1] is employed. Wherein the clouds were detected using an adaptive threshold based screening procedure as illustrated in figure 1. The cloud detection methodology was applied to several observation datasets and it was validated with the operational cloud mask product derived from INSAT 3D. Qualitative metrics were calculated for different times (Table 2). It can be observed that the BCT method is very consistent in terms of the Hit Rate (HR).



Figure 1 : Cloud screening methodology



	<u> </u>	C				
able 2 ·	$\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$\cap t$	cloud	screening	methodo	$l \cap \sigma_{\lambda}$
	Companson		Cloud	Scieening	methodo	IUg
				0		0,

Time	12 UTC	06 UTC	00 UTC
PP	0.89	0.87	0.82
HR	0.94	0.78	0.73
FAR	0.13	0.06	0.14
TSS	0.80	0.72	0.60

PP - Proportion of perfect classification HR - Hit Rate FAR - False Alarm Rate TSS - True Skill Score

The observations were corrected for bias prior to the assimilation. The variation of bias with zenith angle is shown in figure 2. Figure 3 shows the frequency distribution of the Brightness Temperature difference for channel 18 before and after bias correction.



0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 RMSE in K

Figure 6 : Domain averaged RMSE in temperature

Figure 7 : Domain averaged RMSE in humidity

Accumulated precipitation forecast for 01 - 02 Dec 2015 and 02 - 03 Dec 2015 from control run and assimilated run is compared with TRMM gridded data and threat scores were computed.



Angle

Figure 2 : Variation of bias with zenith angle

Figure 3 : Distribution of bias before and after correction

Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model and LETKF

Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) [2] model was used to simulate the mesoscale dynamics involved in the present study. The model set up used for the simulations are listed in table 2. A domain spanning from 60° E to 95° E on longitude and 2° N to 25° N on latitude is used.

Table 3 : WRF model configuration

Equation	Non-hydrostatic				
Time integration	Third order Runge-Kutta				
scheme					
Time step	90 s				
Horizontal grid type	Arakawa-C grid				
Map projection	Mercator				
Radiation	RRTM (long-wave radia-				
parametrization	tion),Dudhia (short-wave				
	radiation)				
Surface	Monin-Obukhov Scheme				
parametrization					
Horizontal resolu-	15 km				
tion					

The initial and boundary conditions required for the model was fed from Global Forecast System data. The generation of ensembles were accomplished using an iterative Empirical Orthogonal Function based perturbations, wherein the initial fields were perturbed and allowed to run for 24 hours as a spin-up time. These ensembles were then used for assimilation. A Local Ensemble Kalman Filter assimilation framework developed by Hunt et al. [3] was adopted to perform the assimilation. The forward radiative transfer calculations were carried out using the RTTOV solver [4]. The horizontal localization was carried out using the physical distance based Gaspari-Cohn function whereas in the vertical direction normalized weighting functions were used.

Conclusions

- Assimilation of clear sky window channel radiances tend to improve the atmospheric specific humidity and temperature between 850 - 1000 hPa layers compared to the control run. The improvement in humidity in terms of RMSE is superior to that of temperature.
- The forecast of heavy precipitation for 00 UTC 01 02 Dec 2015, the overall assimilation showed improvements in the moderate rainfall ranges. Both the control and assimilated runs failed to capture the heavy precipitation. Whereas for the precipitation forecast on 02 03 December 2015, the assimilated run shows very good agreement with the TRMM data.
- Above presented methodology will be tested on various heavy rainfall events over the Indian Ocean region to reinforce the proposed limitations in assimilating INSAT 3D radiances.
- The limitations posed in this study, demands a more robust assimilation framework and efforts are underway to assimilate all-sky radiances from INSAT 3D sounder into WRF.

References

 Gary J Jedlovec, Stephanie L Haines, and Frank J LaFontaine.
Spatial and temporal varying thresholds for cloud detection in goes imagery. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 46(6):1705–1717, 2008.

- [2] William C Skamarock, Joseph B Klemp, Jimy Dudhia, David O Gill, Dale M Barker, Wei Wang, and Jordan G Powers. A description of the advanced research wrf version 2. Technical report, National Center For Atmospheric Research Boulder Co Mesoscale and Microscale Meteorology Div, 2005
- [3] Brian R Hunt, Eric J Kostelich, and Istvan Szunyogh. Efficient data assimilation for spatiotemporal chaos: A local ensemble transform kalman filter *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 230(1):112–126, 2007.
- [4] R Saunders, M Matricardi, and P Brunel. An improved fast radiative transfer model for assimilation of satellite radiance observations. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 125(556):1407–1425, 1999.