

GLOBAL MEAN SEA LEVEL-WHAT'S OCEAN CIRCULATION GOT TO DO WITH IT?

Session 9 Poster 141

Atmospheric and Environmental Research

<u>Christopher G. Piecuch</u> (*cpiecuch@aer.com*) & Rui M. Ponte Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Lexington, MA USA

I. MOTIVATING REMARKS

□ Global mean sea level rise reflects ocean mass & steric (i.e., density) change (e.g., ref. 1).

□ Due to the nonlinearity of the density equation of state, processes effecting global mean steric sea level (η_{ρ}) change remain opaque. □ Using a data-constrained state estimate (ref. 2), we diagnose closed budgets of η_{ρ} (e.g., ref. 3) to address the following guestions—

- 1 Are η_{ρ} changes mainly controlled by atmospheric forcing (i.e., sea-surface exchanges of heat & freshwater)?
- 2 Do oceanic transports (e.g., small-scale diffusion & large-scale advection of salinity & temperature) also contribute?
- 3 How well can the η_{ρ} budget be constrained by ocean circulation models?

II. GLOBAL CHANGES

□ Global ocean heat content [Fig. 1a] & freshwater content [Fig. 1b] from the state estimate over 1993-2003 show annual, interannual, & decadal changes, with the ocean gradually warming & freshening, in qualitative agreement with IPCC AR4 (ref. 4).

□ Consistent with warming & freshening [Figs. 1a-b], η_{ρ} over 1993-2003 exhibits long-term rise [Fig. 1c].

III. STERIC BUDGET

D What processes are contributing to the long-term rise in η_o over 1993-2003?

 \Box We formulate a budget for η_{ρ} in terms of advection, diffusion, & local forcing (ref. 3).

 \Box Long-term rise in η_{ρ} represents a slight imbalance between a positive trend due to atmospheric forcing & negative trends owing to oceanic advection & diffusion [Fig. 2].



1998 Time [years]

Fig. 2: Budget for η_{ρ} (black) in terms of advection

(red), diffusion (blue), & forcing (green) from the

ocean state estimate over 1993-2003.



 \Box How is it that advective redistribution contributes to the η_{ρ} budget [Fig. 2]?

□ Contributions from advection (as well as diffusion) reflect nonlinearities in the equation of state of seawater.

□ For advection to contribute, flow must occur across pressure surfaces (i.e., isobars) & across temperature or salinity surfaces (i.e., isotherms or isohalines) [see Fig. 3].

V. SENSITIVITY EXPERIMENTS

 \Box Are estimates of η_{ρ} changes [Figs. 1-2] sensitive to ocean model formulation?

 \Box To gauge the sensitivity of η_{ρ} changes to representational choices, a set of numerical experiments was performed such that the tracer advection scheme was varied.

 \Box Changes in η_{ρ} are very sensitive, with positive or negative decadal change resulting depending on advection scheme **[Fig. 4]**.

VI. MAIN CONCLUSIONS

✓ Both advective and diffusive oceanic transports make important contributions to global mean steric

sea level change [Fig. 2].

Contributions from oceanic transports reflect nonlinearities in density equation of state [Fig. 3].

✓ Estimates of global mean steric sea level changes from ocean general circulation models can be sensitive to tracer advection scheme [Fig. 4].

REFERENCES

- Leuliette, E.W., & J.K. Willis, 2011. Balancing the sea level budget. Oceanography 24(2):122-129.
- 2 Wunsch, C., R. M. Ponte, & P. Heimbach, 2007. Decadal Trends in Sea Level Patterns: 1993–2004. J. Climate 20: 5889-5911.
- 3 Piecuch, C. G., & R. M. Ponte, 2011. Mechanisms of interannual steric sea level variability. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, L15605.
- 4 Bindoff, N. L., and Coauthors, 2007. Observations: Oceanic Climate Change and Sea Level. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis.



Fig. 3: A thought experiment. Consider two water parcels (boxes) of equal mass. Parcel **A** (**B**) has temperature θ_A (θ_B) and salinity S_A (S_B). At $t=t_0$ (top), parcel **A** (**B**) feels pressure p_1 (p_2). Now imagine that a circulation (arrows) rearranges the parcels such that, at $t=t_f$ (bottom), parcel **A** (**B**) feels pressure p_2 (p_1). Does this scenario imply a change in steric sea level?

Given a nonlinear equation of state $p(S, \theta, p)$, it can be shown that the combined volume of the two parcels can change (hence steric sea level can change) between $\mathbf{1}_0$ & $\mathbf{1}_f$ under this scenario provided two conditions are met: 1 Flow is across isobars $(\mathbf{p}_1 \neq \mathbf{p}_2)$, δ ,

2 Flow crosses isotherms or isohalines ($\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ or $S_1 \neq S_2$).



Fig. 4: Changes in η_p produced under advection scheme experiments. Experiments are performed using the following differencing schemes for tracer advection: first order upwind-biased (red); second order centered (orange); third order upwind-biased (black; from Fig. 2); fourth order centered (yellow); third order direct space time without a flux limiter (green) & with a flux limiter (blue); & second order with a flux limiter (purple).

© Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Inc., 2013

© Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Inc., 2013