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- Future Exascale Computational Challenges
- Systems will have $\geq 10^{6}$ processors; Accelerators (e.g., GPUs)
- Current dynamical cores will be deficient


## - Current Navy NWP Systems

- NAVGEM Global ( 32 km ); COAMPS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Regional (1-15 km), ~100 areas

- Why Invest in a Next-Generation System?
- Unify (global-regional) Navy Earth System Prediction Capability (ESPC)
- Goal of $<5 \mathrm{~km}$ global and $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ regional NWP by $\sim 2025$
- Need an accurate \& flexible dynamical core \& exploit future parallelism
- NEPTUNE [Spectral Element core NUMA] Can Meet These Needs
- Numerical solution is represented by a local polynomial expansion
- Flexible numerics with high-order and mesh refinement options
- Small communication footprint implies excellent computational scaling
- Excellent potential for dense floating point computations (accelerators)



## Scalability

NOAA NGGPS Intercomparison


## Scaling to 3 Million MPI Ranks



NUMA Strong Scaling on Mira (Argonne) IBM Blue Genie/Q for 3-km resolution using 3 million MPI ranks

Number of Compute

- NEPTUNE has higher scaling efficiency compared to other dynamical cores
- NEPTUNE projects better onto next generation Exascale computing
- NUMA dynamics scales very well on standard CPUs and GPUs


## Optimization



- NEPTUNE kernels tested with various optimization methods on: i) Intel Xenon, ii) NVIDIA GPU, iii) Cavium ARM
- Results are very promising (4-5X speedups!).


## NOAA Dynamical Core Idealized Intercomparison Tests

## NOAA HIWPP Intercomparison of Next-Gen. Dynamical Cores

Baroclinic Wave Grid Imprinting Southern Hemisphere (Day 9, 120 km)


Splitting Supercell ( $\Delta x=500 \mathrm{~m}$ )
Hydrometeors (shaded)


- NOAA HIWPP dynamical core tests (baroclinic wave, super cell, mtn waves)
- NEPTUNE compares favorably to other leading cores for all idealized tests
-NEPTUNE has smallest grid imprinting of any core (4th order numerics)


## March 2018 Forecast Statistics

- Initialize NEPTUNE with GFS > 00 UTC 1-31 March 2018 > 120-h forecasts
- GFS physics using Interoperable Physics Driver (IPDv4) (no tuning)
- NEPTUNE: $13-\mathrm{km}$ grid spacing
- GFS: $13-\mathrm{km}$ grid spacing
- NAVGEM: 32-km grid spacing (soon to be 19 km )
- ECMWF analysis for statistics

500-hPa NH GHT Anomaly Correlation $850-\mathrm{hPa}$ NH Temperature RMS



- NEPTUNE at 13-km resolution has comparable verification statistics as GFS
- NEPTUNE at 32-km resolution has slightly better verification statistics than NAVGEM
- NEPTUNE (with GFS physics) is sensitive to the horizontal resolution (see Alex Reinecke, 4.2 at $3: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ )

Precipitation Forecasts


NEPTUNE 48-72-h Accumulated Precipitation (mm)


## 72-h 700-Pa T (March 2018)

 NEPTUNE-GFS $\triangle$ T_700hPa $2018030200 \quad \tau=72 \mathrm{~h}$


Superstorm Sandy (2012)
( $\Delta x-5 \mathrm{~km}$ )


- NEPTUNE/NUMA has numerous grid options (cubed sphere, icosahedral...)
-Next steps: Incorporate the Common Community Physics Package (CCPP) software

Data Assimilation Increments

- Cycle in NAVGEM / NAVDASAR data assimilation (no ensemble DA) and NEPTUNE
- Comparison of DA increments from single cycle
- NEPTUNE tends to have larger increments, but in broadly similar patterns to NAVGEM
-Linking NEPTUNE with NAVDAS-AR (4DVar) for cycling tests with physics


Arctic Grid: 925-hPa Temperature


Initialization: 2015110700

East Asia Grid: 700-hPa Zonal Winds


Initialization: 2015110700
-NEPTUNE unified the global and limited area capabilities

- Designed to meet Navy's limited-area unclass \& class domain requirements
- Used to efficiently test high-resolution physics without needing to run a highresolution version of the global configuration
- Mesh refinement: Increase elements where high resolution is needed
- Application of adaptive mesh refinement to global and regional NWP
- High resolution and computationally feasible
-Resolve relevant features/follow battle groups for Navy applications


Frank Giraldo and NUMA Team (NPS) coupling, post processing, diagnostics, verification...


## Summary

-NEPTUNE is designed to meet future computational \& scientific needs for the Navy ESPC

- Ideal for next-generation computers: highly scalable; amendable to accelerators
- Accurate higher-order numerics; flexible grids (mesh refinement); deep atmosphere equations
- Global \& regional coupled air-ocean-land-ice capabilities in a single unified system
$>$ Real data NWP and idealized evaluations continue to show promising results
>Optimization and refactoring of NEPTUNE code show significant speedups (4-5X) are possible


## Future Plans

$>$ Focus NEPTUNE development on unique Navy mission needs \& requirements (PBL, coupled...)
$>$ Partner with the community on major challenges ahead
-Physics, gray zone, dynamics, multi-scale DA (JEDI), exascale computing, coupling (ESMF)
> Next Steps: Real data NWP tests, PBL \& coupling, JEDI DA, cycling DA tests, high-altitude option
$>$ Targeted for operations ~2025
NRL has exciting opportunities in next-generation modeling and computational science.
Please contact James Doyle (james.doyle@nrlmry.navy.mil)
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## March 2018 Forecast Statistics

500-hPa NH GHT Anomaly Correlation $850-\mathrm{hPa}$ NH Temperature RMS



- NEPTUNE (with GFS cold starts) has improved verification statistics relative to NAVGEM
- NEPTUNE has better NH 500-hPa ACs and 850-hPa temperature RMSEs
- NEPTUNE has better winds at 850-hPa, 500-hPa, and 200-hPa
- NEPTUNE has a larger tropical temperature bias in mid-troposphere
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