Heavy Rainfall Event in Central Viet Nam in December 2018 and QPE/QPF at VNMHA Kazuo Saito^{1,2,3}, Mai Khanh Hung⁴, Nguyen Viet Hung⁴, Du Duc Tien⁴, and Le Duc^{5,3}

¹Japan Meteorological Business Support Center, ²Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute/University of Tokyo, ³Meteorological Research Institute, ⁴Viet Nam Meteorological and Hydrological Administration, ⁵Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology

1. Introduction

On 9 December 2018, a heavy rainfall event occurred in Central Viet Nam, In Viet Nam, meteorological disasters occur every year and their mitigation is an important issue. Since June 2018, a bilateral and at Da Nang, a record-breaking rainfall 972 mm was observed in 24 hours from 01 LST 9 December (Fig. 3). This heavy rainfall occurred in a cooperative project between the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) and the Viet Nam Meteorological and Hydrological typical heavy rainfall situation in Viet Nam, relating to the northeasterly cold Administration (VNMHA) for strengthening capacity in weather surge at surface. At 700 hPa level, southeasterly warm and moist air was forecasting and flood early warning system in Viet Nam has been lifted up, suggesting abundant water vapor convergence in the lower conducted (Fig. 1). This project is relating to S-band radars that troposphere (Fig.4). installed in Hai Phong (Phu Lien) and Vinh by another grant aid project Geostationary satellite (Himawari-8) images on the day (Fig. 5 left) showed in September 2017, and the Japan Meteorological Business Support that this event was not brought by deep convection but by the warm rain Center (JMBSC) is contributing to four scopes of the project on process, because the cloud top height of the rainfall system was not high. surface meteorological observation, radar maintenance and products, Relationship between TBB and rains by Vicente et al. (1998; Fig. 5 center), weather forecasting, and regional weather dissemination.

VNHMA has deployed about 1,100 AWS stations and nine radars in Viet Nam. Precipitation estimation from radar composite data are combined with AWS precipitation data and satellite estimated precipitation to produce precipitation nowcasting 3-hourly.





Fig. 1: Left) Concept of the JICA program. Right) S-band radar at Phu Lien.



Fig. 2: Left) AWS stations of VNMHA. Right) Meteorological radars of VNMHA (as of 2019).

Acknowledgments:

This study is supported by the JICA project for strengthening capacity in weather forecasting and flood early warning system in Viet Nam. The authors thank to Cuong Nguyen Minh and Nguyen Quang Vinh of VNMHA, Kenji Akaeda and Chio Kimpara of the JICA team, and Moeka Yamaji and Takushi Kubota of JAXA EORC for their help on radar data and GSMaP data.

2. Heavy rainfall event in central Viet Nam on 9 December 2018

 $R = 1.1183 * 10^{11} exp(-3.6382 * 10^{-2} TBB^{1.2})$

VNMHA used to estimate the rainfall intensity significantly that underestimated the rains around central Viet Nam (Fig. 5 right). Precipitation analysis at VNMHA (Fig. 3 right) also underestimated the rains.



Fig. 3: Left) Observed 3-hour rainfalls at Da Nang from 12 UTC 8 to 18 UTC 10, December 2018. Center) Observed 6-hour precipitation at SYNOP stations for 00 to 06 UTC. Right) Precipitation analysis at VNMHA for 00 to 03 UTC 9 December 2018.



Fig. 4: Global analysis at 00 UTC 9 December 2018 by JMA. Left) Mean sea level pressure and surface wind. Right) Relative humidity and wind at 700 hPa.



Fig. 5: Left) Infrared satellite image at 00 UTC 9 December 2018. Center) Relationship between TBB and rains by Vicente et al. (1998). Right) Three-hour rain estimated by Vicente et al. (1998) for 00-03 UTC (07-10 LST) 9 December 2018.

3. Application of GSMaP estimated rain

GSMaP is rainfall estimation operated by JAXA EORC based on satellite observations. Main source is microwave data from GPM satellites. GSMaP detected the intense rains over central Viet Nam on 9 December 2018 up to a point. As show in Table 1, operational GSMaP products are classified to several kinds according to their latency. Figure 6 shows hourly rainfall intensity by GSMaP_MVK and GSMaP_NOW. Since latency of GSMaP_MVK is 3 days, GSMaP_NOW is more suitable for real time application to nowcasting.

Figure 7 shows modified 3-hour precipitation analysis for 00 to 03 UTC 9 December 2018 corresponding to Fig. 3 right. Here, AWS data and Vinh radar data are used in addition to GMaP data. Figure 8 shows results of verification of GSMaP and Himawari-8 estimated rains against AWS observation. Both GSMaP_MVK and GSMaP_NOW notably outperform the Himawari-8 estimation.

data

