Objectives

•Using the outbreak ranking scheme developed by Shafer and Doswell (2011) and the areal coverage techniques proposed by Shafer et al. (2012), develop a probabilistic framework for diagnosing major severe weather outbreaks.

•Quantify the uncertainty associated with the diagnosed probabilities using bootstrapping (Efron and Tibshirani 1993).

•Compare diagnoses from North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR; Mesinger et al. 2006) data to WRF simulations initialized at 0000 UTC on the nominal dates of the outbreaks.

Data and Methods

•A set of 4437 severe weather outbreaks from 1979 to 2010 are ranked according to their perceived severity (as in Figs. 2 and 5) and are diagnosed as major or minor severe weather outbreaks based on the areal coverage of preselected severe weather diagnostic variable (SWDV). Note that the preliminary findings herein are **conditional**. A severe weather event is required for a particular day to be considered.

•WRF simulations initialized with NARR for 970 cases from 2003–2010 are compared to NARR data at the valid times of the events.

•Areal coverage is computed in three ways. The kernel density estimation method (KM) sums the magnitudes of a preselected SWDV at each grid point determined to be associated with the outbreak (the so-called KDE region, as discussed by Shafer et al. 2012). The intersect method (IM) finds the largest contiguous region in which the SWDV exceeds a predetermined threshold (the value of 1 is used herein for SCP and 0-3 km EHI) that also intersects the KDE region. Each grid point in the SWDV region is summed. The maximum method (MM) is the same as the IM, except that intersection with the KDE region is not required.





Figure 2 (left): The N15 scores (right y-axis) as a function of the outbreak's rank number (*x*-axis) for each of the 4437 cases considered from

This work is supported by NSF Grant

