INTRODUCTION

- In situ probes make near-ground pressure wind and measurements within close range of an intercepted tornado.
- > Do researchers using the probe measurements recognize whether the measurements result from the tornado being embedded in a background vortex (such as a tornado cyclone or mesocyclone or the combination of the two)?
- > High-resolution mobile Doppler radar data revealed complex vortex structures including quasi-concentric multiple wind field maxima, as shown in FIG. 1, for example.

OBJECTIVE

> The objective of this study is to examine how simulated dynamic pressure drops change as a function of multiple-maxima tangential velocity profiles coupled with cyclostrophic balance assumption.



FIG. 1. Fields of (a) RaXPol radar reflectivity (dBZ) and (b) groundrelative Doppler velocity (m s⁻¹) of the El Reno, OK vortices of 31 May 2013 at 2324:45 UTC. At elevation angle of 3.0°, beam altitude at a 5-km range from the radar site is 0.26 km AGL. Dashed range rings are marked every 1 km, with dashed spokes provided every 10° in azimuth. Black circles represent approximate core sizes of the primary (P), secondary (S) and tertiary (T) vortices. Very small circles indicated by arrows show the possible presence of satellite vortices. Storm motion is 15 m s⁻¹ from 204°. (Data courtesy of J. Snyder and H. Bluestein of University of Oklahoma.)



- $\Delta P_{tot}(r) = \Delta P_p(r) + \Delta P_s(r) + \Delta P_t(r).$

$$\Delta P_p(r) = \rho \int_{\infty}^r \left[\frac{V_p^2(\tau)}{\tau} + \frac{V_p(\tau)V_s(\tau)}{\tau} + \frac{V_p(\tau)V_t(\tau)}{\tau} \right] d\tau,$$

$$\Delta P_s(r) = \rho \int_{\infty}^r \left[\frac{V_s^2(\tau)}{\tau} + \frac{V_s(\tau)V_p(\tau)}{\tau} + \frac{V_s(\tau)V_t(\tau)}{\tau} \right] d\tau, \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta P_t(r) = \rho \int_{\infty}^r \left[\frac{V_t^2(\tau)}{\tau} + \frac{V_t(\tau)V_p(\tau)}{\tau} + \frac{V_t(\tau)V_s(\tau)}{\tau} \right] d\tau.$$

will be shown below.

FIG. 3 (right). The "reference" vortex refers to a primary Rankine vortex (red curve) in the absence of external (blue) vortices A and B. The reference central pressure deficit (gray) is -117 hPa. When a secondary vortex A is added, new radial profiles (blue) of tangential velocity and pressure deficit result in total central pressure deficits (black). Parametrically vary-ing the tangential velocity profiles (brown arrows) produces different central pressure deficits.

FIG. 4 (right). Same as FIG. 3, except that the central pressure deficits correspond to a change in the inner and outer profiles of tangential velocity of vortices C and

FIG. 2. A few adjacent azimuthal profiles of ground-relative Doppler velocities across the primary vortex signature (Fig. 1) centered at 5 km at 2324:45 UTC, 31 May 2013. Labels P, S, and T represent the primary, secondary and tertiary vortices, respectively. (Data courtesy of J. Snyder and H. Bluestein of University of Oklahoma.)

A PARAMETRIC WIND-PRESSURE RELATIONSHIP FOR CONCENTRIC CYCLOSTROPHIC VORTICES

METHODOLOGY

In an axisymmetric vortex, the assumption of balance between dynamic pressure drop and tangential wind speed is termed cyclostrophic balance with ρ being the air density assumed to be horizontally constant.

The triple concentric vortex structure can be considered as a triple vortex composed of the primary, secondary, and tertiary vortex configurations.

 \succ By isolating the primary tangential wind profile (V_p) from the secondary (V_s) and tertiary (V_t) tangential velocity profiles, the total cyclostrophic wind (V_c) profile in the cyclostrophic balance equation may be partitioned into the V_p , V_s and V_t velocity component: $V_c(r) = V_p(r) + V_s(r) + V_t(r)$, where the V_{p} , V_{s} and V_{t} velocity components are computed from the Wood-White parametric tangential wind model.

Substitution of V_c into the total partitioned pressure deficit yields:

The partitioned pressure deficit components are expressed by

 \succ The relative contributions of parametrically constructed V_p , V_s and V_t to the total pressure deficit ΔP_{tot} are explored and compared to elucidate the role of the partitioned tangential velocity profiles in the physical behavior of the corresponding pressure deficits at the vortex center, as



Radial Distance (km) from Vortex Center

Vincent T. Wood¹, Robin L. Tanamachi¹, and Luther W. White² ¹NOAA/National Severe Storms Laboratory, Norman, Oklahoma ²Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma

FIG. 5 (right). Same as FIG. 4, except that the central pressure deficits correspond to a change from a sharply-peaked to broadly-peaked profile of tangential velocity of vortex E.

FIG. 6 (right). Same as FIG. 5, except that adding a tertiary vortex F (green) to the primary and secondary vortices produces a change in the central pressure deficits.





CASE STUDIES

a few examples in which the We present parametrically constructed model is fitted to a tangential velocity profile derived from highresolution Doppler radar data collected in a real <u>Sub-tornado-strength</u> <u>Convective</u> storm <u>Vortex</u> (SCV) of 26 May 2010 during VORTEX2 (Tanamachi et al. 2013, MWR, **141**, 3661-3690).



FIG. 7 (above). UMass W-band reflectivity (filled color contours, dBZ_e) and GBVTD-analyzed, vortexrelative, asymmetric azimuthal Doppler velocities (sum of wavenumbers 0-3, in black contour interval of 2 m s⁻¹) of SCV at (a) 2236:31, (b) 2236:48, and (c) 2237:06 UTC at height of 150 m AGL. The abscissa and ordinate scales for radial distance (m) from vortex center are indicated. Asymmetries and locations of varying wind maxima and minima in the wind fields are noticeably changed.

Radial Distance (km) from Vortex Center

FIG. 8 (right). Radial distributions of the GBVTDanalyzed mean tangential wind (black dots) are presented. At 2236:31 UTC, two tangential velocity maxima (blue curve) occur, indicative of the dual concentric vortex configuration consisting of the primary and secondary vortices. The dualmaxima tangential wind profile to the singlechanges tangential maximum wind 2236:48 profiles and at 2237:06 UTC.



Time	V _{px}	R _{px}	μ_{pi}	μ_{po}	λ_p	RMS	сс	V _{sx}	R _{sx}	μ _{si}	μ _{so}	λ_s	RMS	СС
236:31	11.8	61.6	1.17	-1.35	0.69	0.04	1.00	6.4	306.8	6.56	-0.99	1.24	0.12	1.00
236:48	11.8	100.9	1.00	-1.55	2.21	0.09	1.00	1.3	383.4	17.06	-0.01	0.95	0.11	0.98
237:06	11.5	151.9	1.28	-3.38	7.56	0.21	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Table methc	Table 1. Fitted model parameters generated by the Levenberg-Marquardt optimization method for primary (subscript p) and secondary (subscript s) vortices at 2236:31, 2236:48,													

applicable.



FIG. 9 (above). Radial profiles of (a) fitted tangential velocity for the total (black), primary (red) and secondary (blue) cyclostrophic vortices and (b) pressure deficit deduced from the fitted tangential wind profiles in (a) at 2236:31 UTC (left), 2236:48 UTC (middle), and 2237:06 UTC (right). The black circles refer to the observed data points. Having the fitted parameters available in Table 1, we use the formula $[V_c(r) = V_p(r) + V_s(r)]$ to parametrically construct the radial distributions of the primary and secondary tangential velocities and also the other formula $[\Delta P_{tot}(r) = \Delta P_p(r) + \Delta P_s(r)]$ to integrate the pressure deficit inward radially to reproduce radial profiles of primary and secondary pressure deficits. As a consequence, the overall radial distributions of cyclostrophic and deduced pressure deficit in the dual-vortex configuration are produced (black curves). Wind asymmetries and locations of varying wind maxima and minima in the wind fields (FIG. 7) produce significant changes in the radial distributions of fitted tangential velocity and deduced pressure deficit between 2236:31 UTC and 2237:06 UTC. For instance, an increase in λ_p (i.e., 0.69 to 2.21 in Table 1) causes the radial profile of the primary tangential velocity encompassing V_{nx} to widen suddenly, thereby producing a central pressure drop from -2.49 to -3.83 hPa between 2236:31 UTC and 2236:48 UTC.

and 2237:06 UTC. V, is the maximum tangential velocity (m s⁻¹) that occurs at the core radius R_{x} (m). The tangential velocity profile is defined by (i) the growth parameter μ_{i} that predominantly dictates the inner (subscript i) profile near the vortex center, (ii) the decay parameter μ_0 that primarily governs the outer (subscript o) profile beyond R_x and (iii) the size parameter λ that controls the radial width of the velocity profile straddling V_x. CC represents correlation coefficient value; RMS root-mean square error. NA represents not

CONCLUSIONS

The Wood-White model coupled with the cyclostrophic balance assumption offered a diagnostic tool for parametrically constructing and estimating representative pressure deficit profiles deduced from a theoretical superposition of multiple-maxima tangential velocity profiles as well as from the fitted, GBVTD-analyzed tangential velocity profiles of the Prospect Valley, Colorado SCVs of 26 May 2010. The main conclusions of this study are as follows:

- The pressure deficit in a single vortex core has been shown to be sensitive to the varying shapes of the radial profile of tangential velocity.
- The cyclostrophic balance is partitioned into separate pressure components that correspond to multiple-maxima tangential wind profiles in order to quantitatively evaluate the significant fluctuations in central pressure drops.
- Varying any of the Wood-White parameters independently plays the same role in controlling various portions of the pressure deficit profile for a single vortex as for concentric vortices.
- The fitted parameters appear to be useful and diagnostic tools that permit one to interpret evolution of central pressure deficits corresponding to vortex morphology.

27th Conference on Severe Local Storms American Meteorological Society 6 November 2014, Madison, WI Contact: Vincent.Wood@noaa.gov

