



Summary of Historic Flash Flood/Flood Impacts

- Multiple waves of organized convection developed south of stationary front and in vicinity of outflow boundary, with high rain rates and damaging winds
- Max 24hr rainfall 8-10" (200-250mm), much of which fell in less than 12 hrs
- Multiple locations experienced record and near-record flooding on streams/rivers 23 fatalities (15 in Greenbrier County), well over \$100 million in road/property damage
- with thousands of homes damaged/destroyed



Synoptic scale surface maps and radar mosaics for 0900 UTC (left) and 1800 UTC (right) on 23 June 2016, indicating position of frontal boundaries and convective complexes. Maps created by NOAA/NCEP/WPC.



Radar reflectivity mosaic sequence for 0555 UTC 23 June 2016 (upper left), 1155 UTC (upper right), 1525 UTC (lower left), and 2125 UTC (lower right).

> GOES IR Imagery for the West Virginia Heavy Rainfall/Flooding Event Mostly from the Early Morning to Late Evening of June 23, 2016



http://rammb.cira.colostate.edu/ramsdis/online/loop_timestamp.asp?data_folder=goes-west_goes 404&width=640&height=480&ending_image=geir404_20160624061500.gif&starting_image=geir404_20160623031500.gif GOES IR Loop Infrared satellite (GOES-East) sequence from 0315 UTC 23 June – 0615 UTC 24 June 2016. Courtesy of WPC and prepared by Sheldon Kusselson (retired NOAA/NESDIS).



HYSPLIT trajectory analysis (based on GDAS) ending at 1800 UTC 23 June 2016, indicating low-level trajectories ultimately came from over oceans a couple days before.



-6-5-4-3-2-11 2 3 4 5 6

Precipitable water (mm) and anomaly (image) from CFS model, valid at 1200 UTC 23 June 2016. Black dot near location of max rainfall.



-6-5-4-3-2-11 2 3 4 5 6

Same as on the left but 850 hPa U wind component (wind barbs and anomaly).

A Review of the 23 June 2016 West Virginia Historic Flash Floods: Use of emerging observational technologies to monitor threats

Steve Keighton and Peter Corrigan NOAA/NWS Blacksburg, VA

stephen.keighton@noaa.gov peter.corrigan@noaa.gov





people; downtown Clendenin (photo courtesy Robin Young)



24 hr Multi-Radar Multi-Sensor (MRMS) rainfall estimates, biased-corrected with rain gauge data, ending at 1200 UTC 24 June 2016. Highest rainfall estimates are in Greenbrier County WV (8-10 in). Scale at top in inches.



24 hr MRMS rainfall estimates (based on radar-only) for 24-hr period ending 1200 UTC 24 June 2016, overlaid with rain gauge reports for same period (values plotted in inches). Zoomed over Greenbrier County WV. MRMS estimates appeared to slightly over-estimate in some locations based on these gauge values, however there were a couple of unofficial reports over 10 in. in Greenbrier County. Scale at top same as gauge bias-corrected MRMS image above.





a large area (green).



KFCX DHR (legacy) 24 hr rainfall estimates ending at 1200 UTC 24 June 2016. Rain gauge data for same period overlaid (plotted values in inches). Scale at top in inches, with blue representing 4-6", and red representing 6-8".

John Sikora NOAA/NWS Charleston, WV john.sikora@noaa.gov



Same as on left but for DPR (dual-pol) 24 hr rainfall estimates and different color scale at top. Blue is roughly 4", yellow is roughly 6", and orange roughly 8". It appears the DPR estimates are similar, perhaps slightly higher, than DHR.





Flooded Locations and Simulated Hydrographs (FLASH) (Courtesy Univ. of Oklahoma/CIMMS/NSSL, but now available real time at NWS Blacksburg and other offices)

> Sequence of Max Unit Streamflow (CREST model) 1600-1900 UTC 23 June 2016







CREST Maximum Unit Streamflow





Between 1600 and 1700 UTC (3rd of the four convective waves), modeled runoff across much of Greenbrier increased dramatically. FF warnings (FFW) were already in effect except for eastern part of county, which was issued at 1720 UTC. The FF Emergency for entire county was issued at 2041 UTC. The extreme runoff values seen in these products between 1700-1900 UTC suggest potential to increase lead times on warnings and statements with strong wording.

Summary

Historic FF event in terms of rainfall return frequency, fatalities, and stream/river flood levels

Radar estimates, including MRMS, proved quite accurate (perhaps slightly on the high side) in areas with sparse gauge coverage and other radar limitations (range, topography of region, partial beam blockage), and were crucial for good warning decisions

FLASH products (not viewed in real time during this event) show tremendous potential for monitoring FF and river flood threat levels, increasing lead times, and determining downstream impact locations and thus FFW polygon geography