



# Modeling temporal and spatial variability of leaf wetness duration in Brazil Clayton Alcarde Alvares<sup>1,2</sup>, Eduardo Moré de Mattos<sup>3</sup>, <u>Paulo Cesar Sentelhas<sup>3</sup></u>, Aline Cristina Miranda<sup>1</sup>, José Luiz Stape<sup>2,3,4</sup>

### Introduction

Leaf wetness is basically defined as the presence of free water over plant tissues and its duration is commonly named leaf wetness duration (LWD) (Figure 1). LWD is recognized as a very important conditioner of crops and forests diseases.

Among the LWD empirical models, the number of hours with relative humidity (RH) above a specific threshold is the most common and easy to apply. As for large scales, e.g. in a country level, the availability of weather data for estimating LWD is very restricted, the use of hours of  $RH \ge 90\%$  appears as the only alternative to assess the temporal and spatial LWD variability.

The objective of this study was to develop monthly LWD models based on the relationship between hours of  $RH \ge 90\%$  and average RH for Brazil, and based on these models to characterize the temporal and spatial LWD variability across the country, in order to support crop and forest diseases control strategic plans.

## Material and methods







Figure 3. Location of the automatic weather stations used for LWD modeling with hourly RH data.



Figure 4. RH and LWD from 58 automatic weather stations in Brazil. Bars represent data variability (± SD) among the weather stations.



Figure 5. Location of the mechanical weather stations used for LWD modeling with monthly

<sup>1</sup>Forestry Science and Research Institute (IPEF). Piracicaba – SP, Brazil; <sup>2</sup>Forest Productivity Cooperative (FPC). Raleigh – NC, USA; <sup>3</sup>University of São Paulo – ESALQ, Piracicaba – SP, Brazil; <sup>4</sup>North Carolina State University. Raleigh – NC, USA.



Results



Figure 1. Leaf wetness in a corn leaf in the early morning

Figure 6. General model to estimate mean monthly LWD as a function of mean monthly RH.

#### Table 1 - Coefficients of the monthly LWD models.

Month	Coefficients of sigmoidal model			Goodness-	Validation						
	a <sup>i</sup>	b <sup>i</sup>	C <sup>i</sup>	${\sf R^2}_{\sf adj}{}^{\sf ii}$	p value <sup>iii</sup>	r <sup>i∨</sup> .	ME <sup>v</sup>	MAE <sup>∨i</sup>	RMSE <sup>vii</sup>	dr <sup>viii</sup>	Pi <sup>ix</sup>
								h day <sup>-1</sup>			
Jan	24.24	7.39	84.30	0.91	<0.0001	0.94	-0.27	1.20	1.60	0.84	0.79
Fev	26.47	7.58	85.65	0.88	<0.0001	0.96	0.29	1.00	1.20	0.86	0.83
Mar	25.40	7.74	84.89	0.88	<0.0001	0.94	-0.25	1.30	1.60	0.83	0.78
Apr	27.06	8.02	85.70	0.89	<0.0001	0.92	0.03	1.10	1.50	0.84	0.78
May	38.23	9.50	91.66	0.90	<0.0001	0.93	0.31	1.10	1.50	0.83	0.77
Jun	38.73	10.67	92.80	0.88	<0.0001	0.93	-0.03	1.20	1.70	0.84	0.78
Jul	66.61 <sup>n.s.</sup>	11.81	102.03	0.87	<0.0001	0.92	0.14	1.20	1.60	0.83	0.77
Aug	102.16 <sup>n.s.</sup>	12.51	108.93	0.89	<0.0001	0.96	0.34	1.00	1.30	0.87	0.84
Sep	43.78	10.30	93.56	0.84	<0.0001	0.94	-0.03	0.90	1.20	0.85	0.80
Oct	31.35	9.30	88.44	0.88	<0.0001	0.94	-0.10	1.10	1.50	0.85	0.80
Nov	26.83	8.32	85.72	0.89	<0.0001	0.94	0.01	1.00	1.30	0.84	0.79
Dec	24.57	7.65	84.45	0.89	<0.0001	0.95	-0.17	1.00	1.20	0.86	0.81
Ann	31.31	9.13	88.47	0.87	<0.0001	0.94	0.04	1.11	1.51	0.84	0.80

<sup>i</sup> = coefficients of the regression model; <sup>ii</sup> = adjusted coefficient of determination; <sup>iii</sup> = significance probability; <sup>iv</sup> = coefficient of correlation; v = mean error; vi = mean absolute error; vii = root-mean-square error; viii = refined agreement index; ix = performance index; <sup>n.s.</sup> not significant at the 0.05 level

Table 2 - Coefficients of the monthly leaf wetness duration (LWD) models

	Obemeier								
С	Model	<sup>2</sup> Co	<sup>3</sup> Co+C	<sup>4</sup> Ao	C/(Co+C)	⁵SDI	<sup>6</sup> R <sup>2</sup>	<sup>7</sup> RSS	<sup>8</sup> r
		%2		degree	%			% <sup>2</sup>	
Jan	<sup>1</sup> Sph.	13.30	86.26	20.82	84.6	strong	0.98	122.0	0.76**
Feb	Sph.	10.00	65.92	20.17	84.8	strong	0.99	35.90	0.79**
Mar	Sph.	13.19	43.10	19.16	69.4	moderate	0.96	39.0	0.74**
Apr	Sph.	13.10	44.06	11.07	70.3	moderate	0.94	47.5	0.67**
May	Sph.	12.40	59.50	9.11	79.2	strong	0.97	52.0	0.71**
Jun	Sph.	19.40	91.60	8.66	78.8	strong	0.94	228.0	0.72**
Jul	Sph.	13.60	119.50	8.83	88.6	strong	0.95	378.0	0.77**
Aug	Sph.	0.10	143.10	8.51	99.9	strong	0.97	461.0	0.81**
Sep	Sph.	4.50	147.60	8.62	97.0	strong	0.97	371.0	0.82**
Oct	Sph.	29.20	143.00	18.68	79.6	strong	0.94	836.0	0.82**
Nov	Sph.	16.20	134.40	19.99	87.9	strong	0.91	139.0	0.82**
Dec	Sph.	10.20	146.20	21.25	93.0	strong	0.89	2160.0	0.81**

<sup>1</sup>Sph = spherical; <sup>2</sup>Co = nugget; <sup>3</sup>Co+C = Sill (C = structural variance); <sup>4</sup>Ao = range (degrees); <sup>5</sup>SDI= spatial dependence index, <sup>6</sup>R<sup>2</sup> = model adjustment determination coefficient; <sup>7</sup>RSS = Residue Sum of Squares; <sup>8</sup>r = crossed validation correlation coefficient. \*\* significant at the 0.001 level.



![](_page_0_Figure_34.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_36.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_38.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_39.jpeg)