

Model sensitivity to different boundary layer processes in predicting a severe weather event over Mecca, Saudi Arabia



Hari Prasad Dasari, Raju Attada, Yesubabu Viswanadhapalli, Omar Knio and Ibrahim Hoteit

King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) Physical Sciences and Engineering Division, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

King Abdullah University of Science and Technology



Out line of the presentation:



Model predicted mesoscale features of the event



Importance of Mecca, Saudi Arabia:

- The city of Mecca in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is the holiest city in the religion of Islam.
- More than 35 40 million Muslim pilgrims visits every year, including several millions during the 2 weeks of Hajj period in summer.



- This metropolitan city located in a narrow valley at a altitude of 277 m above sea level.
- The topography of the Mecca region is complex by nature, and height ranges between 82 m and 1200 m above mean sea level.



Vulnerability of EWE on 11 Sep, 2015:

In the city of Macca, on 11 September 2015 from 1500 to 1630 UTC, a sudden increase in the wind speed over a short span of time (~1 hour) together with severe rainfall caused to the collapse of a high-elevated crane that caused nearly 111 deaths and 394 injuries. (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mecca_crane_collapse</u>).



King Abdullah University of Science and Technology



Surface Synoptic charts from PME, Jeddah on 11 September 2015











Geopotential height (gpm) at 850 hPa





Meteosat-7 Infrared imageries on 11 September





c) 1700 UTC



Cloud image snapshot from MODIS





Spatial distribution of observed rainfall

Time variation of surface weather parameters







Model Configuration:

- Weather Research and Forecasting model used for this study
- ♦ Initial and boundary conditions are taken from NCEP GFS forecasting fields (0.25 degree resolution, 3hr time interval)
- Two-way interactive nested domains with 3 and 1km horizontal resolution are used



- WSM6 for microphysical processes and different PBL schemes are used for sensitivity study
- Model integration starts from 00UTC of 10 Sep 2015 and boundary forcing are updated at every 3 hour interval

Conducted Experiments for testing model sensitivity to different PBL scheme to simulate the Makkah evet



1.5-order closure turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) PBL schemes

MYJ	local	1.5-order closure	
QNSE	local	1.5-order closure	Intended to account for wave phenomena within stable boundary layer
BouLac	local	1.5-order closure	Design is relevant for terrain-enhanced turbulence
UWMT	local	1.5-order closure	Number of layers determined by vertically varying stability of the thermodynamic profile

non-local, first order closure

MRF	Non-local	First order closure	Incorporate downgradient diffusion expressed by local mixing		
YSU	Non-local	First order closure	Similar to MRF but entrainment represents at the top of the PBL		
hybrid, local-nonlocal closure					
ACM2	Local, non- local	First order closure	Represents upward fluxes within the PBL as interaction between surface and each layer above		
TEMP	Local, non- local	1.5 order closure	Represents by updrafts owing to upward heat fluxes from the surface through the PBL		

King Abdullah University of Science and Technology



1.5-order closure turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) PBL schemes



Skew T plots from University of Wyoming (Red: Radiosonde) on the representative day 11 September 2015 at 1200 UTC over Jeddah station along with different PBL schemes



non-local, first order closure hybrid, local-nonlocal closure Jeddah 2015-09-11_12UTC Jeddah 2015-09-11 12UTC Pitki/888110((0))15 Shox-2 Pwat(cm)-3 Cape(J)- \$989 Picl-840 Ticl[C]-19 Shox-0 Pwet[cm]-6 CapelJ]- 4258 (hPa) P (hPa) -20 -10 -30 Temperature (C) Temperature (C)

Skew T plots from University of Wyoming (Red: Radiosonde) on the representative day 11 September 2015 at 1200 UTC over Jeddah station along with different PBL schemes King Abdullah University of Science and Technology



13

Surface and height-section of Winds (m/s) for TKE PBL schemes.





Surface and height-section of Winds (m/sec) for different PBL schemes.





Non Local





Simulated reflectivity (shaded. dBZ) along with Equivalent Potential Temperature





Radar Reflectivity (shaded. dBZ) along with Equivalent Potential Temperature



King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

c) 1500 UTC a) 1400 UTC b) 1430 UTC 22N 21.91 21.9 2400 21.8N 21.8 2200 2200 21.7N 21.7 21.7 2000 2000 21.6N 21.6N 1800 1800 21.5N 21.521.5N 1600 1600 21.4N 21.4 21.4N 1400 1400 21.3N 21.3N 1200 1200 21.2N 21 2N 21.2N 1000 1000 21.11 21.1N 21.1N 800 800 21N 211 21N 600 600 20.9N 20.9N 20.9N 400 400 20.8N 20.8N 20.8N 200 20.7N 20.71 200 20.71 20.6N 20.61 100 20.61 20.5N 20.5N 20.5 39E 39.2E39.4E39.6E39.8E 40E 40.2E40.4E40.6E40.8E 41E 39E 39.2E39.4E39.6E39.8E 40E 40.2E40.4E40.6E40.8E 41E 39E 39.2E39.4E39.6E39.8E 40E 40.2E40.4E40.6E40.8E 41E d) 1530 UTC e) 1600 UTC f) 1630 UTC 22N 221 21.9 21.9 21.9 2400 21.8N 21.8N 21.8N 2200 21.7N 21.7N 21.7N 2000 21.61 21.6N 21.6N 1800 21.5N 21.5N 21.5N 1600 21.4N 21.4N 21.4N 21.3N 1400 21.3N 21.3N 1200 21.2N 21.2N 21.2N 21.1 1000 21.1 21.1N 21N 800 21N 21N 20.9N 20.91 600 20.9N 20.8N 20.8N 400 20.81 20.7N 20.7N 200 20.6N 20.6N 20.6N 20.5 20.5N 20.51 2E39.4E39.6E39.8E 40E 40.2E40.4E40.6E40.8E 41E 39F 39.2F39 40F 40.2F40.4F40.6F40 40E 40.2E40.4E40.6E40.8E 41E **BouLac PBL scheme is used for further analysis**

Characteristics of extreme event:

Spatial distribution of model produced winds (m/s) at 10m height on 11 September 2015. Shaded is the model topography. Contours are wind magnitudes.

King Abdullah University of Science and Technology



Spatial distribution of simulated maximum radar reflectivity (dBz) at different times on 11 September 2015

a) 1400 UTC



d) 1530 UTC



b) 1430 UTC



e) 1600 UTC



c) 1500 UTC



f) 1630 UTC





Spatial distribution of model simulated accumulated rainfall (mm) from 1530 UTC, 1600UTC, 1630 UTC and 1700UTC on 11 September 2015



d) 1600 UTC



Time height cross section of anomalies for (a) Temperature (K), (b) Potential Temperature (K), (c) zonal wind (ms⁻¹) and (d) meridional winds (ms⁻¹) over Mecca region on 11 September 2015



nd

Simulated vertical profiles of mixing ratio of (a) cloud ice, (b) cloud water, (c) rain water and d) vertical velocities at a grid point containing Mecca region on 1530 UTC 11 September 2015.



21



Summary:

- Extreme weather event is initially associated with the synoptic conditions and then intensifies by interaction with the local orography, leads to severe rainfall along with sudden gusty winds.
- Moisture from the Red sea through a channeling flow feeds the convective storm and intensifies the convection in the early evening.
- The model sensitivity analysis from different PBL schemes revealed that the local, 1.5 order TKE closure schemes are more suitable in predicting the characteristics of rainfall and gale winds.
- Other non-local first order closure and hybrid schemes are not able to generate gale winds as well as rainfall.



Summary:

- Few hours prior to the storm, between the surface and 700 hPa level, the temperature warms up by 3 °C and a sudden fall of 5 °C is then recorded at the peak time of the event. These changes in temperature modulated the wind flow and then the interaction of the local topography with winds leads to an extreme event.
- It is observed that the strong vertical velocities are responsible for the development of microphysics (frozen hydrometers and liquid hydrometers) and associated precipitation.
- Simulation results suggests that the WRF model at high resolution is more suitable in predicting these type of events.



Acknowledgments:

The authors are thankful to King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Saudi Arabia and the Saudi ARAMCO-KAUST Marine Environmental Research Center (SAKMERC) for funding to carry out this research.

Thank you very much for your attention