





#### Behavior of Stable Surface Layer in the NCEP Global Forecast System

#### Weizhong Zheng<sup>1,2</sup> and Michael Ek<sup>1</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>NOAA/NCEP/Environmental Modeling Center(EMC), USA <sup>2</sup>IMSG@NOAA/NCEP/EMC, USA

Email: Weizhong.Zheng@noaa.gov

Acknowledges: Helin Wei, Jesse Meng, Jongil Han, Ruiyu Sun, Fanglin Yang, Geoffrey Manikin, Glenn White, Mark Iredell and Ken Mitchell



22<sup>nd</sup> Symposium on Boundary Layers and Turbulence, Salt Lake City, UT, 20-24 June, 2016

## **Motivations:**

• What is the problem about GFS surface temperature forecast?

One of <u>Top 10</u> problems in the GFS
NWS Field Office, NCEP/EMC Model Evaluation Group (MEG)

• What causes this kind of problem?

- Understanding of stable boundary layer (SBL) processes

• How to solve the problem? – An approach to fix the problem

#### **Ops GFS: T2m Forecast Verification Statistics for Jan 2016**



#### Comparison of T<sub>2m</sub> (F): NAM, GFS and Obs, 00UTC, 2015-02-17



## GFS/GFSX T2m @ MRB Matinsburg RGNL, WV

00Z 01/24/2016 Cycle

T2m @ KMRB



*Ops GFS or GFSX: Rapidly cooling up to 15 °C during 3hr; About 13 degrees of cold bias at 00Z, 25 Jan. GFSX: Became current operational version on May 11, 2016.* 

#### Schematic view of land-atmosphere stable boundary layer



Others: pressure force, mesoscale motions, gravity waves, etc.

Night-time surface energy budget (LHF is small so neglected):

(A) Under turbulence:  $H+R+G_0 \sim Q_{net} ==> quasi-steady state$ (B) Under cessation of turbulence:  $R+G_0 \sim Q_{net} + (others) ===> new state$ 

The system may reach different equilibrium states !

#### **Monin-Obukov Similarity Theory in GFS (SBL)**

The flux-profile has no limitation of a finite critical bulk Richardson number throughout a continuous range of the stable regime.

## **Negative feedback / positive feedback in SBL**



**Bifurcation diagram: Turbulence vs cooling rates.** *Linear stability analysis: Stable/unstable equilibrium states* 

 $Z/L < z/L|_M = ln(z/z_0)/[2*\alpha^*(1-z_0/z)]$ Here z0 is the momentum roughness length, and  $\alpha = 5$ .

## GFS Test: T2m 00Z, 2016-01-24 Cycle



GFS Test: Increase  $T_{2m}$  and reduce cold bias

#### T2m @ MRB Matinsburg RGNL, WV



CTL: Rapidly cooling more than 15 °C during 3hr; EXP: Substantially improved

## GFS Test: T1, T2m and Tskin @ MRB

T1: Temperature at the lowest model level (Blue); T2m: Red; Tskin: Black



<u>CTL:</u> Large difference between T1 and T2m (or Tskin) during a period of nighttime on 1/25. <u>EXP:</u> Substantially improved not only T2m, but also Tskin and T1.

## **GFS Test:** Surface Fluxes and Ustar @ MRB

**GFS: CTL** 

**GFS:** Test



Cessation of turbulence: SHF, Ustar  $\rightarrow 0$ 

SHF: Sensible heat flux; Rn: Net downward radiation; LHF: Latent heat flux; GFLUX: Soil heat flux;

**Ustar: Friction velocity** 



## **Summary/Discussion**

• The GFS T2m excessive cold bias is closely related to the positive/negative feedback between the land and the atmosphere under stable conditions.

• The modifications were proposed to fix the T2m cold bias, which prevented the coupling system from decoupling.

• The case study for snow-free or snow pack indicates the modifications can remove the large cold biases of T2m and Tskin, and temperature at the first model level was also improved.

• We plan to include these modifications in next upgrade operational GFS model in 2017.

• In the future, new land data sets (e.g. veg/soil types, new GVF, albedo, etc.) will be updated in the model and expect to further reduction of T2m bias.

# **Thank You !**

## Any questions/comments?