## Ice Concentration Retrieval in Stratiform Mixed-phase Clouds Using Cloud Radar Reflectivity Measurement and 1-D Ice Growth Model Simulations Damao Zhang<sup>1</sup>(<u>dzhang4@uwyo.edu</u>), Zhien Wang<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Heymsfield<sup>2</sup>, and Jiwen Fan<sup>3</sup>

**1. Motivations:** The simple ice generation and growth pattern in stratiform mixed-phase clouds (SMC) offers opportunities to use **4. N\_ice Retrieval and Validations** cloud radar reflectivity (Z<sub>e</sub>) measurement to estimate the ice number concentration (N\_ice). A 1-D ice growth model is developed to calculate ice diffusional growth along fall trajectory in SMCs. N\_ice is retrieved by combining Z<sub>e</sub> measurements and the 1-D ice growth model simulations. Validations of the retrieved N\_ice with in situ measurement and a 3-D cloud-resolving models show that the retrieved N\_ice are with in uncertainty of a factor of 2, statistically. The algorithms are applied to four-years of space-borne radar measurements to retrieve N\_ice in mid-level SMCs globally.

## 2. Ice Growth Along Fall Trajectory in SMCs

 $\succ$  Ice crystals are initiated at the top of supercooled liquid-dominated layer, grow large and fall out of the layer.

Fig 1. a) example of SMC system from ground-based remote sensing over ACRF NSA barrow site; b) a conceptual model of ice crystal growth along fall trajectory; c) MPL backscatter, MMCR Z<sub>e</sub> and RH profiles.



## **3. Development and Validation of 1-D Ice Growth Model for**

- ➤ Adaptive habit evolution for non-spherical ice crystal growth [Harrington et al., 2013].
- $\blacktriangleright$  Terminal velocity (V<sub>t</sub>) from Heymsfield and Westbrook [2010].



 $\succ$  Only ice diffusional growth is considered.

Fig 2. Ice mass growth using adaptive habit and spherical particles (dashed line) at different growth times. Laboratory wind tunnel measurements [Takahashi et al. 1991] are plotted with different signs.



**Fig 3.** Comparison of V<sub>t</sub> from 1-D ice growth model (black solid lines) with ARM NSA MMCR measurements (red dashed lines). Red boxes: 25%, 50%, and 75% of data.

<sup>1</sup>University of Wyoming, WY; <sup>2</sup>NCAR, CO; <sup>3</sup>PNNL, WA

Fig 4. Comparison of Z/Z <sub>200</sub> from 1-D ice growth model using adaptive ice habit (black solid lines) and spherical growth with MMCR measurements (red dashed lines).





Fig 5. Left: calculated  $Z_{e \ laver}$  from 1-D ice growth model Fig 6. SMC detected during CAMPS on Feb 17<sup>th</sup>, 2011. a) WCR  $Z_{e}$ . and MMCR measurement. **Right:** sensitivity of  $Z_{e \ layer}$  to: ; b) WCL backscattering; c) 2D-C measured N\_ice, WCR  $Z_{e \ layer}$ , a)  $\pm 20\%$  uncertainty in growth rate; b)  $\pm 25\%$  uncertainty in and retrieved N\_ice; d) Comparisons of retrieved N\_ice from ICE-L, V<sub>t</sub>; c) different vertical air motion (w); d) different LWPs. ISDAC, and CAMPS (green).

- $\succ$   $Z_{e \ laver}$ : mean  $Z_{e}$  between cloud top and 500 m below.  $Z_{layer}(Obs)$ N ice = - $Z_{laver}(Model, 1L^{-1})$
- $\succ$  The retrieved *N\_ice* are within an uncertainty of a factor of 2, statistically.

Fig 7. Comparison of retrieved N\_ice with 3-D CRM simulations with bin microphysical schemes and radar simulator.

- ➢ Mid-level SMCs from four-yeas of collocated CALIPSO and CloudSat measurements.
- Steady increase of N\_ice as CTT decreasing.
- Compare well with DeMott [2010]'s IN parameterization.

Fig 8: The occurrence of retrieved N\_ice at each CTT for six latitude bands and comparison with IN parameterizations from previous studies. F62, M92, D10 refer to IN parameterizations from Fletcher (1962), Meyers et al. (1992) and DeMott et al. (2010),  $N_{aer, 0.5}$  is coarse aerosol concentration, unit L<sup>-1</sup>.

Parcel Model Corroboration, J. Atmos. Sci., 70(2).





**Reference:** Harrington, J. Y., et al., (2013), A Method for Adaptive Habit Prediction in Bulk Microphysical Models. Part II: