### Compute Bulk Density of winter events based on 2DVD **Measurements**

Gwo-Jong Huang<sup>1</sup>, V. Chandrasekar<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Dmitri Moisseev<sup>2</sup>, Annakaisa von Lerber<sup>3</sup> and Davide Ori<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado <sup>2</sup> University of Helsinki, Finland

<sup>3</sup> Finnish Meteorological Institute, Finland

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Precipitation Quantitative Estimation (QPE) of winter event is very difficult for radar meteorology due to the complexity of variability in particle habits and density. The winter precipitation may include rain, different types of ice crystal and different type of snowflake. Each of these precipitation particles could have very different micro-physical properties. The size of winter precipitation particle can be as small as tens micron (i.e. ice crystal) or as large as hundreds minimeter (i.e. aggregated snowflake), the shape can be geometric shape as spheroid or conical (i.e. rain drop and conical graupel) or very irregular (i.e. aggregated snow) and the density can be as low as less than 0.1 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (fluffy snow) or as high as 1 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (rain drop). Amount these micro-physical properties, the most fundamental property is the density of precipitation. From the hydrology perspective, for given a set of particle size distribution (PSD), the liquid-equivalent snow rate (SR) is proportional to the particle density.

E-mail: gh222106@engr.colostate.edu

From the meteorological radar perspective, all of radar measurements relate to dielectric constant and dielectric constant can be derived from density (M. E. Tiuri et al. 1984; Huang et al. 2010). Therefore, the density of precipitation plays an important role for QPE of winter event.

It is straightforward to globally estimate precipitation density by combining disdrometer with other instrument. Brandes al. (2007)used two et dimensional video disdrometer (2DVD; Schönhuber et al. 2000) to measure the PSD and co-located Geonor gauge to estimate the power law relationship of density and median volume diameter  $(D_0)$  for Colorado fluffy dry snow. Huang et al. (2010) used 2DVD measured PSD adjusted the coefficient and and exponent of density-size power law relation to match the disdrometer's equivalent reflectivity  $(Z_e)$  with King City radar horizon reflectivity factor  $(Z_h)$ . They were able to find the densityapparent diameter  $(D_{app})$  relation for 7 winter Canadian events from CloudSat/CALIPSO Validation Project (C3VP). Recently, Huang et al. (2014) applied hydrodynamic theory (Böhm 1989) to 2DVD single camera data to precipitation compute the particle density on flake-by-flake basis. Thev analyzed 4 winter events from Light Precipitation Experiment Validation (LPVEx) and obtained the  $Z_e$ -SR

44

Corresponding author address: Gwo-Jong Huang, Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1373

relationship for these events. After applied these relationships to operational ground radar, the 2DVD based accumulated *SR* reasonably agreed with Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI) gauge network.

Although Huang et al. (2014) are able to compute precipitation densitv on particle-by-particle basis using single camera data; the single camera data set only offers limited information of particle (Huang et al. 2014). This single camera data set does not include the detail of shape. Therefore, some important parameters, such as the area ratio  $(A_r)$ and the area of minimum circumscribed ellipse, are only estimated. In this paper, we propose a method to compute particle density based on the actual contour measured by 2DVD. We compare 5 minutes averaged density of this method and single camera method with the results from gauge.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

#### a. Mass and fall speed

The fall speed ( $V_f$ ) of a freely falling particle relates to its mass. When the particle reaches to its terminal fall speed, the drag force is equal to the gravity. There is a common set of equations (Böhm 1989; Heymsfield and Westbrook 2010) to describe the relation between  $V_f$  and mass of winter precipitation particle as:

$$\begin{cases} V_f = \frac{\eta Re}{\rho_{air}D} \\ Re = \frac{\delta_0^2}{4} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{4\sqrt{X}}{\delta_0^2 \sqrt{C_0}} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right]^2 \dots \dots (1) \\ X = \frac{8mg\rho_{air}}{\pi\eta^2} \left( \frac{A}{A_e} \right)^{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta$  is viscosity,  $\rho_{air}$  is air density, *Re* is Reynolds number, *X* is Davies number (also called Best number), *m* is the mass of particle and *g* is gravity constant. The *D* in the first equation of Eq. 1 is the characteristic dimension to represent the size of particle. The  $A_e$  in third equation of Eq. 1 is the area of particle projected to anti air flow plane, and *A* is the area of minimum circumscribed ellipse (or circle) which can contain  $A_e$  completely. The  $A_e/A$  is the area ratio ( $A_r$ ) which represents the shape factor. The drag coefficient ( $C_D$ ) can be computed (Abraham 1970) as:

$$C_D = C_0 \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{D}\right)^2$$
  
=  $C_0 \left(1 + \frac{\delta_0}{Re^{1/2}}\right)^2 \dots \dots \dots (2)$ 

where  $C_0$  is inviscid drag coefficient,  $\delta$  is the boundary layer thickness and  $\delta_0$  is a dimensionless coefficient.

Theoretically  $C_0$  and  $\delta_0$  (or  $C_D$ ) are the function of Re. Böhm (1989) used equiarea circle diameter  $(D_e)$  of A as characteristic dimension and found that  $C_0=0.6$ ,  $\delta_0=5.83$  and  $\gamma=0.25$  (the order of  $A_r$ ; see in Eq. 1) can estimate the  $V_f$  for different types of solid precipitation particle with general agreement (Fig.4 of his paper). Heymsfield and Westbrook (2010) used maximum diameter  $(D_{max})$ which is the maximum point-to-point length particle's contour on as characteristic dimension and  $\gamma$ =0.5 and found that  $C_0=0.35$  and  $\delta_0=8.0$  given the best fit for previous studies of  $V_{f}$ . Note when the minimum circumscribed ellipse is a circle (the major axis is equal to minor axis),  $D_e$  will be equal to  $D_{max}$ .

To compare Böhm (1989) equations Heymsfield-Westbrook with (2010) equations. we first assumed that Reynolds number is from 0.1 to 10000. In the first equation of Eq. 1, the Re is proportional to characteristic dimension. We used the data from 3 BAECC cases (see section 3a) to show the relation between  $D_{max}$  and  $D_e$ . Upper panel of Figure 1 shows the colored contour of  $D_{max}$  versus  $D_e$  along with mean and  $\pm 1\sigma$  (black line). The linear fit of mean value is  $D_{max} = 1.665 D_e + 0.2913$ . To simplify the computation, we let  $D_{max}=1.67 D_e$ . Insert this relation into the first and second equations of Eq. 1. For given  $V_f$  (*Re*), the Davies number of Heymsfield-Westbrook is 1.82 (Re=0.1) to 1.64 (Re=10000) times of Böhm's (bottom panel of Figure 1). Note that the distribution of  $D_{max}$  in each  $D_e$  size bin is not symmetric along with mean value but skewed to higher  $D_{max}$ . The actual Davies number from Heymsfield-Westbrook could be larger than these According to the third numbers. equation of Eq. 1, the mass is proportional to X and  $A_r^{\gamma}$ . The  $A_r$  is dependent on types of precipitation. For higher  $A_r$ , X will dominate the mass estimation. On the other end,  $A_{r}^{\gamma}$  will play more important role.

# b. MATCHING PROCEDURE

Eq. 1 estimates the  $V_f$  from the known mass of particle. In our application we revise the equations to estimate the from 2DVD measured mass Vf. Therefore, the accuracy of measured fall speed is extremely important. 2DVD measures  $V_f$  by matching the particle image from 2 views. Huang et al. (2010) show that the manufacture's matching algorithms for snow event has miss-matching serious problem. Subsequently, it will cause, in average, overestimation of  $V_{f}$ . In order to avoid this overestimation, they developed a matching algorithms based on Hanesh's (1999) snow shape study. We adapt this method to our matching procedures. Figure 2 shows the concept of our matching procedures as following:

- Obtain the detail information ( $D_{app}$ ,  $V_{f}$ , horizontal and vertical resolutions, contour of particle from two views and so on) by running manufacture's programs (the circle with horizontal lines in Figure. 2).
- Apply Hanesch's (1999) scan line criteria to take out possible miss-matched particles (overlap of two line filled circles in Figure. 2).
- Take out those particles which have only one scan line or have only one horizontal pixel width. Those particles have severe digitalized error on contour and are not able to fit the minimum circumscribed ellipse.
- Hanesch's scan line criteria allow the difference of height between 2 views to count for digitalized error and particle rotation. We discard those particles whose difference of height is more than 2 scan lines (digitalized error) after rotating contour from  $-15^{\circ}$  to  $15^{\circ}$ . The remaining particles are the confirmed matched particles (the green round in Figure 2).

### c. DISTORTION DUE to HORIZONTAL MOVEMENT of PARTICLE

2DVD records falling particles with a line scan camera. When a particle falls through the optical plane of camera and has a constant horizontal speed, all of the masked pixels will be shifted by a constant distance which is depend on the fall speed and horizontal moving speed. The shape of particle will be distorted because of this shifting. This distortion will cause  $D_{max}$  (green line in Figure. 3) and minimum circumscribed ellipse changed (red line in Figure. 3). However, the total amount of masked pixels will maintain the same. So  $D_{app}$ will not change. Since the horizontal movement changes the shape of 2DVD images and characteristic dimension, it may induce the error of mass estimation. Unfortunately, the tendency of error depends on the velocity (both direction and intensity) of horizontal movement. As the best knowledge we had, there is no way to correct this distortion for asymmetric particle. So it is important to put 2DVD inside of international double-fence reference (DFIR) to reduce the effect of wind.

# 3. BAECC TEST SITE and CASE STUDIES

## a. Test Site

Biogenic Aerosols Effects on Clouds and Climate (BAECC) field campaign was co-operation of University of Helsinki, the Finnish Meteorological Institute, Colorado State University, and the United States Department of Energy Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) program from 1 February to 12 September 2014. The test bed is at University of Helsinki Hvytiälä Forestry Field Station, Finland (61°50'37.114"N, 24°17'15.709"E) and equipped with 2DVD, Particle Image Package (PIP), Pluvio<sup>2</sup> 200/400 and second ARM Mobile Facility (AMF2) which include X-, Ka- and W-band cloud radar. Figure 4 shows the instruments installed on the test site. The PIP is a new version of

Snow Video Imager (SVI). It can capture particle image and compute its fall speed. Based on the image, PIP can also estimate the PSD. There are two snow gages at test site, Pluvio<sup>2</sup> 200 and Pluvio<sup>2</sup> 400. The Pluvio<sup>2</sup> 200 was co-located with 2DVD inside DFIR. We used this snow gage as the reference. We select 3 cases from BAECC field campaign to examine our method. The cases we selected is 12 February 2014 from 05:00 to 09:00 (UTC), 15-16 February 2014 from 22:30 (15) to 01:00 (16), and 21-22 February 2014 19:00 (21) to 07:00 (22).

## b. CASES STUDIES

By the definition, the bulk density ( $\rho$ ) is the total mass divided by total volume in certain time period. The total volume can be obtained by integrating  $D^3$  over PSD (i.e. from PIP) and total mass can be measured by snow gage (i.e. Pluvio). Since PIP can also measure fall speed, we can further compute the volume flux weighted (also called fall speed weighted) bulk density ( $\rho_v$ ) as:

$$\rho_v = \frac{\int m(D)V_f(D)N(D)dD}{\int D^3 V_f(D)N(D)dD}\dots\dots\dots(3)$$

In Eq. 3, m(D) is the mass-size powerlaw relationship which can be estimated by combining PIP estimated PSD (N(D)) and Pluvio measured mass and using the method similar to Brandes et al. (2007). It is clear that the numerator of Eq. 3 is proportional to the liquid equivalent snow rate. The  $\rho_V$  is more correlated to *SR* than  $\rho$ . Moreover, it is well known that  $V_f(D)$  is increasing as size increasing (Atlas and Ulbrich 1977; Atlas et al. 1973; Huang et al. 2015), and  $\rho(D)$  is decreasing as size increasing (Huang et al 2010; Huang et al. 2015). If we use bulk density instead of density-size relationship, we will underestimate SR and Z for small ice precipitation particles and overestimate SR and Z for large particle. It also well known that SR and Z, especially Z, are dominated by large size precipitation Therefore, overall, both SR particle. and Z will be overestimated by using bulk density. Since  $\rho_v$  is weighted by fall speed, it will tend to agree with large particle. The volume flux weighted bulk density is more suitable to compute SR and Z. The PIP+Pluvio derived  $\rho_v$  are the light blue (Pluvio<sup>2</sup> 200) and dark blue (Pluvio<sup>2</sup> 400) solid lines in Figure 5.

The 2DVD derived  $\rho v$  is computed in particle-by-particle basis. Assumed *N* matched particles were observed from *T* to *T*+ $\Delta T$  ( $\Delta T$  is 5 minutes in this study).  $\rho_v$  was computed as:

$$\rho_{v} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} * v_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} V_{i} * v_{i}} \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

where  $m_i$  is the mass,  $V_i$  is volume and  $v_i$  is fall speed of i<sup>th</sup> particle. The mass of each particle was estimated by three different methods as:

• Use single camera method (Huang et al. 2015) to compute mass of each matched particles (Figure 2 circle with vertical lines; the single camera data).

- Get contouring data of matched particles (Figure 2 green round) and find the minimum circumscribed ellipse and circle. Compute mass of each matched particle by the equations of Böhm (1989) and Heymsfield-Westbrook (2010).
- In every 5 minutes interval, compute bulk density by total fall-speed

weighted mass divided by total fallspeed weighted volume.

Figure 5 shows the results. The green dash line is the  $\rho_{V}$  derived from single If we use Pluvio<sup>2</sup> 200 as camera. reference, this density match the bottom value of reference.  $\rho_v$  derived from Heymsfield-Westbrook (2010) equations is magenta dash line and catch the higher value of reference. The red dash line is the  $\rho_v$  using Böhm equations which is in between single Heymsfieldcamera method and Westbrook method. All three methods generally agree with PIP+Pluvio measurements.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS and FUTURE WORKS

In this paper, we show that we can compute density the of winter precipitation particle on particle-byparticle basis by using 2DVD measured fall speed and images. Three 5-minute 2DVD volume flux weighted bulk densities compare with PIP+Pluvio volume flux weighted bulk density and general agreement. thev are in Heymsfield-Westbrook equations give the highest bulk density and agree with higher PIP-Pluvio bulk density. The single camera method has the lowest density. The best equations should be used may be depend on the type of In fact, the boundary precipitation. layer thickness ( $\delta$  or  $\delta_0$ ) and inviscid drag coefficient ( $C_0$ ) all depend on the roughness and shape of precipitation particle. With actual shape observed by 2DVD, we now are able to study these dependencies and the error structure of hydrodynamic equations.

**Acknowledgements:** This research is supported by the DOE - ASR program and the in-situ snow measurements were made possible by the NASA GPM Program.

FIGURES



Figure 1: (Upper) Colored contour of  $D_{max}$  vs.  $D_e$  along with mean and standard deviation (Black line) of  $D_{max}$  in each  $D_e$  size bin. (Bottom) The Böhm (blue) and Heymsfield-Westbrook (red) Davies number vs. Reynolds number assumed that  $D_{max} = 1.67^* D_e$ .



Figure 2: Concept of matching procedure.

Max. D::6.0061(6.5013) Ell. Area::29.8176(27.4376) Area Ratio::0.4563(0.4959)



Figure 3: A example of snowflake falling in 0.7 m/s and observed by 2DVD. The blue line is the contour of flake, green line is maximum diameter and red line is the minimum circumscribed ellipse. Solid line represents the true shape of flake. Dash line is the distorted shape by 0.3 m/s horizontal movement toward to positive X direction. On the figure title, the number inside bracket is measured from distorted shape.



Figure 4: Instruments install in BAECC test site. Note that Pluvio<sup>2</sup> 200 was inside the DFIR. We used it as reference.



Figure 1: Results of 3 cases from BAECC field campaign. The Solid lines are the volume flax weighted bulk density based on PIP+Pluvio method. The dash lines the volume flux bulk density derived from 2DVD using single camera (green), Böhm equations (red) and Heymsfield-Westbrook equations (magenta).

### REFERENCES

Abraham, F.F., 1970: Functional dependence of drag coefficient of a sphere on Reynolds number., *Phys. Fluids*, **13**, 2194-2195.

Atlas, D., R.C. Srivastava and R.S. Sekhon, 1973: Doppler radar characteristics of precipitation at vertical incidence., *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.*, **2**, 1-35.

Atlas, D. and C.W. Ulbrich, 1977: Pathand area-integrated rainfall measurement by microwave attenuation in 103 cm band., *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **16**, 1322-1331.

Böhm, H.P., 1989: A general equation for the terminal fall speed of solid hydrometeors., *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **46**, pp. 2419-2427.

Brandes, E.A., K. Ikeda, G. Zhang, M. Schönhuber and R.M. Rasmussen, 2007: A statistical and physical description of hydrometeor distributions in Colorado snowstorms using a video disdrometer., *J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol.*, **46**, pp. 634-650.

Hanesch, M., 1999: Fall Velocity and Shape of Snowflake., Ph. D dissertation, *Swiss Federal Institute of Technology.* 

Heymsfield, A.J. and C.D. Westbrook, 2010: Advances in the Estimation of Ice Particle Fall Speeds Using Laboratory and Field Measurements., *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **67**, pp. 2469–2482. Huang, G., V.N. Bringi, R. Cifelli, D. Hudak and W.A. Petersen, 2010: A Methodology to Derive Radar Reflectivity–Liquid Equivalent Snow Rate Relations Using C-Band Radar and a 2D Video Disdrometer., *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **27**, pp. 637-651.

Huang, G., V.N. Bringi, D. Moisseev, W.A. Petersen, L. Bliven and D. Hudak, 2015: Use of 2D-video disdrometer to derive mean density–size and  $Z_e$ –SR relations: Four snow cases from the light precipitation validation experiment, *Atmospheric Research*, **153**, 34–48.

Schönhuber, M., H.E. Urban, W.L. Randeu and J.P.V. Poiares Baptista, 2000: Empirical Relationships between Shape, Water Content and Fall Velocity of Snowflakes, *ESA SP-444 Proceedings*, Millennium Conference on Antennas & Propagation, April 9-14, 2000, Davos, Switzerland.

Tiuri, M, A.H. Sihvola, E.G. Nyfors and M.T. Hallikaiken, 1984: The complex dielectric constant of snow at microwave frequencies., *IEEE J Oceanic Eng*, **OE-9**, 377-382