# **Operational Application of Environment and Radar Predictors for Tornado Intensity**

Matthew Friedlein and Eric Lenning – NOAA/National Weather Service, Chicago/Romeoville, IL Adam W. Clayton, Anthony Lyza, and Kevin R. Knupp - Severe Weather Institute – Radar and Lightning Laboratories, University of Alabama - Huntsville

#### **1. Introduction & Goal**

An important goal of NWS warnings is to elicit a proper safety response from the public, one that ideally aligns with the forecasted threat. Toward achieving this goal for tornado warnings:

- Numerous studies resulting in published research articles linking environmental factors or radar observations to tornado intensity.
- Doppler Radar advancements, including dual-polarization upgrade, improved spatial resolution, and enhanced low-level scanning strategies increasing temporal resolution.
- Impact-Based Warning (IBW) tags have been included in some NWS tornado warnings to convey the potential for more significant damage from an expected strong to violent tornado.

One goal of this IBW approach is to stress the greater impact of the EF-2 to EF-5 tornadoes that are 30 times more likely to result in a fatality than EF-0 and EF-1 tornadoes. Studies need to be incorporated into operational decision making for IBW to be most effective.

Integrating both environmental factors and radar was the approach of this study, with a goal of giving NWS warning operators an idea of what to expect for radar behavior and trends given the environment, and in the future a possible predictor toward tornado intensity.

## 2. Operational Challenges & Motivation

Significant tornado environments for a region can often be recognized in the hours and even days in advance. However, even in significant tornado environments, there is variability in storm structure and persistence, and if tornadoes do result they are rarely all EF-2 and stronger.







Left: Snapshot of the 2016 Nov 30 multiple tornado event which saw supercells produce tornadoes from EF-0 to EF-3.

#### **3. Methodology**

Used in this study were one-hour Rapid Refresh (RAP) Bufkit data interrogated in SHARPpy to analyze near-storm environments as close to tornado occurrence time and location as possible. Archived radar data were analyzed in the GR2Analyst software. Approximately 200 tornadoes from August 2016 – April 2017 were analyzed. Environment characteristics like instability and kinematics that had stronger correlations<sup>1</sup> to tornado intensity were found, and then the data was divided into nine bins. Radar data, including rotational velocity (Vr) and tornado debris signatures (TDS), along with storm modes and longevity were tabulated for each bin.

4. Data Analysis & Results				
			Significant Tornado Days	
		25 Tornadoes, 7 Significant	19 Tornadoes, 6 Significant	14 Tornadoes, 5 Significant
44	44 m²/s²	Avg. Vr: 41 kt Sig: 41 kt19% SupercellVr Std. Dev.: 16 kt81% QLCSTDS Frequency: 229Avg. TDS Height: 1.4 knAvg. Path Length: 10.7 km	tAvg. Vr: 44 kt Sig: 72 ktt63% SupercellVr Std. Dev.: 17 kt537% QLCSTDS Frequency: 37%Avg. TDS Height: 2.4 kmAvg. Path Length: 16.5 km	Avg. Vr: 52 kt Sig: 60 kt50% SupercellVr Std. Dev.: 19 kt50% QLCSTDS Frequency: 21%Avg. TDS Height: 4.1 kmAvg. Path Length: 24.0 km
		14 Tornadoes, 2 Significant	21 Tornadoes, 7 Significant	17 Tornadoes, 8 Significant
Helicity		Avg. Vr: 45 kt Sig: 52 k36% SupercellVr Std. Dev.: 12 k64% QLCSTDS Frequency: 149	tAvg. Vr: 49 kt Sig: 56 ktt62% SupercellVr Std. Dev.: 9 kt38% QLCSTDS Frequency: 24%Avg. TDS Height: 2.5 km	Avg. Vr: 45 kt Sig: 50 kt71% SupercellVr Std. Dev.: 15 kt29% QLCSTDS Frequency: 41%Avg. TDS Height: 3.3 km
29	299 m²/s²	Avg. Path Length: 9.6 km	Avg. Path Length: 13.6 km	Avg. Path Length: 8.1 km
		14 Tornadoes, 3 Significant	14 Tornadoes, 4 Significant	24 Tornadoes, 15 Significant
		Avg. Vr: 39 kt Sig: 52 k50% SupercellVr Std. Dev.: 11 k50% QLCSTDS Frequency: 149	Avg. Vr: 40 kt Sig: 43 kt50% SupercellVr Std. Dev.: 13 kt50% QLCSTDS Frequency: 6%	Avg. Vr: 46 kt Sig: 51 kt67% Supercell33% QLCSTDS Frequency: 38%Avg. TDS Height: 2.9 km
		Avg. Path Length: 6.5 km	Avg. Path Length: 6.4 km	Avg. Path Length: 13.7 km
			535 J/kg1,1	17 J/kg

**5. Future Work and References** The data used in this analysis will be further expanded to include multiple full years and divided for warm and cool season. A predictor that could be computed and spatially plotted to assist warning forecasters in tandem with other data remains the goal.





## instabilit

More persistent radar signatures and longer-lived tornadoes occurred in the presence of both higher instability and helicity A higher percentage of the tornadoes being from a QLCS storm mode were found in moderate to high helicity and low instability There was spread in Vr across the board, but it was noted that EF-2 tornadoes on average had 8 kt higher Vr than non-significant Tornadoes in this study with lower instability had very limited TDS occurrence, and the greater the helicity in those cases then the Vr difference between significant and non-significant tornadoes approached zero.

> <sup>1</sup>Clayton, A., E. Lenning, M. Friedlein, A. W. Lyza, and K. R. Knupp, 2017; Utilizing Environmental and Radar Predictors to Anticipate Tornado Intensity; AMS 38th Conference on Radar Meteorology Preprint (2017); Chicago, IL; 165. NCEI, cited 2017: Storm Data. [available online at www.ncdc.noaa.gov/IPS/sd/sd.html].

Environments Obtained from the Rapid Update Cycle. Weather and Forecasting, 18, 1243–1249.









Smith, B. T., R. L. Thompson, A. R. Dean, and P. T. Marsh, 2015: Diagnosing the Conditional Probability of Tornado Damage Rating Using Environmental and Radar Attributes. *Weather and Forecasting*, 30, 914–932. Thompson, R. L., R. Edwards, J. A. Hart, K. L. Elmore, and P. Markowski, 2003: Close Proximity Soundings Within Supercell