

# OFFSETTING AND COMPLEMENTARY CHARACTERISTICS OF SULFATE AND SOOT DIRECT RADIATI

<u>Ilissa Ocko, Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences Program, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey</u> V. Ramaswamy and Paul Ginoux, NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey

### ABSTRACT

- This study investigates the competing and complimentary aspects of anthropogenic sulfate and soot direct radiative forcing (DRF) in the context of a successful climate model – GFDL CM2.1
  - Results show that sulfate and black carbon global-mean DRFs evenly offset one another (0.87 W/m<sup>2</sup> black carbon; -0.96 W/m<sup>2</sup>) at the top-of-atmosphere (TOA), whereas surface direct radiative forcings are both negative and additive, exerting a combined forcing of -2.12 W/m<sup>2</sup>
- We focus on factors governing the scattering-absorbing aerosol balance for the global-mean and geographically – clouds, relative humidity, surface albedo
  - Results show that without clouds, sulfate would dominate the aerosol TOA DRF balance, and without sulfate hygroscopic growth from high relative humidity, black carbon would dominate the balance

### INTRODUCTION



- Aerosols directly perturb Earth's radiative balance by scattering and absorbing shortwave and longwave radiation
  - All aerosols decrease amount of radiation reaching surface, which can reduce the strength of the hydrological cycle
  - Aerosols have competing warming/cooling characteristics at top-of-atmosphere, which can affect Earth's temperature
    - Scattering aerosols (e.g. sulfate) enhance planetary albedo
    - Absorbing aerosols (e.g. **black carbon**) trap energy in climate
- Concentrations of aerosols have risen considerably since preindustrial times
- RF is uncertain since aerosols are short-lived and vary spatially; therefore, offsetting characteristics may change regionally

## **MODEL DESCRIPTION**

- The GFDL CM2.1 model is used in conjunction with MOZART to simulate the global distribution and radiative forcing of black carbon and sulfate aerosols
- Horizontal resolution of MOZART is 2.8° by 2.8°, aerosols are remapped to the 2° by 2.5° resolution of CM2.1 with 24 vertical levels
- Emissions are taken from inventories compiled for IPCC AR4 [Horowitz, 2006]
- CM2.1 radiation code used to calculate radiative forcings, with the shortwave radiation algorithm adapted from Freidenreich and Ramaswamy [1999] and the longwave radiation algorithm from Schwarzkopf and Ramaswamy [1999]
- Aerosol optical depth, single scattering albedo and asymmetry parameter calculated as a function of hygroscopic growth and optical properties derived from Mie theory

### **MODEL SIMULATIONS**

CONTROL CASE – Radiative forcing calculations derived using realistically simulated climate conditions, including typical geographical distributions of horizontal and vertical cloud cover and relative humidity, surface albedo, and insolation

**EXPERIMENTS** – sensitivity studies of governing factors

- **1.** Cloud Impact DRFs were calculated for clear-sky conditions (zero clouds) and subtracted from the control case to determine the impact from the presence of clouds
- **2. Relative Humidity Impact** DRFs were calculated for dry sulfate conditions (30% relative humidity) and subtracted from the control case to determine the impact from hygroscopic sulfate
- **3.** High Albedo Impact DRFs were calculated for constant low surface albedo conditions (0.1) and subtracted from the control case to determine the impact from presence of high surface albedos



• Near-complete offset for TOA global-mean • RFs peak where emissions peak, sulfate dominates offset

• BC TOA DRF high and constant in Arctic, offset flips signs

-----

- Relative humidity enhances SO<sub>4</sub> DRFs by over
- Relative humidity enhances SO<sub>4</sub> DRFs by over
- BC DRFs would dominate aerosol forcing if SO<sub>4</sub>



- Sulfate and black carbon top-of-atmosphere DRFs are offsetting • BC DRF (+0.87 W/m<sup>2</sup>):  $\frac{1}{3}$  of all GHG RF;  $\frac{1}{2}$  of CO<sub>2</sub> RF
- Sulfate and black carbon surface DRFs are additive and negative

## Percent Change in Annual Global-Mean Radiative Forcing

AOS

	TOA		Sur	Surface	
	BC	$SO_4$	BC	$SO_4$	
Cloud cover	78%	-53%	-22%	-52%	
High albedo surfaces	10%	-8%	-3%	-8%	
High relative humidity		63%		63%	

- Sulfate DRF enhanced by relative humidity (63% globally) and reduced by clouds (~50% globally)
- Black carbon DRF impacts different for top-of-atmosphere and surface TOA affected more • TOA – BC DRF enhanced by clouds (78% globally) and high albedo (10% globally) • SFC – BC DRF reduced by clouds (22% globally) and high albedo (3% globally)

- Include internal mixtures, black carbon deposition in snow, and indirect effects on clouds
- Perform sensitivity studies on the vertical distribution of clouds and aerosols in relation to one

I would like to thank my graduate advisor, V. Ramaswamy, for all of his guidance and brilliant insight; Paul Ginoux and Larry Horowitz for being incredible resources to me throughout my work, of whom my success would not have been possible without; My colleagues, especially Amanda O'Rourke and Andrew Ballinger, for our stimulating discussions; Joseph Roy-Mayhew for constantly challenging me; and Greg Seroka for supporting and inspiring me always.

Relative Humidity Impact (% Change) on Radiative Forcings

### **3. Surface Albedo Impact**

Surface Albedo Impact (% Change) on Radiative Forcings

KEY: Black Carbon



- High albedo surfaces enhance BC TOA DRFs, reduce all other DRFs
- As large of an impact on SO<sub>4</sub> DRFs as BC, larger for SFC DRFs
- Comparable impacts in Arctic and Antarctica, but magnitudes increased much more in Arctic
- Impact on magnitude of DRFs approximately increases with latitude in Northern Hemisphere