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A simple Multi-model QPF Ensemble

“MEDLEY”: simple arithmetic averaging of eight operational forecasting models:

- NCEP's NAM and GFS
- CMC (Canadian Meteorological Centre) regional and global models
- DWD (Deutscher Wetterdienst)
- ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts)
- JMA (Japan Meteorological Agency)
- UKMO (UK Met Office)
00-24h Forecast ending 2010072412
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MEDLEY and the 8 “member” models, 24+48h fcsts
Jan – Dec 2010
Comparing MEDLEY to Component Models

Advantages: better placement of precipitation areas, overall higher ETS

Disadvantages (from simple averaging):

• High bias in low thresholds – large area of low precip
• Low bias in high thresholds – highs smoothed out
How to refine “MEDLEY”?  

Excluding worst performers from the ensemble:  

MEDLEY2: as in MEDLEY, but exclude the two models with the worst performance (average ETS for the thresholds of 0.1”, 0.25”, 0.5”, 0.75” and 1”/day) in the preceding 30 days
MEDLEY, MEDLEY2 and the 8 “member” models, 24+48h fcsts, Jan – Dec 2007
Probability Matched “MEDLEY”

Let the rain rate distribution in the ensemble mean match that of the rain rate distribution of the pooled ensemble members (Ebert, 2001).
MEDLEY and MEDLEY3 (probability-matched) and the 8 “member” models, 24+48h fcsts, Jan – Dec 2010
MEDLEY, MEDLEY3 (PM), HPC, NAM and GFS,
24+48h fcsts, 12 Jul – 31 Dec 2010
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An alternative approach to multi-model ensembles

Develop a non-linear multi-model ensemble. Use past model QPF and verifying analysis data (precip amounts, Julian dates, lat/lon of each data point) to “train” the NN.

Additional info: Krasnopolsky, “Application of the neural network technique to develop a nonlinear multi-model ensemble for precipitation over ConUS”. Paper 1.1, 9th Conf. on Artificial Intelligence and its Application to the Environmental Sciences.
Sample NN forecast: 00-24h fcst ending 2010102412, 1 of 2
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Sample NN forecast: 00-24h fcst ending 2010102412, 2 of 2
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Conclusions

Simple ensemble mean produces a product that is too “smoothed out”

Withholding two poorly-performing members from the ensemble improves performance slightly

Probability-matching improves ensemble greatly

Neural network approach promising – more details are preserved
Future Plans

“MEDLEY” on a higher resolution grid to benefit from higher resolution members

Bias adjustment to individual members' precipitation forecasts (as in SREF – Jun Du)

Further refine neural network approach