

HWRF Simulation of Hurricane lke (2008)

Owen H. Shieh¹ and Scott M. Mackaro²

²Precision Wind, Inc., Boulder, Colorado

Forecast Applications Branch, Global Systems Division, Earth System Research Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, Colorado

Diapost

(GrADS)

grid are mapped onto A-grid

such as cylindrical grid calculations

•HRD diagnostic post-processor for WRF-NMM varieties

such as HWRF •NetCDF model output at sigma-P levels and rotated E-

•Plots generated with Grid Analysis and Display System

·Designed for hurricane analysis with special features

ration with HRD scientists

Introduction

One of the greatest challenges in the meteorological community today is improving the intensity forecasts of tropical cyclones (TCs). To do so, a proper understanding of the internal structure and dynamics of tropical cyclones must be achieved. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Unmanned Aircraft Systems - Observing System Simulation Experiment (UAS-OSE) was conducted in 2010 to unravel a part of the mysteries of TC intensity forecasing. This poster details the work that was performed by a summer student at the NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL) in Boulder, Colorado as part of the 2010 NOAA Practical Hands on Application to Science Education (PHASE) program. Simulations of the rapid intensification of Hurricane Ike (2008) were performed using the Hurricane Weather Research and Forecasting (HWRF) model. Model diagnostic were generated using the Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS) alongside Diapost, a diagnostic post-processor developed at the Hurricane Research Division (HRD) of the NOAA Atlantic Meteorological and Oceanographic Laboratory (AOHL). This poster describes the use of Diapost to help researchers in the Creast Applications Branch of the Global Systems Division at NOAA ESRL evaluate hurricane structure simulated in HWRF. The work that was performed during the summer 2010 intensitip serves to enhance the collaboration between scientists at ESRL and the HRD.

Motivation

Over the last several decades, tropical cyclone forecast track errors have steadily decreased, whereas forecast intensity errors have not decreased significantly.



Background

Observing System Simulation Experiment (OSSE) •Determine the feasibility of observing platform through simulation

•Reduce costs of real test flights

·Conduct two numerical model runs (with different model

- cores) based on the same initial conditions
- "free forecast" run deemed the "nature run," which serves as "truth"
- •Forecast run with data assimilation from nature run

Regional Unmanned Aircraft Systems OSSE Project •Hurricane simulation valid 9/2/2008 06 UTC (based on

Hurricane Ike (2008)) •VRF-ARW (nature run) – 2 km horizontal resolution •Simulated data collection from synthetic Global Hawk and Covote flights

HWRF (data assimilation run) – nested grid
0.2° (~27 km) outer domain horizontal resolution
0.06° (~6.8 km) inner domain horizontal resolution

Collaboration between ESRL/GSD, DTC, HRD, NCEP





HWRF Modeling System

¹University of Hawai'i Department of Meteorology, Honolulu, Hawai'i

Initialization of TC and domain from TC vitals WRF pre-Processing WPS (GFS initialization) Princeton Ocean Model Vortex relocation GSI data assimilation WRFV93 (IMM V3.2, HRD core) WRF Post-Processor GFDL vortex tracker or <u>HRD Diapost</u>

Hurricane lke Best Track vs. HWRF Hurricane lke Compared Vs. HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track, the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track, the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and HSLP fields initialized at 9/2/08 06 UTC: Compared with Best Track the HWRF Wind and



Dynamics of the "Beta Effect"

Barotropic, Non-Divergent PV Equation $\frac{d(z \cdot f)}{dt} = \frac{\partial (\xi \cdot f)}{\partial t} + \overline{v} \cdot \nabla (\xi \cdot f) = 0$ $\frac{d(z \cdot f)}{\partial t} = -\overline{v} \cdot \nabla (\xi \cdot f)$ Assume no background flow: $\overline{v} = \overline{v}_{Tc} + \overline{v}_{gvv}, \quad \xi = \xi_{Tc} + \xi_{gvv}$

Substitute, then ignore small terms: $\frac{\delta(\xi_{TC} + \xi_{CPC})}{2} = (-\vec{v}_{TC} \cdot \nabla f) + (-\vec{v}_{TC} \cdot \nabla \xi_{CPC}) + (-\vec{v}_{TC} \cdot \nabla \xi_{TC})$

Include background flow: $\vec{v} = \vec{v}_{tc} + \vec{v}_{out} + \vec{v}_{au}$ $\xi = \xi_{tc} + \xi_{out} + \xi_{au}$ Again substitute, then ignore small terms: $\frac{d(\xi_{tc} + \xi_{au})}{dt} = (-\vec{v}_{tc} \cdot \nabla(\xi_{au} + f)) + (-\vec{v}_{ac} \cdot \nabla\xi_{au}) + (-\vec{v}_{ac} \cdot \nabla\xi_{au})$

^{to} ^{4.1} Taking complex environment into account, Beta gyres may have any orientation!



DATMO

nna





Figures A through F are plotted at forecast hour 78. Axisymmetric vertical velocities are contoured in black. Shading of axisymmetric values are as follows: A: tangential velocity, B: relative vorticity, C: vertical mass flux, D: horizontal divergence, and E: radial velocity. Vertical sounding (F) plot of temperature (red) and dewpoint (green) is characteristic of saturated, moist neutral atmosphere within a tropical cyclone.

G: Hovmoller diagram of 850 hPa axisymmetric tangential winds.

Impacts and Results of Summer STEP Internship

Developed systematic method to analyze hurricane structure in HWRF simulations using Diapost Streamlined analysis by writing documentation and creating GrADS and Diapost scripts for use by NOAA scientists in the Regional UAS-OSSE project that continued after the summer of 2010 Will facilitate collaboration between scientists at different laboratories (ESRL, AOML, etc.)

Acknowledgments

We thank the scientists and administrators at NOAA ESRL who contributed to the successful completion of this summer internship: Joanne Krumel for her tireless assistance, Ann Thome for organizing the PHASE program, Dr. Zoltan Toth of the Forecast Applications Branch, Dr. Steve Koch of the Global Systems Division, and all the scientists of FAB who contributed to a rewarding summer.