

Variability of Tropical Cyclone Representation within Atmospheric Reanalysis Datasets Ben Schenkel (bschenkel@fsu.edu) and Robert Hart (rhart@fsu.edu) Department of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Science, Florida State University



Introduction

Spatial Variation of Tropical Cyclone Position Difference Mean 7

The emergence of reanalyses has provided tools of great utility for studying interactions between tropical cyclones (TCs) and their larger scale environment. In spite of the increasing usage of reanalyses for climate scale studies of TCs, there has been no comprehensive examination of the representation of TCs within these datasets. The implications of the accurate depiction of TCs within reanalyses may have far reaching consequences including potentially impacting the representation of the general circulation on short time scales. The following study seeks to quantitatively compare reanalysis TC position, intensity, and structure with observational datasets and examine how these narameters vary within and amone reanalysis datasets

Methodology

In this study, the fidelity of TC position, intensity, and structure is examined within five reanalyses: the NCEP CFSR (Saha et al. 2010), the ECMWF ERA-40 (Uppala et al. 2005), the ECMWF ERA-I (Simmons et al. 2007), the JMA JRA-25 (Onogi et al. 2007), and the NASA MERRA (Bosilovich et al. 2006). TCs that are found equatorwards of 36°N within the NHC best-track dataset (Jarvinen et al. 1984; Neumann et al. 1993) and JTWC best-track dataset (Chu et al 2002) in the eastern North Pacific North Atlantic, and western North Pacific between 1979-2001 are chosen for study. Each best-track TC within the reanalysis is manually tracked using minimum mean sea-level pressure and maximum 925 hPa relative vorticity. The reanalysis position and intensity are then compared to those found in the best-track dataset.

In addition to examining these traditional metrics, parameters from the cyclone phase space (Hart et al. 2003) anomalies are used to evaluate TC structure. The composites are constructed by bilinearly interpolating each grid to a uniform manually tracked reanalysis TC position. Each reanalysis TC is then composited into one of four intensity bins according to its best-track intensity.



Figure 1: Plan view of the mean position difference (hm) magnitude (chaled) and vector dipatement (arrow, canadysis position relative to best rates), for the (a) CTSR, (b) (EN-4.6), (c) vectors, b) (CN-4.6), (c) vectors, b) (c) v



Figure 2: Box and whiskers plot (a) position difference magnitude (km), (b) minimum ment sealed pressure (Rb); and (c) maximum (D) works (kk) for the sears North Paicit, (kn) Athalints;, categories used in this study; The CTSR, ERA-40, ERA-41, ERA-25, and MERRA, correspond with color coding of Nue, red, greence, yam, and omage respectively. The mean of the sample 6 schenold by a while square printed within each box. The number of distance that many has the each many has the each remain bar of the study. The other of the study of t



Variability of Tropical Cyclone Representation within Atmospheric Reanalysis Datasets Ben Schenkel (bschenkel@fsu.edu) and Robert Hart (rhart@fsu.edu) Department of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Science, Florida State University





Spatial Variation of Low-Level Tropical Cyclone Structure



North Atlantic Category 3-5 Tropical Cyclone Structure in Reanalyses and Observations



Figure 4: Vertical cross-section of composited storm-relative temperature anomalies (°C) in the (a) CFSR, (b) ERA-40, (c) ERA-I, (d) JRA-25, and (e) MERRA for North Atlantic category 3–5 TCs. (f) Vertical cross-section of storm-relative temperature anomalies for TC Hilda on October 1, 1964 (Hawkins and Rubsam 1968).

Discussion

The results of this study show that reanalysis TC representation exhibits significant variability among basins. Specifically, North Atlantic TCs have the smallest position differences, strongest intensities, and largest composited anomaly magnitudes. The robust representation of North Atlantic TCs is likely attributable to a relatively greater density of observations. In contrast, eastern North Pacific TCs have the largest position differences, weakest intensities, and smallest composited anomaly magnitudes. The poorer representation of eastern North Pacific TCs is possibly due to the smaller mean size of TCs relative to other basins (Chavas and Emanuel 2010; Schenkel and Hart 2011) and a relative dearth of observations (Hatsushika et al. 2006: Manning 2007; Vecchi and Knutson 2008). Artificial trends in reanalysis TC representation are also seen likely due to variations in the density of observations, observed TC are, observed TC size, and the resolution of the reanalysis. Among the reanalyses, the most realistic representation of TCs is found within the CFSR and JRA-25 due to the use of vortex relocation (Liu et al. 2000) and TC wind profile retrievals (Hatsushika et al. 2006), respectively. While the coarse resolution of reanalyses precludes the replication of the observed intensity of TCs, the gross structure of TCs is captured reasonably well. Given the particularly poor representation of the strongest intensity TCs, caution should be exercised when utilizing these datasets for calculations dependent on resolving the absolute magnitude of quantities such as TC intensity metrics.

Acknowledgments and References

This research is supported by NASA Headquarters under the NASA Earth and Space Science Fellowship Program Grant (I)-Earth(0R-0016 and NSF Gram ATM-4842618. The authors also would like to thank Michael Bosilovich (NASA GSFC) for fruithal discussions regarding MERAN. We acknowledge the FSU HPC facility and staff for contributions to the results presented in this poster.

Indexid, M. ad Cuarkon, 2007 XMAN Bindon En-Beresperite-Analysis for Research and Applications (INERER) face. An. Alexants, 4, 7240	
June & and Kimani. With Arbitrary distribution of a pairs of source to source the other An-Art. MARKS. No. 1: Stapping A Marks and Proceedings of the last Spinse Weining United Topics Spinse Rescalation, 1993. 2005. Acad Academic Market Research Rese Research Research R	
Incading B. 1 Yoshin, M. Fonno, and K. Heige, 2010. Impert of Want Politic Robot at the Andrew of Topology Victoria in Rev 406-27-	
Kandyini, J. Akuzi, Ku, Suni, R. H.S. MD, Manni, H. et al. Straim, Field Amazer Rida, 1953, Mar. Kaj, Ru, W. Cil, Alla Kanza, K. & Channan, and Kanza, Wild Supper Option Hoc Supp for the South Antanic Rules, 1983, 1983. Classeon, Landonian and Lin- tering, S. & Nanonan, and K. Supp. 2014. International International International Internation and SIGP with debit and natural 1983. Strain Straim Science, 2015. Sci. 2019.	
GPR cannot With A behaved Waveberg Multica 47 Strange 16, 4027. DOI:10101-01.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0	
Mark Contribution 2020 DechtID classe inner construmings, Auf Jane Marer Ser, N. 1993, 2021 (Maleh & and Kar. 2021). An Economic of Stylest Partice and Renery Delines. Volta Neurglant Renel on Decars. 1.	
Instances A. 5 Stypin. If the and 5 Killeynik. 2007. Hits Instance: Nex II/MHF Randyor Peders from PERFORMAN. Al-MHF Nex Annu- 198-05, 7	
(pale 6 and Counters, 1997 The URL AT Reserves, (p.1997, 198, 1997, 1997). Side, S. and T. Kustow, 1998, Statistican or Weinstein Neth Atlant Topola Cystem Anticity J. Climan, 20, 1999, 1999.	