

### National Weather Service Flash Flood Warning Services

Peter Ahnert, Middle Atlantic River Forecast Center Edward Clark, Hydrologic Services Branch, OCWWS Peter Corrigan, WFO Blacksburg, VA Herbert White, Awareness Branch, OCCWS (retired)

January 24, 2012







### **Building a Weather-Ready Nation**

- The Nation must be prepared to respond to weather impacts
- To better prepare the Nation, NOAA must:
  - Effectively communicate risk and forecast confidence levels
  - Develop more responsive and meaningful products and services to society
  - Provide more efficient delivery of products and services in a technologically changing world

## The Flash Flood Problem



### Topics

- Computing the Rainfall
- Modeling the Basin Response
- Analyzing the Situation
- Communicating the Warning Message
- Completing Life Saving Actions
- Evolution of Services



## Section 1 Computing the Rainfall

## **Rainfall Potential**

### SITUATIONAL AWARENESS:

Look for conditions that often lead to heavy rainfall:

- Anomalously High Precipitable Water
- Anomalously High Moisture Advection
- Near Stationary Frontal Boundary
- Anomalously strong southerly low level jet winds (winds from a warm body of water)
- Right Rear Entrance Region of Upper Jet wind
- Orographic enhancement
- See case studies of the use of anomalies at:
- http://nws.met.psu.edu/



16

8

4

2

5

43

b. GEFS Consensus Forecast (contour) & Normalized Anomaly shaded)



E	nser	nble
Cor	mpo	nents
MODEL	. WGT	INIT TH
000	4.76	1
pOf	4.76	1
p02	4.76	1
p03	4.76	1
p04	4.76	1
p05	4.76	1
p06	4.76	1
p07	4.78	1
p08	4.76	1
p09	4.78	1
p10	4.76	1
p11	4.78	1
p12	4.76	1
p13	4.76	1
p14	4.76	1
p15	4.76	1
p16	4.76	1
p17	4.76	1
p16	4.78	1

4.761

4.761

p19

p20

Regionalized Anomaly Extremes Max +: +9.96 Max -: -4.57

### Computing the Rainfall Sources of data













# Integrated Flood Observing and Warning System (IFLOWS)

Θ

### 1,700 gages in 12 States Gage readings updated every 5 minutes



### http://afws.erh.noaa.gov/afws/national.php





### Example of radar rainfall estimates...

Radar estimated over 9.7" (246mm)...Bradford Fire Dept measured 8.9" (226mm).

17:15:42 UTC Swp:

0

21

VCP :

CtrRn:

Mag:

65.9mm

Hot:

EI :



### 3.8 5.1 5.7 6.9 7.6 8.2 >8.5 Vol: 120 SelAz: 08/20/99 CtrAz: 336.2dg Val: 0001.3

6.5kft

0.5dea

SelRn:

Nvast:

### **Loop of Rainfall Estimates**

### **Hourly Quantitative Precipitation Estimate (QPE)**



Dual Pole Radar Technology will improve rainfall estimates and identify different types of precipitation.

### **Estimation of Rainfall Amounts**

- 1 hour (OHA)
- Storm Total (STA)
- Instantaneous Rate (DPR)







### NESDIS Hydroestimator – satellite based rainfall



### Short Term Rainfall Forecasts



🕘 Internet

🐔 🔹 🍭 100% 🔹

### Short Term Rainfall Forecasts

### **High-resolution Precipitation Nowcaster (HPN)**





### Rainfall Rate, 1842Z 6/4/2008

### 1-hour Rainall Nowcast, valid at 1942Z 6/4/2008



## Section 2 Modeling the Basin Response

# How much rain is needed for Flash Flooding?

- Rainfall needed dependent on:
  - Antecedent conditions
  - Basin
     characteristics



## Flash Flood Guidance

- Issued by RFCs
- Updated 1-4 times daily



### Headwater Guidance

- Issued by RFCs
- Updated 1-4 times daily

:IDENT	1HR	3HR	6HR	12HR	HEADWATER NAME	STREAM	
:======= :	:	:		====			
:							
: NWS BROOKHAVEN SERVICE AREA							
: Ramapo	River						
MAHN4	4.5/	5.0/	5.3/	5.5	:Mahwah (8ft.)	Ramapo River	
:MAHN4md	5.8/	6.5/	6.9/	7.1	:Mahwah (9)	Ramapo River	
:MAHN4mj	6.5/	7.0/	7.4/	8.0	:Mahwah (12)	Ramapo River	
: Hohokus	s Brool	k					
HOHN4	1.8/	2.0/	2.6/	3.7	:Ho-Ho-Kus (3ft.)	Hohokus Brook	
: Saddle	River						
LODN4	2.1/	2.4/	3.2/	3.4	:Lodi (6ft.)	Saddle River	
:LODN4md	2.4/	2.8/	3.6/	3.8	:Lodi (7)	Saddle River	
:LODN4mj	2.8/	3.2/	4.2/	4.4	:Lodi (8)	Saddle River	
: Rahway	River						
SPGN4	2.4/	2.5/	2.7/	3.3	:Springfield (5.5ft)	Rahway River	

### Lumped Versus Distributed Models

Distributed models are well-suited for flash flood prediction and monitoring, offering high-resolution streamflow at outlet and interior points with ability to route flow



- 1. Rainfall and soil properties averaged over basin
- 2. Single rainfall/runoff model computation for entire basin or subbasin
- 3. Prediction/verification <u>only</u> at outlet point

- 1. Rainfall, soil properties vary by grid cell
- 2. Rainfall/runoff model applied separately to each grid cell
- 3. Prediction/verification at <u>any</u> grid cell

## DHM-TF: An application of distributed modeling

- What is DHM-TF?
  - A generic statistical post-processing of Distributed Hydrologic Model Output.
  - Provides way to cast flood severity in terms of return period by converting model flow forecasts to frequency



- Communicate the impact of the response without the flow/stage relationship that can be developed at a stream gage.
- Make the current grid states **meaningful to the forecaster**.

### **Current DHM-TF Pilot Implementations**

- DHM-TF pilot studies are underway in coordination with NWS Weather Forecast Offices (WFOs) and River Forecast Centers (RFCs)
  - Binghamton and Pittsburgh WFO domains on WFO servers
  - Baltimore/Washington WFO domain on OHD server (transitioning to WFO)



Pittsburgh, Binghamton, and Balt/Wash WFO Domains

### Verification: Binghamton WFO, October 1st, 2010 Flood Event

<u>Return Period</u> Spatial Analysis

- Excellent spatial agreement between areas of 2+ year return periods and local storm reports
- Isolated areas with > 100 year return periods
- **#'s** = USGS Gauge Return Period

()

= Local  $\bigwedge$  = NWS Storm Storm Reports Reports



DHM-TF Maximum Return Period (Analysis w/Routing) 12Z 9/30/10 to 00Z 10/03/10

## Flash Flood Potential Index

- Maps relative threat of flash flooding based on:
  - Slope
  - Land usage
  - Soil type
  - Vegetation
- Does not change because it does not take recent rainfall, soil moisture into account



### **KINEROS**

Watershed topography discretized into areas of predominately overland flow and a channel network Model elements: Overland Flow Planes Trapezoidal Open Channels Upland Plane concentrated flow into upstream end of channel element **Overland Flow Plane** Lateral Plane rainfall, r distributed inflow along channel element infiltration, t Trapezoidal Open Channel  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \alpha m h^{m-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = r - f$  $A = cross \ sectional \ area \ of \ flow$ Q = discharge $\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A}\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} = q_1 + q_2 - f$ 

infiltration, f

 Simulates runoff response in basins having predominantly overland flow.

8.79 in 9 -5 8. 177 mm in 2.5 hours -4 7-Rainfall, in 6 -3 5 -4 -2 3 -2. 1 -0--0 9 --6,000 8.05 ft (5,469 cfs) 8 -Major flash flooding along Lower Spring 7-Brook Major Flood Route 206 6. impassible Stage, ft 5 -Moderate Flood 4. Flood 3. Bank Full **3-hour Flash Flood Guidance Exceeded** 2 -1,000 100 Flash Flood 1 -Warning Issued 0 0 8 PM 9 PM 7 PM 06.19.2007 7:42 PM 06.19.2007

Intensity, iph

Discharge, cfs

Spring Brook north of Roscoe along Route 206 - KINEROS Site Specific Forecast Model



## Section 3 Analyzing the Threat



Flash Flood Monitoring and Prediction (FFMP) software allows Forecasters to see current radar derived rainfall rates, rainfall totals, and compares data to Flash Flood Guidance. This software helps forecasters identify areas of possible flooding sooner.



KLWX FFHP Threat BASIN Table 💎 🖻						
File Link to Frame Refresh D2D Ending Time: 21:05 Sat Aug 11						1
Ag LvIs: All & Only Sn 🔲 Display Rate 🛛 Thresh Type: precip 🔹 Sources: DHR						
Zoom Behavior: 🗾 Maintain Layer 📕 Only Basins in Parent 🛛 Click: Up/Down						
Group List Durations (hr): 0.50 🖂 Worst Case Display						ıy
Area_ld	Rate	Precip	FFG	Ratio	Diff	
8506	3.03	1.71	2.45	70	-0.74	A
8867	2.98	1.65	2.45	67	-0.80	
3590	3.06	1.61	2.45	65	-0.85	
4Z41	Z.60	1.54	Z.45	63	-0.91	
3589	2.09	1.52	2.45	62	-0.93	
3598	1.70	1.44	2.45	59	-1.01	
8468	2.94	1.46	2.45	59	-0.99	
3566	1.77	1.42	2.45	58	-1.03	
4209	2.89	1.42	2.45	58	-1.03	
8822	2.02	1.40	2.45	57	-1.05	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$

# The use of FFMP has improved the quality and timeliness of warnings.

- A study conducted in 2010 compared national verification statistics
  - Study looked at data from 1997-2000 (pre-FFMP) to those from 2006-2009 (post FFMP installation).

Years of Study	Probability of Detection (POD)	% of warnings with > 0 min lead time	Average lead time for warnings	False Alarm Ratio (FAR)
1997-2000	0.85	66%	47 min	0.42
2006-2009	0.91	79%	64 min	0.56

Green numbers show improvement between datasets. Note: the increase in FAR during the post FFMP installation is likely due to the issuance of warnings in remote location prior to flooding reports being relayed to NWS staff. It is possible that the FAR for 2006-2009 is higher as flooding could have occurred in remote areas and no verification was relayed to NWS personnel.

### Real-Time Feedback

- Forecasters need realtime reports of flash flooding and its impacts.
- Acquiring this information is difficult.
- Without ground-truth information
  - forecasters are unsure if flooding events are occurring or not,
  - increased forecaster uncertainty and stress.



David Vann, The Sentinel-Record, Hot Springs, AR

### **Real-time Feedback**



## • How to Improve?

- Volunteer Weather Spotters (SKYWARN)
- Social Media networks (e.g. Twitter and Facebook)
- Chat rooms for Forecasters, Emergency Managers and the Media
- Situational awareness displays



## Section 4 Communicating the Warning Message

NO BENEFIT unless the end user gets the warning in time and understands it so they can act



## **Traditional Dissemination Services**







### **Emergency Managers Weather Information Network (EMWIN)**



### Emergency Alert System (EAS)



# Integrated Public Alert and Warning System (IPAWS)

#### **IPAWS** Architecture

Standards Based Alert Message protocols, authenticated alert message senders, shared, trusted access & distribution networks, alerts delivered to more public interface devices



Fig. 6. IPAWS Architecture showing three key components: 1) alert origination by government alerting authorities, 2) alert aggregator/gateway or message router operated by FEMA and, 3) the public alerting systems operated by government agencies and private sector organizations disseminating alerts and warnings to the American people.

# For NWS Partners and Emergency Responders

### **INWS MOBILE ALERTING**

Receive customized text message and e-mail alerts for National Weather Service products that you care about.



## **Commercial Dissemination Services**

Commercial Services





## Section 5 Completing Life Saving Actions

### **Response Factors**

- Past Experience
- Understanding and
   assessment of threat
- Evaluation and Trust in source of information



### **Response Factors**

- What are neighbors <u>doing</u>?
- What are <u>local</u> police/fire departments saying?



### **Response Factors**

• What are other motorists doing?

 Exposure to Flood Safety Education



## "Tabletop" Flood Exercise



## Flood Safety Education – Best Practices

### Check for the latest forecast





### **Get Insurance**





### http://www.weather.gov/floodsafety/ index.shtml

## Flood Safety Awareness – Public Service Announcements



### http://www.youtube.com/user/susquehannariverb c#p/a/u/1/tKQyzRbivWc

### Flood Safety Awareness - Nurture Nature Project



http://www.focusonfloods.org/

## Flood Safety Awareness - Outreach





## Section 6 Evolution of Services – Next 5-10 Years

## Remaining Flash Flood Challenges

- Analysis and Communication of Location:
  - Unlike river flood warnings for specific points where there is a gage, flash flood warnings occur in small ungaged streams and washes or entirely outside the channel.
  - Flash floods may occur in the immediate vicinity of heavy precipitation, or a significant distance downstream.
- Analysis and Communication of <u>Magnitude</u>
  - River flooding is communicated in terms of minor, moderate, or major flood based on impacts
  - Flash flood warnings are binary and can't distinguish between small scale events that close low water crossings to catastrophic events that devastate entire

### **Future Needs**

- Accurate Distributed Hydrologic Models
  - Fine Temporal Resolution (hourly or better)
  - Fine Spatial Resolution (4 km or better)



## Community Hydrologic Prediction System (CHPS)

- New operational hydrologic modeling software architecture
  - Better integration and leveraging of modeling activities with other water agencies, academia, and the private sector.
  - New hydrologic models can be incorporated easily
  - Client-server connection: WFO forecasters may be able to remotely run a flash flood model resident on the RFC CHPS system.



## Integrated Water Resources Science and Services (IWRSS)

#### Innovative Federal Consortium

- New business model for interagency collaboration
- Common Operating Picture

#### In-Region Stakeholder Participation

- Deployment of regional service agents
- Integrated use of field offices

### New Digital Information Products

- Summit to Sea
- Floods to Droughts
- Past. Present and Future
- High Resolution, Quantify Uncertainty

#### Single Portal for Water Information

- One-stop shopping
- Federal Toolbox for forecasts, data, maps, policies, programs

#### National Water Center

- New bricks and mortar facility
- Synthesis and Integration
- Multi-agency staffing

# FIVE-POINT STRATEGY

## **New Digital Information Products**

### Summit-to-Sea



### Local Information (500-meter)



Precipitation
Snowpack
Soil Moisture
Evapotranspiration
Groundwater
River Flow
Surface Storage
Water Quality

Watershed - to - National Information

Past

Present



## **IWRSS Spiral Path of Development**

- Pilot Projects Build a little, Test a little, Field a little
- MARFC Flash Flood Improvement Pilot planned
  - Goal Increase warning lead time and increased ability to distinguish relative magnitude or risk
  - Use latest available high resolution MPE and 0-6 hour QPF forecasts
  - Incorporate QPF forecasts into DHM-TF technique for MARFC area
  - Work with WFO LWX and WFO BGM on useful real-time displays
  - Test use of CHPS Client running at the WFO to access model/displays
  - Test remote CHPS back-up capabilities by running DHM-TF at NOHRSC
  - Verification of results and critical feedback from users and stakeholders

# **National Water Center**

- NOAA facility on campus of University of Alabama
- Only facility in the world to unite water resources forecast operations with research to deliver IWRSS
- Multi-agency staffing
- 24x7 Operations Center
- Science and technology integration



## Summary

- NWS Improvements since 1980s have led to improved precipitation measurements, QPF, forecaster analysis tools, warning dissemination methods, and education and outreach.
- NWS plans to take several significant steps over the next 5-10 years
  - Better rainfall estimates from dual pol radar
  - Better 0-3 hour rainfall forecasts by combining multisensor and mesoscale based techniques
  - New CHPS software architecture enabling better collaboration with partners on the use and application of distributed models.
  - IWRSS partnership to better leverage complimentary work and improve data sharing with other federal agencies.
  - Continued development and testing of improved forecaster analysis tools through pilot projects.

## Summary

- Substantial flood awareness and education program is key to the success of any warning and response system.
  - Local warning plans need to be reviewed and practiced on a regular basis
  - Awareness and knowledge needs to be prevalent in the community so that safety measures are implemented without delay to prevent loss of life and save property.
- Improvements in Flash Flood Warning Services will help us build a Weather-Ready Nation in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century!



## Thank You!

### **Contact Information:**

### Peter Ahnert

Middle Atlantic River Forecast Center

328 Innovation Blvd. (STE 330)

State College PA, 16803

Email: <a href="mailto:peter.ahnert@noaa.gov">peter.ahnert@noaa.gov</a>

Tel: 814-231-2432