The Utilization of Current Forecast Products in a Probabilistic Airport Capacity Model Jonathan Cunningham*, Lara Cook, and Chris Provan Mosaic ATM, Leesburg, Virginia

Background

- Approximately 70% of flight delays and cancellations in the National Airspace System (NAS) are caused by severe weather.
- The skill of current forecast products has increased in recent years, improvements are still needed in both the tactical (1-2 hours) and st hours) time frames.
- This deficiency was apparent while developing a weather translation integrates a weather forecast and its inherent uncertainty to produc prediction of the weather's impact on NAS operations.
- Our weather translation model focuses on predicting the airport arri the presence of weather for the purpose of planning Ground Delay A GDP is a traffic management initiative (TMI) where aircraft are dela departure airport in order to manage demand at a capacity constrain
- The model was developed using weather and airport configuration 2008 and 2010 from Newark Liberty International Airport (EWR) and International Airport (ORD).

Model Overview

- The Weather Translation Model for GDP Planning (WTMG) is a twostatistical model built using MATLAB's *TreeBagger* class.
 - Prediction Model: Trained with historical weather forecasts and observed AARs, a bootstrapped regression tree methodology is used to create deterministic AAR predictions.
 - Sampling Model: Builds an empirical error distribution around each deterministic AAR prediction and creates a set of capacity scenarios Single-tree from the current time period to ten hours into the future.



Aggregate Prediction

Predictions

- WTMG runs in two modes:
 - Static Mode generates each future hour's probabilistic AAR on the forecast information available at the time of the predi



 $(a_{t+1}, a_{t+2}, a_{t+3}, \dots, a_{t+n})$

 Dynamic Mode – generates each future hour's probabilistic A based on the previous hour's sample AAR.







	operational forecast products:				
but					
trategic (3-8	1.	Localized Avia	ation MOS Product (LA	AMP)	
		Type: Deterministic/Probabilistic			
n model that		Forecast Hor	<i>izon</i> : 24 – 25 hours		
ce a probabilistic	Domain: Terminal Area				
		Temporal Resolution: 1 hour			
rival rate (AAR) in		<i>Issuance</i> : Ho	urly		
Programs (GDP). layed at their ined airport. data between d O'Hare		Forecast Fields: temperature, dewpoint, wind: visibility, conditional visibility, c height, sky cover, obstruction t deterministic and probabilistic precipitation (6 hours), freezing			
	2.	Terminal Aero	odrome Forecast (TAF)		
		<i>Type</i> : Deterministic			
		Forecast Horizon: 24 – 30 hours			
		Domain: Terminal Area			
<i>Temporal Resolution</i> : up to 1 h					
orical ata		<i>Issuance</i> : Every 6 hours (00, 06, 12, and 18 UTC			
	Forecast Fields: visibility, ceiling, wind: directio				
	weather				
1 2 N					
	 Most forecast fields are able to be used in their pre LAMP and TAF forecast fields required additional pr useable format for WTMG. 				
$a_1 \qquad a_2 \qquad \cdots \qquad a_N$		 LAMP Sky Cover, Obstruction to Vision, and numerical values based on severity. 			
$\bar{a} = (1/N) \Sigma(a_n)$					
	LAMP & TAF Wind Speed and Gust fields: Or				
R prediction based		based on the distribution of all wind forecas			
iction.		 TAF Ceiling and Visibility: Forecasts were org 			
	used for the LAMP forecasts.				
	 IAF Significant Weather: Often times, multip 				
	tor a given time period. To account for this, i				
	only one using an order of severity.				
			Orde	r of Severitv	
			1 – Tornado	9 – Ice Pe	
AAR prediction			2 – Thunderstorm	10 – Rain	
			3 – Squalls	11 – Fog	
			4 – Blowing Snow	12 – Drizz	
			5 – Snow	13 – Mist	
			6 - Freezing Rain	14 - Smol	
				TO - Haze	
			8 – Freezing Fog		

*Corresponding Author: Jonathan Cunningham, Mosaic ATM, Leesburg, VA email: jcunningham@mosaicatm.com

Weather Forecast Products Results • As a part of the input dataset, WTMG is run using one of the following two Four different versions of the WTMG model were built and tested based on the two different modes and forecast products: LAMP/Static, LAMP/Dynamic, TAF/Static, and TAF/Dynamic The primary metric used to evaluate the prediction model within WTMG is the root mean squared error (RMSE) between the LAMP - Static predicted AAR and the actual AAR. - - - - - LAMP - Dynamic TAF - Static - - - - - TAF - Dynamic Two baseline RMSEs were computed to – Baseline - Static - Baseline - Dynam quantify the benefits of WTMG: nd: direction, speed, and gust, *Baseline – Static*: The predicted AAR ity, ceiling height, conditional ceiling is equal to the actual AAR at the time on to vision, thunderstorm: of the forecast. stic (2 hours), and probabilities of Baseline – Dynamic: The predicted AAR 5 6 7 Lead Time (hrs) 3 4 ezing precipitation, and snow is equal to the AAR from the previous hour. To evaluate the uncertainty generated by the sampling model, two methods were used: *Capture Rate*: Finds the frequency that the actual AAR was captured in the central x-th percentile of the sample AARs at each leadtime n. *Cumulative Capture Rate*: Finds the frequency that the cumulative actual AAR was captured in the central x-th percentile of the cumulative sample AARs UTC) through leadtime n. For a perfect error distribution, the capture rate must match the percentile; e.g., ction, speed, and gust, and significant the 50th percentile capture rate would be 0.5. Capture Rate of Samples: EWR, L/S Capture Rate of Cumulative Samples: EWR, L/S present condition, but a number of al processing to convert into a and Precipitation Type: Converted to : Organized into subjective categories ecasts. organized into the same categories — Center 90th — Center 90th Center 80th Center 80th Center 50th Center 50th Center 30th Center 30th 5 6 5 6 7 8 ultiple weather factors are forecast Lead Time (hrs) Lead Time (hrs) nis, multiple factors were filtered to **Conclusions, Improvements & Future Work** Current weather forecast products provide the WTMG with satisfactory information, but enhancements are necessary. • The TAF is not detailed enough to adapt to fast-changing weather events and the Pellets lack of spatial resolution in the LAMP does not allow it to account for any weather that is occurring beyond the terminal area. ain • A number of important model improvements have been found to be necessary through this research, especially for future TFM work:)rizzle • Improved probabilistic weather forecasts. /list • Higher resolution thunderstorm forecasts both spatially and temporally. moke • Advanced model physics and algorithms than can accurately parameterize

- capacity predictions.





mesoscale phenomena around the terminal area.

• Higher resolution forecasts in the terminal area.

• Future work includes further refining the WTMG model to effectively utilize current forecast products and the integration of the experimental gridded LAMP products as well as LAMP Convection. This may help provide the necessary weather

information in the surrounding terminal area that can improve airport arrival