

## Global assessment of longwave radiative fluxes estimated by NASA/GEWEX Surface Radiation Budget (SRB) and CALIPSO-CloudSat-CERES-MODIS (C3M)



A. Viudez-Mora\*, P.W. Stackhouse Jr., and S. Kato NASA Langley Research Center, Climate Science Branch, Science Mission Directorate, Hampton, VA, United States

## Abstract

This study shows a global assessment of the longwave fluxes by the NASA/ GEWEX Surface Radiation Budget (Release 3.1) (SRB), and the CALIPSO-CloudSat-CERES-MODIS, hereafter C3M, Release B1. The origin of the differences are also analyzed and discussed. The period of time used in this study corresponds to the entire overlapping year of 2007

## Introduction

Longwave radiative fluxes (LRFs) effect and therefore with the climate change. Significant progress has been made in this field since the groundbase and satellite measurements. A better estimation of these fluxes would provide an understanding of the origin of their variability and trends. And therefore the implications in the current climate change. The results of this study quantify the. agreement and the origin of differences for the LRFs at the TOA (OLR) and surface (DLF).



C)

group (16) footprints [Kato et. CERES footprint



Global and zonal monthly average OLR at the TOA difference for the clear SRB-GLW (blended between GEOS4 and ISCCP retrievals - top left) SRB-GEOS4Skt (GEOS4 surface skin temperature only - top center). OLR at the TOA for C3M all sky (bottom left) clear sky cases (bottom center). CRE difference between SRB-GLW and C3M at the TOA (bottom right) for January 2007. Surface skin temperature difference between SRB-G4Skt and C3M (top right). Units for fluxes are in Wm<sup>-2</sup> and temperature in K.



Global and zonal monthly average for the all (top left) clear (bottom left) sky downwelling longwave fluxes for C3M. DLF difference SRB-Global and 20 minuting average for the an (open) clear (option rein) and commission and option rein) and commission of the analysis 2007 Units are in Wm<sup>-2</sup>



Global and zonal monthly average for the CRE for C3M (left) CRE difference SRB-GLW (blended between GEOS4 and ISCCP retrievals. center) and LPLA (using GEOS4 surface skin temperature only - right) between C3M for January 2007. Units are in Wm

	TOA			SURFACE					
	ALL	CLEAR	CRE	ALL		CLEAR		CRE	
				GLW	LPLA	GLW	LPLA	GLW	LPLA
January	0.6 ± 3.2	-0.4 ± 1.5	0.9 ± 3.4	-1.2 ± 4.9	1.3 ± 5.4	-2.3 ± 0.8	-0.2 ± 3.5	1.1 ± 5.4	1.5 ± 5.1
April	0.4 ± 3.0	0.01 ± 1.2	0.4 ± 3.2	-0.2 ± 4.1	2.0 ± 5.3	-2.5 ± 0.8	-0.5 ± 3.6	2.3 ± 4.5	2.5 ± 4.2
July	1.3 ± 2.8	0.4 ± 1.3	0.9 ± 3.0	-2.3 ± 4.1	-0.2 ± 4.3	-2.7 ± 0.9	0.2 ± 3.9	0.5±4.3	-0.3 ± 3.9
October	0.7 ± 3.0	-0.2 ± 1.8	0.9 ± 3.2	-3.2 ± 4.7	-0.4 ± 6.6	-2.4 ± 0.8	-0.2 ± 2.6	-0.8 ± 5.2	-0.1 ± 5.6

Statistics (Mean Bias ± Standard Deviation) for the Global monthly mean difference for the TOA (only GLW) and Surface (GLW and LPLA) in January, April, July and October 2007. Units are in Wm-2

## Conclusions

Due to the different spatial and temporal resolutions of SRB and C3M, we combined all the collocated looking up (LU) methodology. Presumably, the difference in cloud fractions for each approache silve to the solution of SRB and C3M, we combined all the collocated looking up (LU) methodology. Presumably, the difference in cloud fractions for each approache silve solutions of SRB and C3M, we combined all the collocated looking up (LU) methodology. Presumably, the difference in cloud fractions for each approache silve solutions of SRB and C3M, we combined all the collocated looking up (LU) methodology. For indide clouds the LU and LD approaches give 12% more clouds in or a final global grid of 2 latitude x 5<sup>cl</sup> ionglude). The results of this study quantify the agreement between both projects for mean monthly differences. The origin of the cloud practine site (CLF). For all-sity, carding looking look active measurements from CloudSat and Calipso. Comparisons of the cloud properties for high, middle surface, the DLF CRE agreement was 15:651 and 1.15:54 Wm<sup>2</sup> for LPLA and SRB respectively with CSM and low clouds were made to illustrate their relative importance on the observed OLR and DLF differences. at the surface. Future work will more explicitly consider the cloud overlapping assumptions of SRB relative To illustrate the difference between an ISCCP passive cloud relieval approach and the C3M bleedded to the C3M to explain the differences more accurately. ive cloud profile retrievals and resulting SRB/C3M flux differences, we use a looking down (LD) and a



fraction 2°x5° Jan 200

action 2<sup>°</sup>a5<sup>°</sup> Jan 200

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge support by the Surface Radiation Budget Project funded under the NASA Science Mission Directorate Radiation Sciences program. They also acknowledge the Atmospheric Sciences Data Center of Langley Research Center for access to the NASA/GEWEX SRB and CCCM data set. The first author has a fellowship under the NPP (NASA Postdoctoral Program) administrated by Oak Ridge Associated Universitie

References OR code Jupta S. K. W. Kato, S., et al. (2011), Improvements of to



DLF Surface

**CRE Surface** 

Schematic representation of cloud type classification looking down [LD] (*left*) and looking up [LU] (*right*) for each collocated CloudSat-CALIPSO