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## Summary

## Motivation

- 4DVAR sets up a very large nonlinear least squares problem.
- Expensive: iterations, each evaluating the model, tangent and adjoing operators, and solving large linear least squares.
- Extra code for tangent and adjoint operators.
- Iterations may not converge, not even locally.
- Need to parallelize.


## Method

- Solve the linear least squares from 4DVAR by EnKS, naturally parallel over the ensemble members.
- Linear algebra glue is cheap, or use parallel dense libraries.
- Finite differences $\Rightarrow$ no tangent and adjoint operators needed.
- Add Tikhonov regularization to the linear least squares $\Rightarrow$ Levelberg-Marquardt method, guaranteed convergence.
- Cheap and simple implementation of Tikhonov regularization within EnKS as an additional observation.


## Weak Constraint 4DVAR

- We want to determine $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}\left(x_{i}=\right.$ state at time $\left.i\right)$ approximately from model and observations (data)

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
x_{0} & \approx x_{\mathrm{b}} & \text { state at time } 0 \approx \text { the background } \\
x_{i} & \approx \mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right) & \\
\text { state evolution } \approx \text { by the model } \\
\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) & \approx y_{i} & \\
\text { value of observation operator } \approx \text { the data }
\end{array}
$$

- quantify " $\approx$ " by covariances

$$
x_{0} \approx x_{\mathrm{b}} \Leftrightarrow\left\|x_{0}-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^{2}=\left(x_{0}-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(x_{0}-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \approx 0 \text { etc. }
$$

- $\Rightarrow$ nonlinear least squares problem

$$
\left\|x_{0}-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|x_{i}-\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{Q}_{i}^{-1}}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|y_{i}-\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1}}^{2} \rightarrow \min _{x_{0: k}}
$$

- Originally in 4DVAR, $x_{i}=\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)$. The weak constraint $x_{i} \approx \mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)$ accounts for model error (Trémolet, 2007).


## Incremental 4DVAR

- Incremental approach (Courtier et al., 1994): linearization

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}+\delta x_{i-1}\right) & \approx \mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)+\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right) \delta x_{i-1} \\
\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}+\delta x_{i}\right) & \approx \mathcal{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{i}^{\prime}\left(\delta x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- gives the Gauss-Newton method (Bell, 1994), iterations

$$
x_{0: k} \leftarrow x_{0: k}+\delta x_{0: k}
$$

with the linear least squares problem for the increments $\delta x_{0: k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{0}+\delta x_{0}-x_{\mathrm{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^{2}+ & \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|x_{i}+\delta x_{i}-\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right) \delta x_{i-1}\right\|_{\mathbf{Q}_{i}^{-1}}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|y_{i}-\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)-\mathcal{H}_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \delta x_{i}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1}}^{2} \rightarrow \min _{\delta x_{0: k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linearized 4DVAR as Kalman smoother

Write the linear least squares problem for the increments $z_{0: k}=\delta x_{0: k}$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|z_{0}-z_{\mathrm{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|z_{i}-\mathbf{M}_{i} z_{i-1}-m_{i}\right\|_{\mathbf{Q}_{i}^{-1}}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|d_{i}-\mathbf{H}_{i} z_{i}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1}}^{2} \rightarrow \min _{z_{0: k}} \\
z_{\mathrm{b}}=x_{\mathrm{b}}-x_{0}, \quad m_{i}=\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-x_{i}, \quad d_{i}=y_{i}-\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}\right), \\
\mathbf{M}_{i}=\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right), \quad \mathbf{H}_{i}=\mathcal{H}_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- This is the same function as minimized in the Kalman smoother (Rauch et al., 1965; Bell, 1994)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
Z_{0} & =z_{\mathrm{b}} & & +V_{0}, \\
& & V_{0} \sim N(0, \mathbf{B}) \\
Z_{i} & =\mathbf{M}_{i} Z_{i-1}+m_{i} & +V_{i}, & V_{i} \sim N\left(0, \mathbf{Q}_{i}\right) \\
d_{i} & =\mathbf{H}_{i} Z_{i} & & +W_{i},
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& W_{i} \sim N\left(0, \mathbf{R}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The least squares solution is the mean conditioned on the data

$$
z_{0: k}=E\left(Z_{0: k} \mid d_{1: k}\right)
$$

## Kalman smoother for 4DVAR increments

- The least squares solution is the maximum likelihood estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(z_{0: k} \mid d_{1: k}\right)=p\left(z_{0}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} p\left(z_{i} \mid z_{i-1}, d_{i}\right) \\
& \propto p\left(z_{0}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} p\left(d_{i} \mid z_{i}\right) p\left(z_{i} \mid z_{i-1}\right) \\
& \propto \underbrace{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{0}-z_{\mathrm{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^{2}}}_{\propto p\left(z_{0}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \underbrace{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathbf{H}_{i} z_{i}-d_{i}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1}}^{2}}}_{\propto p\left(d_{i} \mid z_{i}\right)} \underbrace{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{i}-\mathbf{M}_{i} z_{i-1}-m_{i}\right\|_{\mathbf{Q}_{i}^{-1}}^{2}}}_{\propto p\left(z_{i} \mid z_{i-1}\right)} \rightarrow \max _{z_{0: k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Bayes theorem and the independence of the errors.

- All distributions in the linearized problem for the increments $\delta x_{i}$ are gaussian $\Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { least squares solution } & =\text { max of the joint pdf } p\left(z_{0: k} \mid d_{1: k}\right) \\
& =\text { mean of the joint pdf } p\left(z_{0: k} \mid d_{1: k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Kalman smoother

- Recall: $\delta x_{0: k}$ are the mean of the smoothing $\operatorname{pdf} p\left(\delta x_{0: k} \mid d_{1: k}\right)$ :

$$
\underbrace{p\left(z_{0: k} \mid d_{1: k}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { joint analysis at } \\
\text { times } 0 \text { to } k
\end{array}} \propto p\left(z_{0}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \underbrace{p\left(d_{i} \mid z_{i}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Bayesian } \\
\text { update at } i
\end{array}} \underbrace{p\left(z_{i} \mid z_{i-1}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { advance time } \\
i-1 \text { to } i
\end{array}}
$$

- Rewrite as the recursion:

$$
\underbrace{p\left(z_{0: k} \mid d_{1: k}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { joint analysis at } \\
\text { times } 0 \text { to } k
\end{array}}=\underbrace{p\left(d_{k} \mid z_{k}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Bayesian } \\
\text { update at } k
\end{array}} \underbrace{p\left(z_{k} \mid z_{k-1}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { advance time } \\
k-1 \text { to } k
\end{array}} \underbrace{p\left(z_{0: k-1} \mid d_{1: k-1)}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { joint analysis at } \\
\text { times } 0 \text { to } k-1
\end{array}}
$$

- Compare with the filter (sequential Bayesian estimation):

$$
\underbrace{p\left(z_{k} \mid d_{1: k}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { analysis at } \\
\text { time } k
\end{array}} \propto \underbrace{p\left(d_{k} \mid z_{k}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Bayesian } \\
\text { update at } k
\end{array}} \underbrace{p\left(z_{k} \mid d_{1: k-1}\right)=\text { forecast at time } k}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { advance time } \\
k-1 \text { to } k
\end{array}} \underbrace{p\left(z_{k} \mid z_{k-1}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { analysis at } \\
\text { time } k-1
\end{array}} \underbrace{p\left(z_{k-1} \mid d_{1: k-1}\right)}
$$

- Smoother $=$ filter + update the history exactly the same way.


## Ensemble Kalman smoother (EnKS)

Ensembles: $U^{N}=\left[u^{1}, \ldots, u^{N}\right] . V^{N} \sim N(m, \mathbf{A})$ is i.i.d. from $N(m, \mathbf{A})$, $Z_{i \mid k}^{N}$ is ensemble of states at time $i$, conditioned on all data up to time $i$.
Ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF): Initialize $Z_{0 \mid 0}^{N} \sim N\left(z_{b}, B\right)$.
For $i=1, \ldots, k$, advance in time

$$
Z_{i \mid i-1}^{N}=\mathbf{M}_{i} Z_{i-1 \mid i-1}^{N}+V_{i}, \quad V_{i} \sim N\left(m_{i}, \mathbf{Q}_{i}\right)
$$

followed by the analysis step

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z_{i \mid i}^{N}=Z_{i \mid i-1}^{N}-\mathbf{P}_{i}^{N} \mathbf{H}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{P}_{i}^{N} \mathbf{H}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{H}_{i} Z_{i \mid i-1}^{N}-D_{i}\right), \quad D_{i} \sim N\left(d_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i}\right) \\
\mathbf{P}_{i}^{N}=\frac{1}{N-1}\left(Z_{i \mid i-1}^{N}-\bar{Z}_{i \mid i-1}^{N}\right)\left(Z_{i \mid i-1}^{N}-\bar{Z}_{i \mid i-1}^{N}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \quad(\text { sample covariance })
\end{gathered}
$$

So the analysis step makes linear combinations (transformation by a $\mathbf{T}_{i}^{N}$ ):

$$
Z_{i \mid i}^{N}=Z_{i \mid i-1}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{N}, \quad \mathbf{T}_{i}^{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} .
$$

EnKS $=$ EnKF + transform the history exactly the same way:

$$
z_{0: i \mid i}^{N}=Z_{0: i \mid i-1}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{N}
$$

## Derivative-free implementation of the EnKS - model

The linearized model $\mathbf{M}_{i}=\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)$ occurs only in advancing the time as action on the ensemble $Z^{N}=\left[z^{n}\right]=\left[\delta x^{n}\right]$

$$
\mathbf{M}_{i} \delta x_{i-1}^{n}+m_{i}=\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right) \delta x_{i-1}^{n}+\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-x_{i}
$$

Approximating by finite differences with a parameter $\tau>0$ :

$$
\mathbf{M}_{i} \delta x_{i-1}^{n}+m_{i} \approx \frac{\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}+\tau \delta x_{i-1}^{n}\right)-\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)}{\tau}+\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-x_{i}
$$

Needs $N+1$ evaluations of $\mathcal{M}_{i}$, at $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i-1}+\tau \delta x_{i-1}^{n}$.
Accurate in the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$.
For $\tau=1$, recover the nonlinear model: $\mathcal{M}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}+\delta x_{i-1}^{n}\right)-x_{i}$

## Derivative-free implementation of the EnKS - observation

The observation matrix occurs only in the action on the ensemble,

$$
\mathbf{H}_{i} Z^{N}=\left[\mathbf{H}_{i} \delta x^{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{H}_{i} \delta x^{N}\right] .
$$

Approximating by finite differences with a parameter $\tau>0$ :

$$
\mathbf{H}_{i} \delta x_{i}^{n} \approx \frac{\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}+\tau \delta x_{i-1}^{n}\right)-\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(x_{i-1}\right)}{\tau}
$$

Needs $N+1$ evaluations of $\mathcal{H}_{i}$, at $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i-1}+\tau \delta x_{i-1}^{n}$.
Accurate in the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$.
$\tau=1 \Rightarrow$ becomes exactly the EnKS run on the nonlinear problem
$\Rightarrow$ EnKS independent of the point of linearization
$\Rightarrow$ no convergence of the 4DVAR iterations.
In our tests, $\tau=0.1$ seems to work well enough.

## Tikhonov regularization and Levenberg-Marquardt method

- Gauss-Newton may not converge, even locally. Add a penalty (Tikhonov regularization) to control the size of the increments $\delta x_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\delta x_{0}-z_{\mathbf{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^{2}+ & \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|\delta x_{i}-\mathbf{M}_{i} \delta x_{i-1}-m_{i}\right\|_{\mathbf{Q}_{i}^{-1}}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|d_{i}-\mathbf{H}_{i} \delta x_{i}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1}}^{2} \\
& +\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left\|\delta x_{i}\right\|_{\mathbf{S}_{i}^{-1}}^{2} \rightarrow \min _{\delta x_{0: k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Becomes the Levenberg-Marquardt method, which is guaranteed to converge for large enough $\gamma$.
- Implement the regularization as independent observations $\delta x_{i} \approx 0$ with error covariance $\mathbf{S}_{i}$ : simply run the analysis step the second time (Johns and Mandel, 2008). Here, this is statistically exact because the distributions in the Kalman smoother are gaussian.


## Convergence of the ensemble Kalman smoother

- Consider reference random vector $Z_{i \mid k}$, the state at time $i$ conditioned exactly on all data up to time $k$.
- Algorithm (Kalman smoother on reference random vectors) Initialize $Z_{0 \mid 0} \sim N\left(z_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathbf{B}\right)$.
For $i=1, \ldots, k$, advance in time

$$
Z_{i \mid i-1}=\mathbf{M}_{i} Z_{i-1 \mid i-1}^{N}+V_{i}, \quad V_{i} \sim N\left(m_{i}, \mathbf{Q}_{i}\right)
$$

followed by the analysis step with the exact covariance

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Z_{0: \mid i}= \\
Z_{0: i \mid i-1}-\mathbf{P}_{0: i, i} \mathbf{H}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{P}_{i} \mathbf{H}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{H}_{i} Z_{i \mid i-1}^{n}-D_{i}\right), \\
\quad \text { where } \mathbf{P}_{0: i, i}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Z_{0: i \mid i-1}, Z_{i \mid i-1}\right), \quad D_{i} \sim N\left(d_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

- Theorem $Z_{i \mid i}$ has the filtering distribution $p\left(z_{i} \mid d_{1: i}\right)$.
- Theorem $Z_{0: i \mid i}$ has the smoothing distribution $p\left(z_{0: i} \mid d_{1: i}\right)$.
- Theorem (Convergence of the ensemble Kalman smoother)

$$
\mathbf{P}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{i}, \quad Z_{i \mid i}^{j} \rightarrow Z_{i \mid i} \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty, \text { for all } i \text {, in all } L^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty .
$$

## Computational results

Lorenz 63 model

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d x}{d t}=-\sigma(x-y) \\
\frac{d y}{d t}=\rho x-y-x z \\
\frac{d z}{d t}=x y-\beta z
\end{gathered}
$$



## EnKS-4DVAR for Lorenz 63 model



Root mean square error of EnKS-4DVAR iterations over 50 timesteps

| Iteration | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RMSE | 20.16 | 15.37 | 3.73 | 2.53 | 0.09 | 0.09 |

## An example where Gauss-Newton does not converge

$$
\left(x_{0}-2\right)^{2}+\left(3+x_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}+10^{6}\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \min
$$

4DVAR with $x_{b}=2, \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{I}, M_{1}=I, \mathcal{H}_{1}(x)=x^{2}, y_{1}=3, \mathbf{Q}_{1}=10^{-6}$


## Related work

- The equivalence between weak constraint 4DVAR and Kalman smoothing is approximate for nonlinear problems, but still useful (Fisher et al., 2005).
- Hamill and Snyder (2000) estimated backgroud covariance from ensemble for 4DVAR.
- Gradient methods in the span of the ensemble for one analysis cycle (i.e., 3DVAR) include Zupanski (2005), Sakov et al. (2012) (with square root EnKF as a linear solver in Newton method), and Bocquet and Sakov (2012), who added regularization and use LETKF-like approach to minimize the nonlinear cost function over linear combinations of the ensemble.
- Liu et al. $(2008,2009)$ combine ensembles with (strong constraint) 4DVAR and minimize in the observation space.
- Zhang et al. (2009) use EnKF to obtain the covariance for 4DVAR, and 4DVAR to feed the mean analysis into EnKF.
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