American Meteorological Society 94<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting Impact of Extreme Weather and Climate on Urban Environment

#### Numerical Investigation of Summer Sea Breeze Using Updated Urban Aerodynamic Parameters in Kanto Region

Alvin Christopher G. Varquez, Makoto Nakayoshi,

Manabu Kanda



## Update on Roughness Parameters

 Thanks to Large Eddy Simulations, a precise estimate of drag and aerodynamic parameters have been made possible.

Updates to roughness parameters *zO* and *d* by Kanda et al. (2013)

1 km resolution of *z0* and *d* were prepared.



#### Building Database source: CAD CENTErR



Previously, frontal area and plane area with average building height (Macdonald et al., 1998) Height variation in grid matters (Kanda et al., 2013) Consideration of

# Today's Objective

- How distributed parameters parameters is incorporated in WRF-UCM?
- How significant is this update in numerical simulations?
- Will this improve our understanding and the simulation of wind circulation (in today's case, sea breeze)?

#### Default and Updated z0 and d

By **default** High-intensity Residential in WRF, **5.71 m** and **0.33 m** for *d* and *z0m* 



# Incorporating new aerodynamic parameters into the UCM

- Issue: default UCM relies on two z0m, for roof and canopy.
- Bottom-up → Top-down scheme
- Bulk transfer coefficient acquired from high-resolution z0m
- Local transfer coefficients (CHR, CHB, and CHG) were determined directly from bulk transfer coefficient (CHC)



## Additional improvements

- Oasis Effect (new formulation of *zOh\**)
  from Kawai et al., 2009
- Modification of urban fraction using available high-resolution 100-m land use data
- Distributed sky-view factor from Kanda et al., 2005



# Additional improvements

- Oasis Effect (new formulation of *zOh\**) from Kawai et al., 2009
- Modification of urban fraction using available high-resolution 100-m land use data
- Distributed sky-view factor from Kanda et al., 2005

Default for High-Intensity Residential Urban: 0.9

Distribution below derived from Japan GSI DEM



# Additional improvements

- Oasis Effect (new formulation of *zOh\**)
  from Kawai et al., 2009
- Modification of urban fraction using available high-resolution 100-m land use data
- Distributed sky-view factor from Kanda et al., 2005

**Default** for High-Intensity Residential Urban: **0.48**  $V_{loc} = \cos\left\{\tan^{-1}(2H/L)\right\} \left(2-4/\pi \tan^{-1}\left[\cos\left\{\tan^{-1}(2H/L)\right\}\right]\right),$   $V_{mod} = 0.1120\lambda_P V_{loc} - 0.4817\lambda_P + 0.0246V_{loc} + 0.9570,$   $SVF = V_{loc}V_{mod}$ 



#### 3 Cases

Parameters	CNTL	SDLC
<b>Z0</b> and <b>d</b>	Fixed 0.33,5.71	Distributed
<b>Urban Fraction</b>	Fixed 0.90	Distributed
SVF	Fixed 0.48	Distributed
AHE*	Distributed	Distributed
CH Scheme	Bottom-up	Top-down

#### Additional:

#### CNTL case w/ Urban Areas set to Grassland VEGE case

\* Patterned after Moriwaki et al., 2008

# Numerical Settings Meteorological Boundary Condition

<u>Atmosphere</u>

Japan Meteorological Agency Nonhydrostatic Mesoscale Model (MSM) – 3-hr., 0.1° x 0.125°

<u>Surface</u> NCEP FNL – 6-hr., 1.0 ° x 1.0 ° Parent to Nest Time-Step Ratio from 15s

7

Microphysics Longwave Radiation Shortwave Radiation Surface Layer Land Surface P.B.L. Cumulus Parameterization New Thompson et al. Scheme Rapid Radiative Transfer Model Goddard Shortwave MM5 Similarity Noah LSM MYNN Level 2.5 PBL Kain-Fritsch Scheme

1





#### **Results 2: Understanding Sea Breeze**



#### **Results 2: Understanding Sea Breeze**



- Strong <u>convergence/divergence</u> leeward from Tokyo could be seen when urban areas are considered.
- Believed to be reason for localized heavy rain during summer.
- Extended convergence line wider in SDLC case due to large roughness at Tokyo.

# **Conclusion and Recommendations**

- Drag at highly rough surfaces are represented more when new roughness parameters are employed.
- Accuracy of wind field improves. However, thermal outputs need further validation (difficulty in T2 observation gauge representativity).
- Influence of urban areas to the atmosphere is underestimated when default WRF-UCM is directly applied.
- Future sensitivity tests are necessary to understand individual contribution of detailed parameters.

### Acknowledgments





ΤΟΚΥΟ ΤΕΕΗ



## References

- Kusaka H, Kondo H, Kikegawa Y, Kimura F (2001) A Simple Single-Layer Urban Canopy Model For Atmospheric Models: Comparison with Multi-layer and Slab Models
- Kanda M, Inagaki A, Miyamoto T, Gryschka M, Raasch S (2013) A New Aerodynamic Parameterization for Real Urban Surfaces. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 148: 357-377. DOI: 10.1007/s10546-013-9818-x
- Macdonald RW, Griffiths RF, Hall DJ (1998) An improved method for the estimation of surface roughness of obstacle arrays. Atmos Environ 32: 1857-1864. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00403-2</u>
- Kanda M, Kawai T, Kanega M, Moriwaki R, Narita K, Hagishima A (2005a) A Simple Energy Balance Model For Regular Building Arrays. Boundary-Layer Meteorol, 116, 423-443
- Kanda M, Kawai T, Nakagawa K (2005b) A Simple Theoretical Radiation Scheme For Regular Building Arrays. Boundary-Layer Meteorol, 114, 71-90
- Kawai T, Ridwan MK, Kanda M (2009) Evaluation of the Simple Urban Energy Balance Model Using Calculated Data from 1-yr Flux Observations at Two Cities. J Appl Meteorol Climatol 48: 693-715. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1891.1</u>
- Moriwaki R, Kanda M, Senoo H, Hagishima A, Kinouchi T (2008) Anthropogenic water vapor emissions in Tokyo. Water Resour Res 44: W11424. DOI: 10.1029/2007WR006624