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OBJECTIVES

Model simulations can provide details about tropical cyclones not available from observations, namely the ever important boundary layer processes. This study first finds the parameterization schemes that provide most correct simulation of Hurricane Humberto (2007), followed by an look at the processes present during the cyclone’s life.

METHODS

Using North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) and GFS 0.5° SST data for boundary conditions, many model runs were completed using differing microphysical schemes. Analysis “nudging” was then added to the runs to help resolve the cyclone. Using NCAR Command Language (NCL) scripts to provide surface pressure, winds, and radar reflectivity, the accuracy of the model run was judged against observations. Unidata’s Interactive Data Viewer (IDV) was used for analysis of the cyclone. ARW was run with 3 model domains of 4km, 12km, and 36km from 9Z on Sep. 12th to 15Z on Sep. 13th (TD formed at 15Z on 12th and made landfall at 7Z on the 13th near High Island, Texas).

CONCLUSIONS

A model simulation of Hurricane Humberto (2007) without model nudging could not be achieved during this study. With analysis nudging, the low was well defined and followed the observed track. This inclusion of analysis nudging allowed for sufficiently accurate data for analysis. This analysis showed very distinct vortical hot towers (VHTs) throughout the model run. VHTs have been hypothesized to play a strong role in the intensification and formation of tropical cyclones (Hendricks & Montgomery, 2004; Montgomery et al., 2006; etc.). Following this assumption, it is not a far stretch to conclude that vortical hot towers played at least a part in the formation and intensification of Humberto.

“NUDGING” THE MODEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBSERVATIONS</th>
<th>WITHOUT ANALYSIS NUDGING</th>
<th>WITH ANALYSIS NUDGING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23Z</td>
<td>Central Pressure: No Low</td>
<td>Central Pressure: 1011mb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed: 35kts</td>
<td>Wind Speed: No Low</td>
<td>Wind Speed: 45kts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure: 998mb</td>
<td>Pressure: 1011mb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis nudging was tested because of the lack of low pressure formation using multiple different combinations of parameterizations, model domains, and “spin up” times. Analysis nudging is the process of combining the input analysis with the model output by “nudging” the output values closer to those of the input analysis using different weighting functions. The nudging was performed throughout the run with decreasing intensity. Nudging of temperature was turned off in the boundary layer, and nudging of water vapor was turned off throughout the domain. Despite this, the model still underestimated central pressure and wind speed. This could possibly be due to model nudging with the lower resolution analysis data (NARR has horizontal resolution of 32km). However, the parameterization schemes could have also inhibited strengthening.

VORTICAL HOT TOWERS

Vortical hot towers (VHTs) are localized cumulonimbus towers with strong positive vorticity. In this model simulation of Humberto, VHTs are present and merge just hours before the National Hurricane Center declared Humberto a tropical depression. Sang et al. (2008) stated that these VHTs are the “basic” structures of cyclone formation and intensification. As the storm intensifies, the VHTs seem to become more localized around the storm center. As this occurs, the central pressure drops and winds increase.
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