


Merged Satellite Microwave Radiometer Data Products for Climate Studies

Deborah K. Smith (smith@remss.com), Carl A. Mears, Kyle A. Hilburn, Lucrezia Ricciardulli
Remote Sensing Systems, Santa Rosa, CA

Download this poster at: <http://www.remss.com/support/publications>

Remote Sensing Systems



Summary

This poster describes three microwave climate products available from Remote Sensing Systems. The Total Column Water Vapor (also called total precipitable water, TPW), the 10-meter Surface Wind Speed, and the Mean Precipitation. These are created using high-quality, consistently-processed microwave radiometer data and can be used for model comparison of global trends and the study of regional variations. The data are of high quality as each instrument has been intercalibrated on the brightness temperature level and a consistent processing algorithm is used. The resulting products provide monthly-averaged global gridded maps over the ocean with a 1-deg spatial resolution.

Climate Product Contents

Remote Sensing Systems has produced three important climate products from Microwave Radiometer Total Column Water Vapor (TPW) and 10-m Surface Wind Speed data and Rain Rates.

The products are made from RSS Version-7 microwave radiometer data:

- all SSM/I (F8, F10, F11, F13, F14 and F15) - only F15 data before RADCAL beacon started in 2006
- SSMIS (F16, F17)
- AMSR-E - AMSR-E is only used in vapor, not wind speed or rain rate product
- WindSat
- No TMI data are used as it is not yet processed as V7, No AMSR2 yet

Each netCDF product file contains:


- Each netCDF product contains:
- Monthly mean maps
- A set of 12 month climatology maps (1998 – 2007)
- Monthly anomaly maps
- A global trend map
- A hovmöller (time-latitude) plot
- CF-compliant metadata
- Monthly global and tropical mean values

These climate products are available at ftp.remss.com/vapor/monthly_1deg/, ftp.remss.com/wind/monthly_1deg/, and soon ftp.remss.com/rain/monthly_1deg/. Images can be viewed by visiting www.remss.com and selecting the vapor, wind, or rain category.


The data are also available from the NASA Global Hydrology Resource Center (GHRC) DAAC at <ftp://ghrc.nsstc.nasa.gov/pub/tpw/data/> as individual month netCDF files

These products are updated monthly around the middle of the month.

An example of the mean TPW (**Figure 1**) and Surface Wind Speed (**Figure 2**) for July 2012 is shown below. Since the data product is in netCDF format, a tool like Panoply can be used to easily display and access the data and metadata (<http://www.giss.nasa.gov/tools/panoply>).



Monthly Average 10m Wind Speed Over Ice-Free Oceans



Construction Methodology

Each climate product was constructed using a two-step process to have greater control over quality checks. We start with carefully intercalibrated and uniformly processed microwave ocean retrievals.



STEP 1: We create monthly, 1x1 deg maps for each satellite. These interim files contain: mean wind or vapor, number of obs, number of ice obs, and mean-day-of-month.

To address spatial and temporal sampling inadequacies, a mean value is calculated only if the following conditions are met: number of obs > 160 for the month (at least 15% of potential measurements per grid cell), number of ice obs ≤ 30 (less than 3% of possible data are affected by ice), and mean-day-of-month minus center of month (usually 15 or 15.5) < 6 days. A few satellite-months require an exception to the 3rd rule to avoid large data gaps in the time series: F08 for Jan 1988, F08 for Oct 1990, and F10 for Dec 1991.

Figure 3 shows an example of the number of months for a single SSMI satellite in the 1988 to 2012 time period. Note the change in ice coverage and variations in measurement near land boundaries. By setting a requirement of 160 observations per month per grid cell for each satellite we remove the cells with inadequate sampling.

The temporal check of the mean-day-of-month allows for removal of cells along the ice edge for which the ice is advancing or receding during the month. It also helps to address uneven sampling by a satellite. For instance, **Figure 4** shows an example of the sampling of water vapor by an SSMI instrument as plotted on NCEP water vapor for a grid cell located in the Pacific Ocean. Polar orbiting instruments have irregular measurement patterns for grid cells that introduce sampling error. Removal of poorly sampled cells helps to assure better product quality.

STEP 2: We use a simple approach to combine the individual instrument maps (drop-in-bucket method) to make the final merged product. We apply post-hoc corrections to AMSR-E and WindSat to account for small offsets due to time-of-measurement effects and variations in calibration datasets. We calculate a climatology for 1988 to 2007 that will be recalculated every 10 years (next recalculation in 2017). Anomaly values are determined using this climatology.





What Do We Mean By Climate Quality?

- All instruments have been intercalibrated on the brightness temperature level to within 0.2 K
- Data are processed using a consistent algorithm and approach (which we call Version-7)
- Differences in instrument design (channels, frequencies, footprint sizes) have been taken into account by using a complicated radiative transfer model
- The products have been created in a manner that reduces data alteration and sampling biases

There are a number of uncertainty sources in these merged products: spatial-temporal sampling errors, uncertainty in inter-satellite calibration, and systematic errors in the retrieval algorithm. Measurement noise is greatly reduced when calculating monthly averaged values.







Important steps are taken to assure high quality vapor and surface wind speed values for climate study. We monitor the accuracies of the input data (V7 ocean retrievals), as shown in **Figure 5**: check of the water vapor from F15 SSMI to GPS vapor values. **Figure 6** shows the inter-satellite differences for water vapor data that are merged into the product. Differences are less than 0.1 mm for all instruments.

On global monthly time scales, we estimate the uncertainty of both water vapor and wind speed to be about 2% (0.05mm and 0.1 m/s).



Global Trends and Regional Patterns

The Global Trend Maps are shown below: Water Vapor Trend Map (kg/m² per decade) [**Figure 7**, top], the Surface Wind Speed Trend Map (m/s per decade) [**Figure 8**, center], and the Mean Rain Rate Trend Map (mm/hr per decade) [**Figure 9**, bottom]. A time series for each is shown to the right of the trend maps. The trend patterns are fairly robust since the scale of the variability in the trend pattern is much larger than the global or tropical trends. The linear trend maps are updated each year. For example, in Jan 2015, a new trend map will be available for 1988-2014. Overall, we see increasing TPW (0.33 kg/m² per decade), essentially unchanged wind speeds (0.021 m/s per decade), and rain rates (0.001 mm/hr per decade).



These data products can also be used to study regional patterns. For example, we can look at the variability of different months to determine if there are temporal or spatial features in the data that agree with other sources and with observed changes in weather. We used the water vapor and wind products to calculate 25-year trend maps for each month. **Figure 10** shows the water vapor (left) and wind speed (right) trend maps for 25 Decembers (top) and the same results for MERRA (bottom). The heavy black contour lines enclose areas with statistically significant trends (at the 95% level). An inspection of other months allows us to conclude that the agreement for December is typical. The boxes are sub-regions we are studying. One of these, The Gulf of Mexico demonstrates a drying trend in winter months and a moistening trend in summer months. MERRA is in good agreement. However, this finding disagrees with the NARR model which shows a drying trend in this region for all months (Barandiaran et al., 2013, GRL, doi:10.1002/2013GL058296).




Figure 10

These products are made possible by NASA Earth Sciences and NASA MEAsURES Funding