## **Remote sensing of clouds and calibration** using Fujifilm Finepix S1 digital camera

Clement Li<sup>1</sup>, Stephen E. Schwartz<sup>2</sup>, Viviana Vladutescu<sup>3</sup>, Antonio Aguirre<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>City College of the City University of New York, NY, NY 10031,

<sup>2</sup>Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, <sup>3</sup>New York City College of Technology, Brooklyn NY 11201





0.9986

0.996

- Clouds exert important radiative effects on climate.
- Clouds are commonly characterized by cloud fraction in measurements and models.
- We undertook high resolution photography from the surface to examine cloud structure at high resolution.



troduc

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

BROOKHAVEN

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Fujifilm Finepix S1 digital camera specifications							
	Focal length $= 215 \text{ mm}$ ,	f# = 5.6,	Effective aperture diameter = 38.4 mm,				
35 mm Equivalent focal length $= 1200$ mm							
	Output: 3456 x 4608 pixels;		16 bit of red green and blue				





0.978



Fraction

° 0.4475

	$\lambda = 450 \text{ nm}$	$\lambda = 532 \text{ nm}$	$\lambda = 650 \text{ nm}$
Rayleigh criterion,	29	34	41
µrad (mm @ 1 km)			
Pixel FOV,		6.3	
µrad (mm @1 km)			

E4





## **True Color Cloud Images**



[Left] Cimel sky photometry cloud-mode 3 channel and NASA's 2 channel Cloud Optical Thickness retrievals on 07/29/2014 at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), Upton, Long Island, NY. [Lat: 40° 49' 20" N Lon: 72° 52' 24" W] [Above] Cloud images at times of COT data. [Below] Sample cloud mask and fraction at 20:16 UTC. (Local Standard Time = UTC - 5 hours)

Water

Sa Ō Cloud Fraction = 0.5430 @ RRB = 0.45





- **Results:**
- Slopes in log(count) versus log(dimensions) being near 2 shows fitting of the data. The slope of log(cloud fraction) versus log(dimensions) shows that the data is distinctly different.
- Cloud fractal dimension still varies on the threshold; fractal dimensions almost double with  $\Delta 0.0040$  threshold.
- This shows that cloud fraction cannot be uniquely defined or measured.

Method	Threshold	Slope	Uncertainty	• Conventional box-counting
Box counting	0.4435	1.895	0.003	method versus fractional
	0.4475	1.828	0.005	method.
	0.4585	1.735	0.029	• Uncertainties are same for
Fractional area	0.4435	-0.105	0.003	either method.
	0.4475	-0.172	0.005	• Both methods are
	0.4585	-0.265	0.029	equivalent.









- The color of the degraded 9x12 original image is accurately reconstructed by a single component.
- The first principal component is linearly related to Red/(Red + Blue), which thus serves as a quantitative measure of cloud contribution to zenith radiance.
- Ju Conclusions Surface-based high resolution photography provides a new and interesting view of clouds.
  - Cloud fraction cannot be uniquely defined or measured: Inherently depends on threshold and resolution.
  - As resolution decreases, cloud fraction tends to increase if the threshold is below the mean, and vice versa.
  - These findings raise concerns over ability to characterize clouds by cloud fraction or fractal dimensions.
  - Principal component analysis may lead to a robust means of quantifying cloud contribution to radiance.

Acknowledgments: We thank Dong Huang, Laurie Gregory, Rick Wagener, Ivan Kotov, Peter Takacs, Mary Jane Bartholomew and all of BNL for helpful discussions. Supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists (WDTS) under the Visiting Faculty Program (VFP).

 SLAT
 40.87

 SLON
 -72.86

 SELV
 20.00

 SHOW
 5.85

 LIFT
 3.65

 LFTV
 3.45

 SWET
 88.21

 KINX
 -3.50

 CTOT
 17.90

 VTOT
 23.90

TOTL 41.80

CAPE 6.33 CAPV 9.06

CINS -31.0 CINV -20.0

EQLV 771.

EQTV 770

LFCT 804.8

LFCV 811.0

BRCH 0.40

BRCV 0.57

LCLT 279.9

LCLP 829.

MLMR 7.54

THCK 5624.