

Bimodal Representation of Convection with a Modified Kain-Fritsch Cumulus Scheme

James A. Ridout



Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA

Convective Scheme Development – Modified Kain-Fritsch

Extension of Modified Kain-Fritsch Scheme of Ridout et al. (2005)

Closure relation based on an assumed quasi-balance in updraft parcel buoyancy at cloud base. Constraint imposed to ensure that available buoyant energy does not entirely vanish.

Changes since publication include:

1) Added convective momentum transport (similar to treatment of Gregory et al. (1997), as in the Emanuel convection scheme (Emanuel 1991; Emanuel and Zivkovic-Rothman 1999)).

2) Modified mixing rate based on the updraft mass flux and parcel buoyancy (in part, adopting an approach described by Peng et al. (2004)).

Enhanced capability to represent shallow convection, with inputs from boundary layer plumes modeled in the NAVGEM EDMF scheme (Sušelj et al. 2013).



Impact on DYNAMO Hindcasts from 1 Nov, 2011



Simulated Ocean-Current Feedbacks Zonal Surface Current



Turbulence-Forced and Dynamically-Forced Modes

The scheme provides for simultaneous representation of two modes of convection. "Shallow convection" is forced by turbulent plumes, and is perhaps better described as "turbulenceforced" (TF), since there is no height limit applied. The "deep convection" mode is forced in a manner like the Kain-Fritsch scheme (Kain and Fritsch 1990; Kain 2004), in which the updraft cloud base temperature perturbation is computed based on the grid-scale vertical velocity (assumed to represent mesoscale uplift) as in Fritsch and Chappell (1980)); this mode is hence referred to as "dynamically-forced" (DF).

The separation of convection into two modes based on triggering types - boundary layer turbulence and dynamical lifting - is motivated by work of Mapes (2000).

Benefits of the dual mode formulation include:

1) Inclusion of both types simultaneously. 2) Accomodation of type-specific parameterization differences - for example, in the current scheme, precipitation-driven downdrafts are occur only with the DF mode.

Challenges:

1) Improve parameterization of the triggering mechanisms. 2) Improve parameterization of type-specific differences in convection. Impact of Currents on **Surface Latent Heat Flux**

.

Convective Heating Rate - Modified Kain-Fritsch





Improved Convective Mode Partitioning

Mixed Layer Richardson Number Constraint

Convective Heating Rate - Modified Kain-Fritsch with Mixed Layer Richardson Number Constraint





The turbulence-forced mode is dominant, contrary to observations (cf. Mapes 2000).



Hindcast precipitation has similarities with TRMM data extending out 40 days, though further improvement is desired.

Address Unrealistic Dominance of Turbulence-Forced Mode

Mixed Layer Richardson Number Constraint

The NAVGEM EDMF scheme parameterizes transports associated with "plumes" (thermals) in the convective boundary layer. In the modified Kain-Fritsch convection scheme, these plumes are used to force the turbulence-forced convection component.

Stull (1994) cites evidence that wind shear can play an important role in the growth of such eddies (e.g., Noonkester (1979), Eloranta and Forrest (1992), Schols and Eloranta (1992)). Work by Grossman(1982), as well as more recent work, e.g., Zheng et al. (2015) can also be cited.

Based on such evidence, Ridout and Reynolds (1998) proposed a "thermal growth parameter" t_{α} that scales between 0 (forced convective boundary layer) and 1 (free convective boundary layer) based on the "mixed layer Richardson number" introduced by Stull (1994) for boundary layer regime classification. The parameter t_{α} was used as a type of trigger for boundary layer turbulence generated convection.

The parameter t_{α} is being tested now with the modified Kain-Fritsch scheme in NAVGEM to: 1) scale the cloud base mass flux for turbulence-forced convection. 2) limit EDMF plume height for forced convection conditions to the top of the boundary layer.

The Richardson number constraint reduces the heating associated with the turbulence-forced component - dynamically-forced mode is now dominant.



Improved eastward propagation. Peaks somewhat underrepresented.

Diabatic Heating, Convective/Stratiform

References

Further Work in Progress

1) Cloud model modifications of precipitation efficiency, buoyancy sorting.

2) Validation / Testing - DYNAMO observations, extended coupled integrations for other periods, diagnostics from the MJO intercomparison project Vertical Structure and Diabatic Processes of the MJO (WCRP-WWRP/THORPEX MJO Task Force and YOTC, and the GEWEX GCSS) (e.g., Klingaman et al. 2015)

Key Process Issues

- 1) Role of shear in modulating convective boundary layer plume transports and convective triggering (and more generally, impacts on convection).
- 2) Downdraft characteristics and precipitation efficiency for various convection modes, and impacts on large-scale convective organization.
- 3) Air-sea interactions impacts of feedbacks associated with ocean currents.



Further diagnostics are in progress for the DYNAMO period. Shown here are the simulated diabatic heating and the partitioning of rainfall between convective and stratiform. The convective/stratiform ratio appears to be fairly well represented in general compared with radar data, though the stratiform percentage is possibly somewhat low at times.



Support from the Office of Naval Research Program Element 0601153N and a grant of computing time from the United States Department of Defense High Performance Computing Modernization Program is gratefully acknowledged.

Emanuel, K. A., 1991: A scheme for representing cumulus convection in large-scale models. J. Atmos. Sci, 48, 2313-2335

, and M. Zivkovic-Rothman, 1999: Development and evaluation of a convection scheme for use in climate models. J. Atmos. Sci, 56, 1766–1782.

Fritsch, J. M. and C. F. Chappell, 1980: Numerical prediction of convectively driven mesoscale pressure systems. Part I: Convective parameterization. J. Atmos. Sci., 37, 1722–1733.

Gregory, D., R. Kershaw, and P. M. Inness (1997), Parametrization of momentum transport by convection. II: Tests in single-column and general circulation models. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 123: 1153- 1183.

Grossman, R. L., 1982: An analysis of vertical velocity spectra obtained in the BOMEX fair-weather, trade-wind boundary layer. Bound.-Layer Meteor.,23, 323-357.

Han, J., and H.-L. Pan, 2011: Revision of convection and vertical diffusion schemes in the NCEP Global Forecast System. Wea. Forecasting, **26**, 520-533.

Kain, J. S., and J. M. Fritsch, 1990: A one-dimensional entraining/detraining plume model and its application convective parameterization. J. Atmos. Sci., 47, 2784–2802.

, 2004: The Kain–Fritsch Convective Parameterization: An update. J. Appl. Meteor., 43, 170–181. Klingaman, N. P., X. Jiang, P. K. Xavier, J. Petch, D. Waliser, and S. J. Woolnough (2015), Vertical structure and physical processes of the Madden-Julian oscillation: Synthesis and summary, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 4671–4689. Peng, M. S., J. A. Ridout, and T. F. Hogan, 2004: Recent modifications of the Emanuel convective scheme in the Navy Operational Global Atmospheric Prediction System. Mon. Wea. Rev., 132, 1254–1268. Ridout, J. A. and C. A. Reynolds, 1998: Western Pacific warm pool region sensitivity to convective triggering by boundary layer thermals in the NOGAPS atmospheric GCM. J. Climate, 11, 1553–1573. , Y. Jin, C.-S. Liou, 2005: A cloud-base quasi-balance constraint for parameterized convection: Application to the Kain–Fritsch cumulus scheme. Mon. Wea. Rev., 133, 3315–3334. Stull, R. B., 1994: A convective transport theory for surface fluxes. J. Atmos. Sci., 51, 3–22. Sušelj, K., J. Teixeira, and D. Chung, 2013: A unified model for moist convective boundary layers based on a stochastic eddy-diffusivity/mass-flux parameterization. J. Atmos. Sci., 70, 1929–1953. Xu, W. and S. A. Rutledge, 2014: Convective Characteristics of the Madden–Julian Oscillation over the Central Indian Ocean Observed by Shipborne Radar during DYNAMO. J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 2859–2877.

Zheng, Y., D. Rosenfeld, and Z. Li, 2015: Satellite inference of thermals and cloud-base updraft speeds based on retrieved surface and cloud-base temperatures. J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 2411-2428.