# Impacts of Microphysics and PBL Physics Schemes on Tornado Outbreak Prediction



SSISSIPPI STATE Department of Geosciences

### Introduction

erage composite of all 20 covariate fields for each outbreak type was for-A major tornado outbreak can be anticipated multiple days in advance in mulated for each of the physics runs. The contrasts in these composites ideal outbreak setups. However, forecasts often miss the dominant severe help demonstrate the exact impacts of model physics variation on the simuweather mode (tornadoes, wind, hail, flooding) until the event is underway. lations. Since nontornadic outbreaks are typically less of a threat to life and property, it is common to separate outbreak modes into tornadic and nontor-**Results & Discussion** nadic outbreak types for such forecast applications (Shafer et al. 2010, Mercer et al. 2009). Numerous studies have examined the impact of model physics parameterization schemes on the modeling of convection processes, cloud ice production, and mesoscale weather events. However, no Contingency statistics for the SVMs indicated that the use of the WRF double-moment 6-class microphysics scheme (WDM-6) and Yonsei University study has formally identified the performance of a suite of physics parameterizations in severe weather outbreak mode forecasting. Therefore, the PBL physics produced the highest skill. goal of this research is to identify the individual impact of certain physics parameterization schemes on the ability to distinguish tornadic and nontor-PBL physics had a strong impact FAR of Best SVMs POD of Best SVMs nadic outbreaks within an NWP framework. on FAR. The Yonsei University

## Methodology

20 tornadic and 20 nontornadic outbreaks from 2008-2011 were modeled in this study. Five microphysics schemes were selected for examination: the Goddard, Morrison double-moment, Thompson, WRF double-moment 6-class (WDM-6), and WRF single-moment 6-class (WSM-6) microphysics. Three PBL schemes were chosen: the Yonsei University, Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (MYJ), and refined Asymmetric Convection Model (ACM2) schemes.

WRF version 3.4.1 was used to generate the model fields. Runs were ini-PBL physics WSM-6 ACM • MYJ • YSI tialized at 1800 UTC the day preceding the outbreak to 1200 UTC the day For 0-1 km SRH and 0-1 km bulk after the outbreak. A two-way nested configuration was used, with the outer shear in tornado outbreaks, pronounced differences are apparent among nest consisting of a 12-km Lambert-conformal grid and the inner nest conthe PBL parameterizations. MYJ PBL physics generated the highest values sisting of a smaller 4-km grid centered on each outbreak. of 0-1 km SRH and 0-1 km bulk shear. Of the two MYJ runs examined in the composites, Morrison microphysics displayed higher values of both co-This study utilized a learning algovariates than WDM-6. In tornado outbreaks, the CIN fields appear largely rithm known as support vector marandomly dispersed, though a visual similarity does exist in the examined chines (SVM) on the output of the runs among WDM-6/Yonsei, WSM-6/Yonsei, and Thompson/ACM2.



WRF simulations to predict the type of outbreak suggested by the

0-1 km SRH and 0-1 km bulk shear for the nontornadic outbreaks also dismodel run. Seven important played a strong PBL physics-based distinction. As was the case with the covariates were calculated on the tornado outbreaks, ACM2 PBL physics decreased values of 0-1 km SRH 4 km domains for each of the and 0-1 km bulk shear relative to the other two PBL physics parameterizaoutbreaks at outbreak valid time: tions. The role of microphysics in 0-1 km SRH and 0-1 km bulk shear non-0-1 km EHI, 0-1 km stormtornadic outbreak variations was very difficult to discern. CIN fields for nonrelative helicity, 0-3 km SRH, 0-1 tornadic outbreaks exhibited a random pattern similarly to the tornado outkm bulk shear, lifted condensation level, CAPE x 0-1 km bulk shear, breaks, though with higher values. For the nontornadic outbreaks, PBL and **surface-based CIN**. S-mode PCA scores for each physics ensemble physics appeared to influence the spatial location of certain features, member were generated and input to the SVM. though not the magnitude of these features. In the Yonsei and MYJ com-

Erin A. Thead, Andrew E. Mercer, and Jamie Dyer Department of Geosciences / Geosystems Research Institute

This research was funded by NSF Grant IIS-1117871

posites, a small region of field-relative higher CIN oriented southwest to northeast was present in the center-right area of the composites. This feature was not discernible in the ACM2 composites.







Mercer, A. E., C. M. Shafer, C. A. Doswell III, L. M. Leslie, and M. B. Richman, 2009: Objective classification of tornadic and nontornadic severe weather outbreaks. Monthly Weather Review, Vol. 137, pp. 4355-4368. Shafer, C. M, and C. A. Doswell III, 2010: A multivariate index for ranking and classifying severe weather outbreaks. *E-Journal of Severe Storms* Meteorology, Vol. 5, No. 1

To determine the impact of model physics on each type of outbreak, an av-



scheme produced the lowest FAR of the PBL parameterizations for every microphysics option.

To determine the specific physical impact of physics on each type of outbreak, an average composite of CIN, 0-1 km SRH, and 0-1 km bulk shear for each outbreak type was formulated for the runs. A sample of these composites is shown here.

### References