# Nationwide Coastal Intelligence: IOOS High Frequency Radar Products

Jack Harlan, Ph.D. IOOS HF Radar Network Program Manager Jennifer Bosch, Ph.D. - IOOS



### U.S. Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS®)

#### Policy Neutral, Stakeholder Driven, Scientifically Based



**IOOS** | EYES ON THE OCEAN

#### **HFRNet Radar Locations**





# **Growth of IOOS HF Radar Network**



### **HFRNet Data Infrastructure**





## HF Radar Technology



#### ~90% of HFRNet are CODARs



# What It Does

- Provides Maps of Ocean Surface Currents
- Speed and Direction
- Covering Thousands of Square Kilometers
- Near-real-time
- Hourly
- 0.2 km to 6 km Spatial Resolution\*
- Up to 200+ km offshore

\*depending on radar transmit bandwidth



### Example Real-time Map



# **Existing & Planned Products**

- Search & Rescue (Operational US Coast Guard)
- Oil Spill Response (*Operational* NOAA NOS OR&R)
- Marine Navigation (*Operational* NOAA NOS CO-OPS)
- AWIPS (**Operational** NOAA NWS)
- Coastal Monitoring (Operational State, Local)
- Tsunami Detection (*Development* NTWC/IOOS Project)
- Significant Wave Height (*Development* NWS & IOOS)
- Hydrodynamic Modeling (*Development* NCEP WCOFS)

### Search & Rescue: US Coast Guard



#### 96 hr: <u>With HF Radar</u> 12,000 Km<sup>2</sup> Search Area



# Oil Spill Response: NOS/OR&R





# Spill Response: Deepwater Horizon 2010

# U.S. IOOS partnership demonstrated ability to:

- Quickly deploy technologies: Gliders and HF radar, saving resources/improving safety
- Models/Imagery ingested into NOAA/Navy models
- Data assimilation improved spill response decision-making and public understanding



- Tsunami Detection
- Significant Wave Height



# Tsunami Detection: Meteotsunami



All times are EDT. Average forward speed was 41 knots/47 mph. Over 150 damaging wind reports.

A radar image of the storm complex that may have caused the tsunami.



# Tsunami Detection: June 2013 Meteotsunami

Wave generated traveled Eastward offshore

Strong reflection from shelf edge comes back, hits shore

CODAR saw the event 43 minutes before coastal arrival







## Tsunami Detection: NWS Tsunami WC, CODAR



# Wave Measurement: NWS & IOOS

#### Many studies over 30+ yrs, but none quasi-operational.

2011: NDBC/CDIP Buoys vs HF radar\* 4 Buoys, 5 Radars 15-26 month datasets Significant Wave Height

Mean RMS Difference Buoy vs HF Radar: 53 cm

Mean RMS Difference Buoy vs Buoy: 50 cm

<sup>\*</sup>Long et al, 2011, Jnl of Sensors





# Background: Doppler Spectrum & Wave Info

-Derived from 2nd order peaks in Doppler spectra (red arrow)



#### **Doppler Frequency**

# Limitations

- 2nd order echo peaks too weak
- Extreme Currents
- Shallow water effects



### Summary

#### CURRENTS - Operational

- Mature Technology for Measuring Ocean
  Current Velocities over Large Coastal Areas
- Numerous Mission-Critical Applications
- Hourly, Near-real-time
- Robust data management
- TSUNAMI & WAVES Under Development
  - Tsunami: NWS, IOOS, CODAR Ocean Sensors Ltd
  - Waves: Possible Pilot Project NWS, IOOS

