# Steps towards ingesting radar retrieved refractivity into numerical models

#### What is radar retrieved refractivity (N)?

- The phase  $\phi$  of a fixed ground target changes with the refractivity N of air, a proxy of low-level moisture (Fabry et al., 1997). See image at right.
- Radar refractivity is sensitive to the moisture variation:  $T = 18^{\circ}\text{C}, \quad \Delta T = 1^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow \Delta N = 1$  $\Delta q = 0.2 \text{g/kg} \rightarrow \Delta N = 1$
- to understanding convection initiation and evolution as well as boundary layer processes.

## Quantifying the observation errors for variational methods

- $\sigma^2(N) = \sigma^2 [\text{ retrieval method } (\sigma^2(\phi))]$ 
  - $\sigma^2(\phi) = \sigma^2$  (Radar transmitter frequency, hardware)
    - +  $\sigma^2$  (Antenna phase pattern, refractivity profile dN/dz) +  $\sigma^2$  (Refractivity profile dN/dz, target heights)
    - $+ \sigma^2$  (Atmospheric scintillation)
    - +  $\sigma^2$  (Target movement)
    - +  $\sigma^2$  (complexity of targets: point/extended)



**Ya-Chien Feng\* and Frédéric Fabry** 

Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada



• The radar refractivity retrieval provides insights on **high-resolution near-surface moisture**, which is important

• The characteristics of errors are critical for data assimilation, or radar refractivity networks implementation. • Radar N fields have been assimilated to improve the initial condition of low-level moisture for a better quantitative precipitation forecasting result, but with assumed observational errors and representative height.

The error variance ( $\sigma^2$ ) of N is affected by the measured phase ( $\phi$ ) of a single target and retrieval method:



- $\sigma^2(\phi)$  can be estimated by ground target reliability.
- $\sigma$  (N) is NOT randomly distributed. N is reliable in the dense good targets area.  $\sigma(N)$  is within ±1 N-unit.
- Larger observational errors in the less dense target area is quantified.
- Even though the target heights can be estimated from terrain, there are still some uncertainties.
- The  $\sigma(N)$  is larger for steeper terrain slopes under the most extreme *dN/dz* conditions; in Montreal, such conditions are rare.

#### **Refractivity map to study land-surface atmospheric interaction**



• The N map shows the nearsurface air thermodynamic spatial contrast between urban and suburban areas in Montreal (Canada).

 $-N_{\rm urban} < N_{\rm rural or suburban}$ - The local variation of water vapor is affected both by horizontal moisture advection and by evaporation from the land surface.

Summer volumetric soil moisture

The *N* map shows consistency with the climatology of summer volumetric soil moisture (m<sup>3</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>) from U.S. Geological Survey landuse database.

- High spatial-temporal resolution of N might help study land-surface atmospheric interaction over a heterogeneous land surface.

### **Refractivity in the operational radar network for a regional model**

- Can radar refractivity from the national radar network provide a lowlevel thermodynamic constraint for the model at regional scales? - Horizontal auto-correlation of refractivity field at the center of each square grid at the lowest model level suggests N information would propagate. (Environment Canada regional model, 15 km resolution, forecasting 12hr, 20 ensembles though more are needed)
- We believe the average refractivity over a small region (~30 km radius) can be very precise and would be more representative than point observations.

### Summary: What can radar refractivity do for you?

- Radar refractivity provides valuable high-resolution low-level thermodynamic fields to study the land-surface atmospheric interaction, and help model evaluation and data assimilation in mesoscale and regional numerical weather prediction models.
- A better understanding of the biases and quantification of errors provides solid data characteristics for variational method, such as data assimilation and synergizing with other boundary layer instruments.
- Radars and ground targets are there in the national radar network. Why not make refractivity operational?

Acknowledgement: The authors thank Prof. Daniel Kirshbaum for providing landuse and soil moisture files produced by the WRF initialization and Dr. Dominik Jacques for preparing the ensemble forecasting data and discussion. This project was undertaken with the financial support of the Government of Canada provided through the Department. The views expressed herein are solely those of McGill University.







• The radar retrieved N shows consistent trends with the N of the surface stations.

- Radar N at ~20 m above terrain, close the lowest level of model.

- The difference in N is largely due to the refractivity profiles (dN/dz)and height difference.

Horizontal auto-correlation of N

