

The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

### Weather Cubes and 4D Visualizations of Atmospheric and Radiative Effects

Steven T. Fiorino<sup>1</sup>, Jarred Burley<sup>1</sup>, Brannon J. Elmore<sup>1,2</sup>, Jaclyn E. Schmidt<sup>1,3</sup>, and Elizabeth Matchefts<sup>1,2</sup>

Air Force Institute of Technology, Center for Directed Energy Department of Engineering Physics 2950 Hobson Way Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-7765

> 97<sup>th</sup> American Meteorological Society Annual Meeting 33rd Environmental Information Processing Technologies

The views expressed in this document are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or position of the United States Air Force, the Department of Defense, or the United States government.

<sup>1</sup>Air Force Institute of Technology <sup>2</sup>Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education <sup>3</sup>Applied Research Solutions, Inc.









- LEEDR Background
- Weather Cubes
  - Hurricane Arthur
  - Non-Significant Weather Case
- Validation
  - Cloud Fields
  - Rain Fields
- Summary



# **LEEDR Goals**



Laser Environmental Effects Definition and Reference

The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

- Atmospheric characterization and radiative transfer code that calculates line-by-line and spectral band solutions by creating correlated, physically realizable profiles of meteorological and environmental effects (e.g. gaseous and particle extinction, optical turbulence, and cloud free line of sight) data
- Accesses terrestrial and marine atmospheric and particulate climatologies
  - Graphical access to and export of probabilisitc data from the Extreme and Percentile Environmental Reference Tables (ExPERT)



Characterizes effects from 200 nm to 8.6 meters







#### The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

V&V'd Atmospheric Effects and Radiative Transfer Code for HEL



Creates physically realizable horizontal / vertical profiles of meteorological and weather event data and associated radiative effects (e.g. optical extinction,

- · Aerosol and surface observation (i.e. T, P, RH) climatology at 573 ExPERT and 1° x 1° oceanic grid locations
- Numerical weather forecast, re-
- Profiles optical turbulence (i.e.  $C_{p}^{2}$ )
- Accounts for light-refraction and single/multi-scatter
- Includes sun-moon calculator

#### **Boundary Layer - Extreme Aerosol Extinction**



## Weather Cubes **4D Wx Effects Visualizations**



0.04

0.02

Latitudes (degrees)



4000 -

2000

n -101

-100.5

-100

aa A

-99

Longitudes (degrees)

-98.5

-98

- **Turbulence and more!** ٠
  - Extinction: linear / non-linear effects
  - Path / target background radiance
  - Path Refraction/Bending
  - Weather
    - Fog / Clouds / Rain / Snow ٠
    - Wind/velocity structure function



# **Weather Cubes**

#### **Hurricane Arthur**



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.



GOES-EAST VISIBLE SATELLITE IMAGE OF HURRICANE ARTHUR AT 1945 UTC 3 JULY 2014 BEFORE ITS LANDFALL ALONG THE NORTH CAROLINA COAST. PHOTO COURTESY OF THE NHC.

#### **Hurricane Arthur Statistics**

- Earliest hurricane to hit North Carolina in a season since records began in 1851
- Made landfall just west of Cape Lookout as a Category 2 at 0315 UTC on 04 July 2014
- Verification Analysis for 1800 UTC on 03 July 2014



Flooding in Downtown Manteo



# Weather Cubes

### **Hurricane Arthur**



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

3

- 10° x 10° x 30km volume data cube
- Created using 0.5-deg Global Forecast System data
- Microphysical & optical 25properties characterizations for clouds, rain, and aerosols from LEEDR 1.5-
- Processed at 12 different wavelengths, the following are shown:
  - SWIR
  - MWIR
  - LWIR
  - Radar







# **Cloud Field Validation**



#### The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

Cloud fields are generated from NWP data using the following two GFS parameters outputs:

- Relative Humidity
- Vertical Velocity

Cumulus and Stratus cloud types are considered and determined by Vertical Velocity thresholds

Cloud field verification was performed using:

- NASA's Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)
- NOAA's Geostationary (GOES) satellites





# **Cloud Field Validation**

# Algorithm Methodology The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.



#### **Cloud Field Algorithm**

	Relative Humidity (RH)	Vertical Velocity (ω)	Cloud Type
Within the Boundary	<u>≥</u> 100%	Upper Limit: -0.12 Pa/s Lower Limit: -11.99 Pa/s	Stratus
Boundary Layer	<u>≥</u> 100%	Upper Limit: -12.0 Pa/s Lower Limit: - Infinity Pa/s	Cumulus
Above the Boundary Layer	<u>≥</u> 70%	Upper Limit: -0.12 Pa/s Lower Limit: -11.99 Pa/s	Stratus
	<u>≥</u> 70%	Upper Limit: -12.0 Pa/s Lower Limit: - Infinity Pa/s	Cumulus



# **Cloud Field Validation**

#### **Results**



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

#### **Cloud Placement**

#### **Cloud Coverage**

#### **Cloud Top Heights**





28

27 -82

36

33

31

30

29





Longitude

-76

-74

-72

-78

-80











# Cloud Field Validation Optical Depth Results



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

ASA Langle



#### **Satellite-derived Cloud Phase**





### Cloud Field Validation Optical Depth Results



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

#### **Satellite Analysis**

#### Wx Cube Analysis





Top-Down Cloud Depth (m)





# **Rain Field Validation**

#### Algorithm Methodology The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.





Rain fields are generated from NWP data if the following conditions exist:

- A cloud must be present
- 3-hour precipitation totals available per grid point

Rain Rate determine by an averaged hourly rain rate based on precipitation totals

- Very Light Rain (2mm/hr)
- Light Rain (5 mm/hr)
- Moderate Rain (12.5mm/hr)
- Heavy Rain (25 mm/hr)
- Extremely Heavy Rain (75 mm/hr)

Rain fields are located from the middle of the cloud layer to the surface.



Rain Field Algorithm

# Rain Field Validation Algorithm Methodology



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

GFS 3-hr Total Precipitation (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) to Rain Rate (mm/hr) Conversion

Density of water:  $\rho_{water} = 1000 \text{kg/m}^3$ 

Multiplying GFS 3hr Total Precip by the inverse  $\rho_{water,}$ 

- [GFS Total Precip \*  $\rho_{water}^{-1}$ ] / 3hr
- =  $\left[1\frac{kg}{m^2} \left(\frac{1}{1000^{kg}/m^3}\right)\right]$  / 3hr
- = [0.001m] / 3hr
- = [1mm] / 3hr
- = 0.333 mm/hr

34 32 30 Latitude 28 42 Longitude		10000	12000	14000	14000 12000 10000 8000 4000 0 33	Lithus	Loglude	-72
	14000	14000	14000					

Averaged GFS Rain Rate Thresholds (mm/hr)
0 < <mark>RainRate</mark> ≤ 3.5
3.5 < <u>RainRate</u> ≤ 8.75
8.75 < <u>RainRate</u> ≤ 18.75
18.75 < <u>RainRate</u> ≤ 50
50 < <u>RainRate</u> ≤ Infinity
-



Weather Cube GFS Rain Rates (mm/hr)





### **Rain Field Validation** Radar-Derived Rain Rates



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

The lowest elevation scan (0.5 degree tilt) of NEXRAD data from the Wilmington, NC site used to validate the rain fields.

 Reflectivity values (Z) were converted to Rain Rate (mm/hr) through Marshall-Palmer distribution relationships:

 $Z = 200(Rain Rate)^{1.6}$ 

 Due to rain droplet size being on the order of microns, radar reflectivity units are reported as dBZ, a logarithmic method that differentiates between precip size (I.e. drizzle, hail),

$$dBZ = 10 \log_{10} Z$$

• and thus:

RainRate 
$$(mm/hr) = \left[\frac{(10^{\frac{dBZ}{10}})}{200}\right]^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

Rain Rates were averaged per 0.5-degree grid point for a 1-to-1 comparison with Weather Cube Rain Placement and Rates.





Converted Rain Rates (mm/hr)



-72 -81 -80 -79 -78 -77 -76 -75 -74 -73 -72





# Rain Field Validation Radar-Derived Rain Rates



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.



Averaged Reflectivity Grid (dBZ)



#### NEXRAD Categorized Rain Rates (mm/hr)





# **Rain Field Validation Results**



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.



03 July 2014 1800 UTC





# Weather Cubes Non-Significant Weather Case



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.



#### Non-Significant <u>Weather Case</u>

- A few showers and thunderstorms in the area
- Verification Analysis
   18 August 2016 1800 UTC

How will the Cloud and Rain Field algorithms perform in a "more normal" weather event?

# Weather Cubes

#### Non-Significant Weather Case The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.







# **Cloud Field Validation**

#### **Results**



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

#### **Cloud Placement**

#### **Cloud Coverage**

#### **Cloud Top Heights**



#### **Cloud Top-Down Location**





#### **MODIS Cloud Location**







**MODIS Cloud Top Heights (m)** 



**Cloud Top Heights (m)** 



# Cloud Field Validation Optical Depth Results



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

#### **Satellite-derived Cloud Phase**





# **Cloud Field Validation** Optical Depth Results The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.



#### **Satellite Analysis**

#### Wx Cube Analysis



**Cirrus Cloud Optical Depth** 



**Top-Down Cloud Depth (m)** 





# **Rain Field Validation** Radar-Derived Rain Rates





Averaged Reflectivity Grid (dBZ)



NEXRAD Categorized Rain Rates (mm/hr)





### **Rain Field Validation** Placement & Rain Rate Results





Weather Cube Rain Rates (mm/hr) 03 July 2014 1800 UTC









- Weather Cubes, visually stunning and realistic-looking visible-spectrum images accurately translating to propagation and atmospheric effects outside of the visible spectrum, were created using NWP and LEEDR.
- Cloud and Rain Field algorithms were incorporated into Weather Cubes to generate a more realistic atmospheric characterization.
  - Cloud Fields were validated by MODIS and GOES data
  - Rain Fields were validated by NEXRAD data
- This simple approach has the benefit of providing relatively accurate cloud placement and precipitation results with limited data sources.



# Importance of Observations



The AFIT of Today is the Air Force of Tomorrow.

Weather Cubes provide the way forward for quantifying and visually displaying atmospheric effects for any spectral range. But the need still remains for higher quantity and fidelity meteorological observations for input data, as well as validation data.

These meteorological observations would generate higher fidelity Weather Cubes and ultimately aid the war fighter make crucial decisions to protect our nation and its allies.



# **Future Research**



- Continue to improve upon Cloud and Rain Field algorithm
- Incorporate satellite and radar resources into Weather Cube data processing
- Radiance Cubes
  - Additional data cubes produced in conjunction with Weather Cube calculations
  - Provide foreground, background, or total path radiance values for any geometry within Weather Cube



# **AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY** 97th Annual Meeting 22 - 26 January 2016



AIR FORCE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

# **Air Force Institute of Technology**

Center for Directed Energy Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio



# Weather Cubes and 4D Visualizations Including Cloud and Rain Field **Generated from Numerical Weather Prediction Data**

S. T. Fiorino<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Burley<sup>1</sup>, B. J. Elmore<sup>1,2</sup>, J.E. Schmidt<sup>1,3</sup>, and E. J. Matchefts<sup>1,2</sup> **Department of Engineering Physics** 

steven.fiorino@afit.edu jarred.burley@afit.edu brannon.elmore@afit.edu

jaclyn.schmidt@afit.edu elizabeth.matchefts@afit.edu

The need to accurately account for atmospheric and radiative transfer effects when generating visualizations is vital to the modeling and simulations community. The Laser Environmental Effects Definition and Reference (LEEDR) is a verified and validated atmospheric propagation and radiative transfer code which creates physically realizable

vertical and horizontal profiles of meteorological data and environmental effects using climatological and Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) data, allowing for post-event, nowcast, and forecast analysis for atmospheric radiative effects including particle-induced extinction, turbulence profiles, and path refraction (light bending). By itself, LEEDR and its graphical user interface (GUI) has the capability to provide a "2D" picture of localized atmospheric radiative properties and processes. Wrapper classes provide a means to circumvent LEEDR's GUI and easily execute batch runs for efficient, speedy parametric analyses to yield 4D weather cubes specific to a universal time reference, locations of interest (i.e. geo-referenced light source and remote sensor) and a user-provided output parameter such as transmission. Each weather cube depicts the variability of the output parameter, including refractivity and path-averaged index of refraction structure constant (Cn<sup>2</sup>), with respect to the source-endpoint geo-referenced location and, most importantly, relative to the ambient atmosphere. Recent enhancements to weather cubes include the implementation of cloud and rain fields generated from the NWP data to produce realistic sky characterizations. Validation analyses of these characterizations using NASA's Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite data are also presented.

# **Simulation Tool:** LEEDR

# LEEDR radiative transfer code augmented by:

- Probabilistic Extreme and Percentile Environmental Reference Tables (ExPERT) data for 573 land sites; Surface Marine Gridded Climatology
- 4D real-time and/or archived NWP now-cast / forecast and weather satellite data

#### V&V'd Atmospheric Effects and Radiative Transfer Code for HEL



1.06 µm 3.0 µm \_\_\_\_\_ 2.5 cm 11.0 µm

**Results:** 

4D Weather Cube simulations allow for visually stunning and realisticlooking visible-spectrum images to be accurately translated to propagation and atmospheric effects outside of the visible spectrum via AFIT/CDE's LEEDR physically-based atmospheric characterization model output.

Clouds, precipitation, and aerosol haze effects shown in the visible are also captured at wavelengths for any electro-optical infrared sensor or microwave/radio frequency tracking/illumination system.

Weather Cube Rain Rate	Averaged GFS Rain Rate Thresholds (mm/hr)	<ul> <li>In order for Rain Fields to be present, the following requirements need to be met:</li> <li>The presence of a cloud</li> <li>GFS 3-hour Total Precipitation &gt; 0 kg/m<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>	
Very Light Rain (2 mm/hr)	0 < <mark>RainRate</mark> ≤ 3.5		
Light Rain (5mm/ <u>hr</u> )	3.5 < <u>RainRate</u> ≤ 8.75	If both requirements are	
Moderate Rain (12.5mm/hr)	8.75 < <u>RainRate</u> ≤ 18.75	Precipitation (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) is	
Heavy Rain (25mm/hr)	18.75 < <u>RainRate</u> ≤ 50	then converted to a Rain	



# **NEXRAD Reflectivity to Rain Rain (mm/hr) Methodology**

The lowest elevation scan (0.5 degree tilt) of NEXRAD data from the Wilmington, NC site used to validate the rain fields.

• Reflectivity values (Z) were converted to Rain Rate (mm/hr) through Marshall-Palmer distribution relationships:

 $Z = 200 (Rain Rate)^{1.6}$ 

• Due to rain droplet size being on the order of microns, radar reflectivity units are reported as dBZ, a logarithmic method that differentiates between precip size (I.e. drizzle, hail),

 $dBZ = 10 \log_{10} Z$ 

• and thus:

RainRate  $(mm/hr) = \left[\frac{(10^{\frac{dBZ}{10}})}{200}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

Rain Rates were averaged per 0.5-degree grid point for a 1-to-1 comparison with Weather Cube Rain Placement and Rates.



A special thanks to the DoD High Energy Laser Joint Technology Office and USAF Research Lab <sup>•</sup> for funding support





The views expressed in this document are those of the <sup>1</sup>Air Force Institute of Technology author(s) and do not reflect the <sup>2</sup> Inc.Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education official policy or position of the United States Air Force, the <sup>3</sup>Applied Research Solutions, Inc. Department of Defense, or the United States government.



# **Conclusions:**

- 4D weather cubes provide the user with ready access to radiative effect parameters, as well as the ability to accurately translate realistic-looking images accurately translating to propagation and atmospheric effects outside of the visible spectrum.
- Cloud and Rain Field algorithms were incorporated into Weather Cubes calculations to generate a more realistic sky and weather characterization.
- This simple approach has the benefit of providing relatively accurate cloud placement and precipitation results with limited observations or model data.