

# Detecting Tropical Cyclone Structural Change with the TRMM Precipitation Radar (PR) and Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU)

Stephen R. Guimond<sup>1\*</sup>, F. Joseph Turk<sup>2</sup>, Clay B. Blankenship<sup>2</sup> and Jeffrey D. Hawkins<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Ocean Atmospheric Prediction Studies, The Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL

<sup>2</sup>Naval Research Laboratory, Marine Meteorology Division, Monterey, CA

## 1. Introduction

One of the most critical parameters to monitor within a tropical cyclone (TC) are temperature anomalies. The maintenance of the thermal structure gives important insight into a TC's strength and maturity that allow forecasters to warn appropriately for intensity fluctuations. The conversion of a TC's symmetric warm core into an extratropical cyclone's asymmetric cold core, a process known as Extratropical Transition (ET), can lead to forecasting difficulties due to the complex nature of TC thermal anomaly maintenance at higher latitudes. The potential for impact variables such as wind field expansion, rainfall enhancement, trapped fetch waves and increased storm translational speeds make forecasting for ET events a rather daunting task. The success of the Cyclone Phase Space (CPS) developed by Hart (2003) for tracking the symmetry and thermal structure of cyclones evolving between the continuum of tropical to extratropical cyclones has led to vast improvements in the forecasting and monitoring of ET systems at operational centers such as the National Hurricane Center and National Weather Service (Hart 2005, personal communication). Cyclones are moved within the phase space as a function of their thermal structure (900-600 hPa thermal wind) and symmetry (900-600 hPa storm-relative thickness symmetry) computed from gridded model analyses (Hart 2003). The regular, three-dimensional spatiotemporal frequency of global model analyses used within the CPS allows for a thorough analysis of cyclone structure by utilizing the isobaric height field produced by each model. However, the CPS suffers from inherent biases within each model such as resolution (finest horizontal resolution of 1.0°), initialization methods and vortex bogusing as well as biases between each model since varying

depictions of the ET process can be realized (Hart 2003).

It is hypothesized that a more direct, observational approach to cyclone structure could have the potential to become synthesized with the CPS and would allow for increased accuracy in the monitoring of ET as well as validation of the current model based analyses. This paper presents the first step in an attempt to infer cyclone structural evolution from a satellite perspective by analyzing bright band heights (BBHs) retrieved from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Precipitation Radar (PR) and freezing level heights (FLHs) from the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU).

## 2. Instruments and data specifics

### *a. TRMM PR*

The TRMM PR began taking measurements in 1998 as the first space borne rain radar operating at 13.8 GHz. The PR has a cross-track antenna scan with a horizontal resolution of 4.3 km and a vertical resolution of 0.25 km at nadir. The swath width is 215 km with 80 range gates in the vertical extending up to 15 km altitude (Kummerow et al. 1998). As a result of the PR's limited revisit time (~ 16 orbits/day), swath width and latitudinal extent (~ 38° N – 38° S), additional thermal information retrieved from the AMSU is needed to complete a thorough evaluation of a cyclone's life cycle. Radar parameters such as BBHs, bright band widths and reflectivities were retrieved from the TRMM Science Data and Information System (TSDIS) level 2A products. Only those data with a clearly identifiable bright band in the reflectivity profile are given a positive height with appropriate flags for missing data and unidentifiable bright bands.

The bright band is one of the most prominent features of vertical reflectivity profiles and is found in stratiform precipitation regions typically associated with convective cells (Houze 1997). Precipitation hydrometeors falling through these

---

\* *Corresponding author address:* Stephen R. Guimond, Center for Ocean Atmospheric Prediction Studies, The Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32304. E-mail: guimond@coaps.fsu.edu

regions encounter a melting layer ~500 m thick just below the 0 ° C level where a thin film of liquid water begins to cover the ice particles. As a result of the increased drop size diameter and index of refraction, these particles return a maximum in radar reflectivity (i.e. bright band) with a height located at the center of this band (Houze 1993). Altitude differences between the radar bright band and the freezing level (0 ° C isotherm) can vary widely depending on ambient conditions and geographical location. Leary and Houze (1979) found the bright band in five tropical thunderstorm cases during the Global Atmospheric Research Program (GARP) Atlantic Tropical Experiment (GATE) to be ~500 to ~900 m lower than the freezing level. In comparing freezing level altitudes from NCEP reanalyses with TRMM PR BBHs for monthly means during 1998, Harris et al. (2000) found a mean difference ranging from ~300 (oceans) to ~900 m (continents) with the Tropics approaching ~600 m.


*b. AMSU*

The AMSU is a 20 channel, cross-track scanning microwave satellite system with a 2343 km swath width comprised of two radiometers each designed to sense different properties of the atmosphere. The first of these is the AMSU-A with a frequency range between 23.8 and 89 GHz whose main purpose is atmospheric temperature profiling through 12 channels operating in the O<sub>2</sub> absorption line (50.3-58 GHz) with 3 additional channels used for varying purposes. The second is the AMSU-B with 5 channels and a frequency range between 89 and 183 GHz used to profile atmospheric water vapor (Diak et al. 1992). The horizontal resolution of the AMSU-A is 48 km at nadir while the AMSU-B has a higher resolution at nadir of 16 km.

Atmospheric temperature profiles are produced by utilizing model analyses from the Navy Operational Global Atmospheric Prediction System (NOGAPS) as the background and first guess fields in a forward radiative transfer model. The model is used to simulate brightness temperatures that would result from the assumed background temperature profile. A cost function, based on the minimization of differences between the background and retrieved temperature profiles as well as the modeled and observed brightness temperatures, is minimized to produce a retrieved profile of temperature and moisture. All AMSU overpasses within plus or minus three hours of each six hourly synoptic time from the NOGAPS were interpolated to the background fields and then

grouped together to produce the final product. Thus, the storm center positions found for AMSU snapshots in the results section have the possibility of being at most three hours different than the model based synoptic times. The differences in storm center position as a result of this process are quite small and thus do not have a significant impact on the pending results. Details on the AMSU profile retrieval method can be found in Blankenship and Baker (2003).

Due to the relative transparency of microwave radiation through the dense cirrus cloud shield surrounding the core of tropical cyclones and the improved horizontal resolution, the AMSU instrument becomes an attractive choice for the monitoring of cyclone variability presented in this



**Figure 1.** Temperature anomalies through a cross section of Hurricane Hilda (1964) using aircraft data. The thick black line marks the approximate 0°C isotherm height [adapted from Hawkins and Rubsam (1968)].

study. However, one drawback of the AMSU-A data used in this study (FLHs) is contamination from the scattering of radiation by precipitation hydrometeors in which observations with significant precipitation are normally rejected by the retrieval algorithm due to convergence failure. Thus, there is the possibility of significant loss of information near the core of TCs especially at peak intensities. However, during ET much of the

convection and associated precipitation gets displaced away from the core of the storm due to interactions with upper tropospheric troughs, allowing for increased probabilities of data retrieval.

#### 4. Analysis Procedures

##### a. Data preparation

The final AMSU-A temperature profiles extend from the surface, with an appropriate below ground flag for higher elevations, to  $\sim 1$  hPa but for the purposes of this study, temperature information was topped at  $\sim 85$  hPa. In order to determine the height of AMSU-A temperature measurements, the hypsometric equation is solved by having knowledge of the pressure bounds,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , and mean temperature in a certain layer,  $\bar{T}$ . The hypsometric equation can be expressed as


$$\Delta z = \frac{R_d \bar{T}}{g_o} \ln\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right), \quad (1)$$

where  $R_d$  is the gas constant for dry air,  $g_o$  is the acceleration due to gravity and  $\Delta z$  is the thickness of the layer. The effect of moisture, the virtual temperature correction, in the layer thickness was neglected due to the lack of water vapor measurements from the AMSU-B at this time, but future calculations will take into account this added effect. In order to retrieve the FLH or zero degree isotherm height from the soundings produced through the above calculations, linear interpolation between successive heights is used. With the moderate resolution of the AMSU-A in the vertical on 29 pressure levels (including the surface) up to  $\sim 85$  hPa, the interpolation method limits the amount of error introduced to the data.

The TRMM PR BBHs were converted to FLHs for use in the combined satellite dataset by adding the height of the bright band to one half of the bright band width at each retrieval point. This assumption is based on an idealized atmosphere in which the bright band signal begins immediately below the  $0^\circ \text{C}$  isotherm as hydrometeors melt. In reality, there will be deviations from this idealized state due to the size and fall speed, among others of ice particles. The mean FLH attributed to the above method is just over 300 m, which is consistent with the findings of Harris et al. (2000) for measurements over the oceans.

##### b. Resolving TC thermal structure

There are two main components to a TC warm core that are apparent from an example cross section through Hurricane Hilda in figure 1: a large-scale upper troposphere portion and a smaller-scale lower troposphere portion contained within the eye (Kidder et al. 2000). AMSU-A data for TC analysis are typically retrieved in the upper troposphere, due to the larger magnitude and horizontal extent of temperature anomalies seen in figure 1. However, in order to be consistent with the thermal information obtained from the PR in the hopes of synthesizing the information from both satellites, FLHs were retrieved from the AMSU-A data. These measurements are found in the middle troposphere where TC temperature anomalies expand outward from the eye regions due to the slope of isobaric height lines. Although AMSU-A FLHs will not detect as large of a thermal signal as the upper tropospheric warm core (UTWA), temperature anomalies of 5-6 K were observed at  $\sim 50$  nm or more from the storm center



**Figure 2.** Perturbation freezing level heights in meters for a 250 km radius (black ellipse) at the time indicated in the figure. Storm center indicated by an “X” with the arrow representing the storm motion vector. Colored circles mark the centers of the elliptical footprints from the TRMM PR.


through the relatively small eye of Hurricane Hilda in figure 1. In addition, small decreases in the magnitude of the UTWA will cause temperature anomalies to push outwards from the storm center due to an expanding eye diameter, allowing the AMSU-A to observe more of the thermal signal in the middle troposphere. Physically, this expansion will occur with decreases in the intensity of the storm and more significantly during the ET process, which is of prime importance for the present study. NASA’s QuikSCAT scatterometer,

which provides fine resolution oceanic wind vectors, is an excellent tool for diagnosing the expansion of the eye diameter through the associated wind field and would provide useful information not only on when the AMSU-A will be able to resolve more of the thermal signal in TCs, but on analyzing ET impact variables as well. Future research will be devoted to studying the relationship between the QuikSCAT wind field structure surrounding ET cyclones and the rainfall enhancement typically observed with these systems.

## 5. Case study of Typhoon Meari

### a. Synoptic Overview

Data from both satellites will be analyzed for several storms in the final presentation of this study, but for the present paper Typhoon Meari in




**Figure 3.** Same as figure 4, but only for a later snapshot indicated on the figure.

2004 will be used as the test case to analyze the usefulness of TRMM PR and AMSU-A data for representing tropical cyclone structural changes.

Meari was declared a typhoon at 1200UTC 22 September and reached a minimum pressure of 922 hPa later in the day on the 24 September. Within the next two days, the storm began showing signs of ET as Meari interacted with a mid-latitude trough and started to re-curve out into the Northern Pacific. Meari made landfall at roughly 0600UTC 29 September on the southern coast of Japan and maintained significant strength up until gradual dissipation on the 30 September at a latitude of  $\sim 40^{\circ}\text{N}$ .

### b. TRMM PR Snapshots of TC Structural Change

The core of Typhoon Meari was viewed seven times by the PR with varying positions inside the narrow swath. Bright band height perturbations within a 250 km radius about the storm center were computed to detect the anomalous thermal structure throughout the life cycle of the storm. Figure 2 displays the BBH perturbations for Typhoon Meari at 1745UTC 22 September 2004 in the early stages of its maturity. Storm center positions and motion vectors on all plots are found through linear interpolation of best track data. Although BBHs were not retrieved from all precipitating measurements from the PR, the vast majority of rainfall around the TC core is found to have a distinguishable bright band in the reflectivity profile. Furthermore, the distribution of rainfall around a TC is directly linked to the distribution of convection within the storm and the outside influences of vertical shear, parameters that can be used to infer storm symmetry and the beginnings of ET (Atallah and Bosart, 2003). Therefore, the distribution of BBHs can be used as a qualitative proxy for storm symmetry. One can see from Figure 1, that Meari is fairly symmetric about the storm motion vector at this time due to the symmetric distribution of BBHs. A quantitative evaluation of the symmetry can be performed by computing the mean BBH on each side of the storm motion vector or within quadrants around the storm center (not shown). This method




**Figure 4.** Perturbation freezing level heights in meters for a 500 km radius (black ellipse) at the time indicated in the figure. Storm center indicated by an "X" with the arrow representing the storm motion vector. Colored circles mark the centers of the elliptical footprints from the AMSU-A

is similar to that used by Hart (2003) where the mean thickness in the 900-600 hPa layer was used as an inference of storm symmetry.

A visual inspection of Figure 1 shows that the largest BBH perturbations are clustered close to the storm core with several values in the approximate range of 100-500 m very near the center position.

The large positive anomalies indicate that the melting layer height is higher than the surrounding regions of the storm revealing the warm core structure of Typhoon Meari at this latitude ( $\sim 17^\circ$  N).

Figure 3 displays the BBH perturbations for Typhoon Meari at 0853UTC 28 September 2004 in



**Figure 5.** Same as figure 4, but only for a later snapshot indicated on the figure.

the later stages of the storm's life cycle. The distribution of BBHs at this time, are quite asymmetric about the storm motion vector with the majority of identifiable measurements on the northern and western sides of the storm. In addition, the largest BBH anomalies are found on the northwest side of the storm consistent with the TRMM Microwave Imager (TMI) 85 GHz brightness temperature snapshot at this time viewed from the Naval Research Laboratory's (NRL) TC web page. Bright band height perturbations closest to the center position of the storm at this time depict large negative values in the approximate range of  $-200$  to  $-500$  m. These large negative anomalies indicate that the melting layer height is lower than the ambient rainfall distribution around the storm core revealing the cold core structure of Typhoon Meari at this latitude ( $\sim 30^\circ$  N).


### c. AMSU-A Snapshots of TC Structural Change

Figure 4 shows the perturbation FLHs over the same ambient radius as the TRMM PR calculations for Typhoon Meari at 0600UTC 20 September 2004 early in the storm's life cycle. At this time, it is obvious that Meari is symmetric, due to the distribution of the anomalies in relation to the storm motion vector, and warm core with maximum height perturbations of 180 m close to the storm center. Figure 5 displays Typhoon Meari at 0600 UTC 27 September 2004 when the storm has begun to re-curve and increase translational speed. The largest, positive perturbation FLHs are located to the north and west of the storm center and to the left of the motion vector with a cluster of values in the range of 300 - 500 m. South and east of the storm center and to the right of the motion vector, FLH anomalies are lower (relative to the left of the motion vector) with maximum values in the range of 100 - 300 m. Thus, the distribution of AMSU-A FLH anomalies shows an asymmetric structure to Meari at this time. Heavy precipitation surrounding the core of Meari at this time hinders the ability of the AMSU-A to retrieve information on the thermal structure however, a string of measurements close to the storm center at this time period show a hint of a cold core with anomalies between  $-100$  and  $-300$  m. Thus, from figures 4 and 5, the AMSU-A instrument has detected a shift in the symmetry and thermal structure of Typhoon Meari that resembles the beginnings of ET.

### d. Comparisons with the Cyclone Phase Space

In order to compare the model generated cyclone phase diagrams described in the introduction to the satellite analysis attempted here, a time series of FLHs from both the TRMM PR and AMSU-A instruments is developed. The TRMM PR BBHs were adjusted to FLHs to be consistent with the AMSU-A measurements through the method outlined in section 4. To produce the plot, the mean FLH was calculated over a subjectively determined storm-size-dependent radius based on the examination of brightness temperature depressions from microwave imagery through the NRL TC web page ([www.nrlmry.navy.mil/tc\\_pages/tc\\_home.html](http://www.nrlmry.navy.mil/tc_pages/tc_home.html)). This analysis produced a storm radius of  $\sim 150$  km before the 27<sup>th</sup> of September and  $\sim 200$  km after the 27<sup>th</sup> of September. Although there were minor shifts in the radius from day to day, they were small in comparison to the larger storm expansion


observed on and after the 27<sup>th</sup> of September. The expansion of the eye at this time is thought to be the result of the onset of ET. Figure 6 depicts the day-by-day time series of FLHs from the TRMM PR (blue stars) and AMSU-A (black stars) with the red line showing a weighted mean value for each day. Days that contain a TRMM PR overpass were weighted more heavily in the calculation of the mean due to the higher resolution, ability to retrieve in heavy precipitation and greater frequency of data near the storm core from the PR when compared to the AMSU-A. It should be noted, that if the variability in the FLHs was more than 1500 m on a single day (only three values),



**Figure 6.** Time series plot of freezing level heights synthesizing data from the TRMM PR and AMSU-A for the life cycle of Typhoon Meari. Blue stars mark TRMM PR data while black stars represent the AMSU-A. The red line shows the weighted mean fit to the data.

then those points were considered outliers and removed from the dataset.

There is a general trend of increasing FLHs up until the 25<sup>th</sup> of September with a sharp increase between the 24<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup>, which corresponds well to Meari's minimum central pressure of 922 hPa occurring in this same time period. After the 25<sup>th</sup>, the data trends consistently downward with a decrease in FLHs and a significant negative slope between the 26<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> of September as shown in figure 6. Physically, this means that the warm core of Meari is building strength and maximizing on the 25<sup>th</sup> of September, then after this time the warm core dissipates as the storm begins ET. Thus, from the snapshots described in the previous sections along with the mean FLHs displayed in figure 6, ET seems to have commenced between the 26<sup>th</sup> and



**Figure 7.** Cyclone Phase Space (CPS) diagram for Typhoon Meari using 1° NOGAPS analyses every 12 h. Details of plot are labeled on the diagram. Courtesy of Dr. Robert Hart (<http://moe.met.fsu.edu/cyclonephase/index.html>)

27<sup>th</sup> of September and showed signs of completion on the 28<sup>th</sup>.

Figure 7 depicts the cyclone phase space for Typhoon Meari using the NOGAPS analysis courtesy of Dr. Robert Hart of the Florida State University. From this diagram, Meari is shown to become asymmetric yet still warm core between the 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> of September, with a transition to asymmetric, cold core between roughly the 28<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup> of September. Thus, the synthesized satellite analysis of Typhoon Meari developed herein seems to have depicted the onset and completion of ET at least one day prior to that outlined in the CPS diagram in figure 7.

## 6. Conclusions

A satellite derived TC transition technique utilizing BBHs from the TRMM PR and FLHs retrieved from the AMSU-A is developed herein with the hopes of providing a different angle with a more observational look at ET than the present model based CPS. There are pros and cons to the CPS and a satellite derived cyclone structural analysis is no different. While both the TRMM PR and AMSU-A have high resolution relative to the CPS, the intermittent overpasses and gaps in the spatial coverage inherent in satellites makes a seamless (fully complete) analysis difficult. In addition, although increased sensitivity to thermal structure with higher resolution data will allow for increased accuracy in the monitoring of ET, the noise associated with this data has the potential to obscure larger scale processes.

The TRMM PR and AMSU-A instruments are used to detect the ET of Typhoon Meari (2004) through the distinct shift in symmetry of the storm along with a change in the thermal structure that occurs with the ET process. On the 22<sup>nd</sup> of September, Meari is shown to be rather symmetric from the distribution of BBH anomalies in relation to the storm motion vector and warm core due to positive BBH anomalies near the storm center. On the 28<sup>th</sup> of September, Meari has become asymmetric with BBHs displaced to the left of the storm track with larger positive anomalies and cold core due to negative BBH anomalies near the storm center. The AMSU-A shows a similar structural evolution to that from the TRMM PR with symmetric FLH magnitudes and warm, positive anomalies within the storm core on the 20<sup>th</sup> of September and asymmetric FLH magnitudes with cold, negative anomalies near the storm center on the 27<sup>th</sup> of September.

Combining the information from both satellites into a storm size dependent FLH time series shows a spike in FLHs on the 24<sup>th</sup> of September consistent with the minimum observed central pressure of Meari (922 hPa) with a significant, steady decrease in FLHs between the 26<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> of September. Comparisons of the present satellite based method with the CPS reveals a depiction of the onset and completion of ET roughly one day prior to that outlined with the NOGAPS analysis.

Although more cases are needed to develop a robust ET satellite technique, the case presented here shows encouraging results on the usefulness of thermal information derived from both the TRMM PR and AMSU-A instruments. It is suggested that a blending of satellite thermal information similar to that developed in this paper into the CPS may prove beneficial in the timing and intensity of ET as a cyclone moves from the symmetric, warm core sector into the asymmetric, cold core sector. Future research into the relationship between the TRMM PR BBHs and TMI brightness temperatures may help improve the spatial extent of thermal information from this satellite. In addition, greater focus on the UTWA derived from the AMSU-A will help alleviate precipitation hydrometeor contamination issues and increase the warm anomaly signal retrieved from this instrument.

*Acknowledgements.* This research was supported through an Office of Naval Research (ONR) internship program completed at the Naval

Research Laboratory (NRL) in Monterey, California and a NASA Ocean Vector Winds Science Team grant to Mark Bourassa at COAPS, Florida State University.

The first author would like to thank Dr. Robert Hart for his advice on the subject and for providing the cyclone phase space diagram. The authors benefited from discussions with Derrick Herndon, Patrick Harr and Ben Ruston. Charles Sampson of the NRL provided the best track data files.

Analysis and graphics in this paper were completed using the Ferret program developed at NOAA's Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (Information is available at [www.ferret.noaa.gov](http://www.ferret.noaa.gov)).

## REFERENCES

- Atallah, E.H., and L.F. Bosart, 2003: The extratropical transition and precipitation distribution of Hurricane Floyd (1999). *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **131**, 1063-1081.
- Blankenship, C.B. and N.L. Baker, 2003: Assimilation of retrieved water vapor profiles into the Navy Operational Global Atmospheric Prediction System (NOGAPS), Preprints, *12<sup>th</sup> Conference on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography*, Long Beach, CA, Amer. Meteor. Soc.
- Diak, G.R., D. Kim, M.S. Whipple, and X. Wu, 1992: Preparing for the AMSU. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **73**, 1971-1984.
- Harris, G.N., Jr., K.P. Bowman, and D.B. Shin, 2000: Comparison of freezing level altitudes from the NCEP reanalysis with TRMM precipitation radar brightband data. *J. Climate*, **13**, 4137-4148.
- Hart, R.E., 2003: A cyclone phase space derived from thermal wind and thermal asymmetry. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **131**, 585-616.
- Hawkins, H.F., and D.T. Rubsam, 1968: Hurricane Hilda, 1964 II. Structure and budgets of the hurricane on October 1, 1964. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **96**, 617-636.
- Houze, R.A., Jr., 1993: *Cloud Dynamics*. Academic Press, New York, 573 pp.

Houze, R.A., Jr., 1997: Stratiform precipitation in regions of convection: A meteorological paradox? *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **78**, 2179-2196.

Kidder, S. Q., M.D. Goldberg, R.M. Zehr, M. DeMaria, J.F. W. Purdom, C.S. Velden, N.C. Grody, and S.J. Kusselson, 2000: Satellite analysis of tropical cyclones using the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU). *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **81**, 1241-1259.

Kummerow, C., W. Barnes, T. Kozu, J. Shuie, and J. Simpson, 1998: The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **15**, 809-817.

Leary, C.A., and R.A. Houze, 1979: Melting and evaporation of hydrometeors in precipitation from the anvil clouds of deep tropical convection. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **36**, 669-679.