Airborne RLAN and Weather Radar Interference a C Band

Paul Joe*, Frank Whetten**, John Scott* and Dennis Whetten*

*Environment Canada, 4905 Dufferin St., Toronto, Ont., M3H 5T4 CANADA
email: paul.joe@ec.gc.ca tel: 416 739 4884

**The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington, U.S.A.

1   Introduction

There are many uses of Radio Local Area Networks (RLAN) on board airplanes. New airplane systems are being proposed to utilize wireless systems on board, potentially including in-flight entertainment (IFE) distribution systems, crew information services (CIS), passenger internet access, emergency lighting, attendant headphones, and radio frequency identification (RFID) systems. Of these systems, the IFE system, delivering streaming video and audio on demand, requires the high bandwidth and multiple available channels which the IEEE 802.11a or 802.11n technologies operating within the 5GHz band can provide.

This report describes flight testing jointly conducted by The Boeing Company (Boeing), and Environment Canada (EC) which operates a number of C-band weather radars between 5600-5650MHz, to determine the efficacy of DFS, and the impact of airborne 5GHz RLANs to terrestrial weather radar systems.

Background of DFS

At the World Radio Conference 2003 (WRC03), the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) recommended a new frequency allocation for unlicensed RLAN services. This new spectrum, 5470-5725MHz, was allocated on a non-interfering basis with incumbent systems; primarily weather radars, satellite radars, and military radars. In order to mitigate potential RLAN interference to the radar systems, a dynamic frequency selection (DFS) algorithm was defined. The ITU DFS algorithm is similar to an algorithm which had been previously approved for use in Europe by the European Telecommunication Standards Institute (ETSI).

The US government was concerned that the ETSI DFS algorithm would not adequately protect US military radars. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the National Telecommunications & Information Administration (NTIA) and the RLAN industry collaborated to develop a revised DFS algorithm for use within the US and was released in July of 2006.

DFS is required in two ITU-recommended unlicensed frequency bands in the 5GHz spectrum: the 5250-5350MHz and 5470-5725MHz bands. The fifteen specific IEEE-defined, 20MHz-wide RLAN channels and the equivalent frequencies are outlined in Table 1 below.

Table 1: IEEE 5GHz channels and frequencies which require DFS functionality.

5250-5350MHz		5470-5725MHz	
Channel	Freq (MHz)	Channel	Freq (MHz)
52	5250-5270	100	5490-5510
56	5270-5290	104	5510-5530
60	5290-5310	108	5530-5550
64	5310-5330	112	5550-5570
		116	5570-5590
		120	5590-5610
		124	5610-5630
		128	5630-5650
		132	5650-5670
		136	5670-5690
		140	5690-5710

Mobile RLANs

All DFS algorithms approved to-date have assumed a non-mobile RLAN infrastructure. While the 802.11 clients were expected to be mobile, the access points (APs), which serve as the connection point to a wired infrastructure, were expected to be fixed in location. As such, the architects of the DFS algorithm did not explicitly consider the case of RLANs installed within mobile platforms, such as trains, watercraft, or aircraft. Specifically, the notion of a Channel Availability Check, a test that is run by the AP to ensure the channel is clear of radars before the channel is used by the RLAN is compromised if the AP is mobile. Questions arise concerning the applicability and efficacy of DFS to a mobile platform.

2   Problem Analysis

RLANs operating in the 5GHz bands co-located with radar systems introduce the potential for interference. The topics can loosely be split into "impact to radars" and "impact to RLANs". To determine the relative risk of impact to the radars or RLANs, the following analyses are appropriate:

- Potential for RLAN signals to interfere with a radar's operational products.

- Ability of the DFS algorithm in high-speed mobile platforms to properly detect radars.
- Efficacy of the DFS algorithm to prevent interference into weather radars.
- Potential for aggregated radar signals below the DFS threshold to impact RLAN performance (due to increased noise floor), and vice versa.
- Potential for radars to interrupt airborne RLAN operations due to DFS operational requirements (switching channels when a radar is detected).

Only the first three topics (impact to radars) will be discussed.

RLAN Interference of Radars

RLANs interfere with radar systems primarily while operating in the same spectrum (Joe et al, 2005; Brandao et al, 2005). Radar determines range information by measuring the time difference between a transmitted burst and the returned echo, thus a continuous transmitter (or a random transmission of sufficient length within the echo return window) will effectively show a return in all distance time slots during which the interfering signal was seen. The radar display would then show a continuous streak or stripe originating at the radar and extending to the radar horizon.

DFS Algorithm Functionality

The DFS algorithm, implemented in a "network controller" (typically an AP), monitors the operational spectrum for radar operations and implements an avoidance algorithm upon detection of a radar. When a radar signal is detected, the AP must instruct the client devices to cease transmitting within a short period of time (milliseconds), and to vacate the channel within seconds. Once a radar has been detected in a given channel, the channel must be abandoned for a minimum amount of time (minutes). When choosing a new channel to relocate to, the AP must perform a channel availability check (CAC) for a minimum amount of time (minutes) to ensure it is clear prior to transmitting. A randomization algorithm is required to select the new channel to avoid dense clusters of devices operating on the same frequency channel.

The ITU recommendations specify that the AP shall change the RLAN channel when the radar signal strength exceeds -62dBm (for RLANs operating below 23dBm of output power). In practice, AP manufacturers may not choose to apply any threshold tests – if the AP detects a radar at any power, then the DFS avoidance algorithm is executed.

Variations in North American DFS Requirements

The Canadian DFS rules for RLAN operations in the 5600-5650MHz band, where the Canadian weather radars operate, follow the ITU recommendations, which is different than the US requirements. Specifically, for this band, the Canadian requirement for the CAC is a ten minute check, rather than the US requirement of 60 seconds.

The ten-minute CAC requirement is due to the scan strategies employed by the weather radars, which can take up to ten minutes to perform a complete scan sequence. If the AP cannot detect the radar except under circumstances where the radar would encounter interference, then the AP must first ensure that no weather radars are in the vicinity before operating in the 5600-5650MHz band.

In the worst case situation, the AP is only able to detect the radar upon direct illumination by the radar. This also corresponds to the situation where the AP will blind the radar by transmitting while the radar antenna is directly pointing towards the AP. As will be seen in this report, at short distances the AP can detect the radar regardless of where the radar antenna is pointing. At longer ranges, however, the AP relies upon direct incidence to detect the radar, and thus may only detect it once per volume scan, thus leading directly to the ten-minute CAC requirement.

Analysis of Airborne RLANs & Radars

To assess the potential impact of RLANs upon radars, an analysis of airborne platforms and terrestrial radar must be undertaken. This analysis includes fuselage shielding effects, likelihood of airplane illumination by the radar, and the probability that the radar signal levels are high enough to trigger DFS.

Airborne Fuselage Attenuation Testing

While careful ground measurement techniques can be used to assess the attenuation characteristics of airplanes, airborne testing is considered definitive. In Figure 1, the fuselage attenuation at 1.8GHz of a standard 777-200 airplane is depicted. The reciprocity concept indicates that the transmitter could be located at the ground station, while the receivers were located at several airplane locations. The airplane flew in patterns around the ground station at an altitude of 10,000 feet with the ground station always on the left side of the aircraft.

While these data were not taken at 5GHz frequencies, the results align closely with 5GHz testing results, and thus can be considered representative of relative fuselage attenuation performance.

- The fuselage contributes a substantial amount of additional shielding in nose-on and tail-on configurations, which statistically is the most common orientation between an aircraft in flight and a terrestrial station taking into account the airway paths.
- Future aircraft may have increased fuselage attenuation characteristics than the current generation of airplanes, which represent the entire test data presented herein. The reasons for this anticipated increase of RF shielding involve details of future aircraft designs as well as an effort to prevent critical airplane systems from being impacted by ei-

ther terrestrial systems or passenger-carried electronic devices inside the cabin.


Figure 1: Fuselage shielding effectiveness for a 777-200 airplane in flight at 10,000 foot altitude with an antenna installation above the ceiling panels in the crown.

Airborne-Terrestrial Link Budget

The amount of interference into the radar can also be viewed from the perspective of a link budget from the RLAN to the radar. Airborne RLANs are operated at very low power (under 100mW), and the shielding due to the fuselage also reduces the signal levels escaping the aircraft. An analysis of the signal levels emanating from an airframe is shown in Figure , where the signal levels can be seen to drop below the thermal noise floor at a distance of less than 700 meters.


Figure 2: Depiction of power levels and path loss from an RLAN operating with an airplane.

Impact of Mobility upon DFS Functionality and Efficacy

In a fixed RLAN situation, the RLAN, upon powering up would detect the radar within the first radar scan cycle (either during the CAC or during in-service monitoring), change channels to a clear channel, and the configuration would remain static thereafter.

For a mobile platform, such as an airplane traveling at 600mph (1000km/hour), the airplane could pass within tens of radars while on a single flight segment. So,

- To prevent interference into radar systems, the DFS algorithm should scan the appropriate channels for radar signals before use.
- It may be desirable to separate the radar detection function from the transmitting function within the APs, to better manage the switches from one channel to another, and ensure maximum radar detection capability while providing optimal operability of the RLAN.

3   Test Configuration

A Boeing 777-200 airplane was used for these flight tests. The terrestrial weather radars participating in this collaborative testing are operated by Environment Canada, and are located throughout Canada.

The testing consisted of two flight tests and one ground test, as follows:
- Mt. Sicker flight test, Jun 21
- King site ground test, Aug 9 2006
- Strathmore flight test, Aug 23 2006

During each phase of testing, the RLAN equipment was operated in a couple of different modes. These modes included:
- "Listen-only" mode, in which the AP transmit radios were disabled and DFS radar detections were logged – see Section 0.
- "RLAN in-service testing" mode, where the APs transmitted RLAN traffic normally and detected radar DFS events in between transmission bursts – see Section 0.

Airborne Equipment

The APs were installed near the windows on either side of the airplane amidships. The RLAN equipment installed on the airplane consisted of:

- 10 ea. Colubris MAP-330 dual-radio 802.11a/b/g APs
- 2 ea Dell laptops, used for syslogging and network traffic generation
- Netgear 8-port Ethernet switch

Custom firmware was made available by Colubris (the AP manufacturer) for the purposes of this testing. The firmware details will be outlined below.

An important aspect of the Colubris DFS algorithm for detection and channel switching policy is that it did not differentiate as to the detected power levels – if the AP detected a radar at any power level, the AP was programmed to execute the DFS algorithm.

Listen-Only Testing

The *listen-only* mode was designed to allow DFS radar detection in the then-unapproved 5470-5725MHz band without violating any regulatory restrictions or potentially interfering with any radars. This was accomplished by disabling the radio transmitters of the APs, rendering them only able to receive signals, but not to emit any.

For the listen-only tests, a custom firmware load for the APs was provided by Colubris for the purposes of this flight testing. The firmware was configured to provide the following functionality:

- Inhibit all transmissions (including BEACONS)
- Implement the radar detection component of the proposed FCC DFS algorithm *Note: The radio certification test process for the DFS algorithm had not been released by the FCC at the time of this work, thus the firmware code base and algorithm were not FCC certified.*
- Inhibit the DFS channel switching component of the DFS algorithm
- Report when the DFS algorithm detects a radar, via syslog (an automatic logging capability common in network and computer systems management) functionality to a logging laptop computer.

The airplane was equipped with a sufficient number of APs to simultaneously monitor all 802.11 channels within the frequency bands where DFS is required: 5250-5350MHz and 5470-5725MHz. Thus, with the listen-only configuration, the airplane was able to fly arbitrary flight paths without violating any regulations, and monitor the 5GHz spectrum for radar signals which might disrupt airborne RLAN services.

RLAN In-Service Testing

The second component of the flight testing was to determine the impact of airborne RLANs upon the terrestrial radar system. To accomplish this, a functioning 802.11a AP was required. Since this AP would not execute the DFS channel changing algorithm upon detecting radar, experimental licenses were obtained and all affected agencies consulted, including:

- Industry Canada, the telecommunications agency of Canada, issued an experimental license to transmit in the 5600-5650Mhz band without active DFS functionality enabled.
- Environment Canada, the Canadian weather radar operators, approved the experimental license.
- The US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) approved the testing.
- The US Federal Communications Commission (FCC) approved the testing.
- The owner of several C-band radars in northern Washington State, Tribune Television Northwest, was contacted, and approval granted to potentially interfere with their systems.

To enable the in-service testing, the AP vendor supplied a second custom test-only firmware load to Boeing. This firmware provided the following functionality:

- Enable 802.11a RLAN network functionality, including radio transmissions on a selected static channel
- Implement the radar detection component of the proposed FCC DFS algorithm *Note: The test process for this algorithm had not been released by the FCC at the time of this testing,*

thus this firmware code base and algorithm were not FCC certified.
- Inhibit the DFS channel switching component of the DFS algorithm
- Report when the DFS algorithm detects a radar, via syslog functionality to a logging laptop computer

For this test, EC radars were selected which operated within 802.11a channel 124 (5610-5630MHz), therefore a single AP was required to transmit. The AP was configured to maximum power output, which is listed as 18dBm, or approximately 65mW. A standard "rubber ducky" dipole antenna was oriented longitudinally along the axis of the fuselage.

Since a two-way network link between AP and the client would not be possible without acquiring an experimental client as well, the decision was made to provide a network load to the AP via multicast transmissions (which do not require acknowledgments from a receiving system, and thus no receiver). The tool used to generate the traffic was *Iperf* (http://dast.nlanr.net/Projects/Iperf/).

To adequately assess the AP's ability to simultaneously conduct network operations and monitor for radars, Iperf was configured to supply network traffic of 3Mbps. The multicast signaling rate for APs was configured to 6Mbps. A vector signal analyzer (VSA) plot is shown in Figure , where the green line is the power output across frequency, and the yellow line represents power output as a function of time.


Figure 2: Agilent 89600 vector signal analyzer screen shot showing AP spectral signal (green line) and time signal (yellow line).

Examining the time-based output, Iperf can be seen to generally output four 2mS packets, and then idle for about 7mS of quiet time, although this pattern is somewhat variable. Using the VSA functionality, the ratio of transmit time to idle time was computed to be about 55% duty cycle.

EC Terrestrial Radars

Environment Canada operates a network of 30 C-Band weather radars (Lapczak et al, 1999; Joe et al, 2002). The radars, with a few exceptions discussed later, all

have identical transmitters, receivers, control systems and signal processors, and operate with very similar scan sequences and data processing. The radars operate 24/7, all year long, with occasional (generally less than 2% per year) downtime for maintenance. The radar data are sent over network links to regional and national forecast centers where they are converted into image products for use by forecasters, special users (e.g. the aviation community, broadcasters) and by the general public.

4   Test Results

4.1 Mt. Sicker Flight Test

To assess the impact of airborne RLANs upon operational radars, a flight test was planned in cooperation with Environment Canada around EC's Mt. Sicker weather radar located on the southern end of Vancouver Island. The objectives of this flight test were to:

- Assess the radar detection performance of an airborne AP
- Assess the reported radar power levels detected by the AP
- Assess the radar interference due to airborne RLANs, which operated continuously without regard to DFS detections

Test Configuration and Procedures

The flight test airplane launched from the United States, and flew to Vancouver BC. Upon reaching the vicinity of the EC Mt Sicker radar, the airplane flew a specific flight path designed to maximize the potential for radar illumination, and enable accurate measurements. All flight legs near the radar were flown at 25,000 feet altitude (MSL – *Mean Sea Level*) (7620m). Specifically, the flight encompassed the following flight legs around the radar site (Fig. 3):

- Upon arriving in the vicinity, a tangent to a circle of radius 50 nautical miles (nm) (92.6km)
- A semi-circle at constant altitude and distance from the radar at a 50-nm (92.6km) radius.
- A tangent to a circle of 25-nm (46.3km) radius around the radar
- A semi-circle at constant altitude at a 25-nm (46.3km) radius.
- Passing directly over the radar, flying directly away from the radar for a distance of approximately 150 nm (277.8km), then returning directly overhead.

The flight paths were selected to:

- Semi-circles: force the airplane to dwell within clear sight of the radar without changing azimuth or elevation with respect to the airplane. This eliminates the variable of changing fuselage attenuation, and provides the radar a clear view into the cabin through the windows. The 25-mile circle provides opportunities for the lowest reasonable slant range path loss measurements. At shorter slant ranges, the elevation uptilt of the radar antenna becomes increasingly unlikely.

- Tangents: Assess the more realistic condition of having an airplane flying past a radar. This path also exercises various aircraft azimuth and elevation angles, which provide variability in fuselage losses.
- Directly towards/away from radar: confirm that nose-on and tail-on orientations have sufficient shielding, in spite of the short slant ranges.

Figure 3: Flight test tracks followed in the vicinity of Mt Sicker radar site.

Airborne DFS Detection Results

After taking off from Glasgow Montana the listen-only RLAN equipment was powered up. After flying into Canadian airspace, the transmit-capable RLAN equipment was powered up in accordance with the experimental license conditions.

The APs recorded DFS detections by issuing a syslog record, which showed the channel number and the received power that the AP detected. Note that the AP radios are not calibrated, and the received power is calculated from the RSSI (received signal strength indication). The accuracy of this received power calculation is known to be somewhat non-linear and thus is not accurate. The APs report received signal powers down to approximately -80dBm.

During the course of the flight test, the airborne APs registered a number of radar detections, which can be attributed to Canadian radar systems in the vicinity. A map of the total flight path from Montana to Vancouver is shown in Figure 4. The map is annotated with the EC radar sites, blue dots to indicate DFS detections with radar power above the FCC regulatory limit of -62dBm, and green flags to indicate DFS detections above a -50dBm threshold.   Note that qualitatively, the most significant "hits" are approximately 10 minutes apart corresponding to a direct illumination by the radar beam for every complete cycle of the 10 minute radar scan cycle, as expected. This data represent output from the "listen-only" APs. Based upon previous link budget calculations (Joe et al, 2005; Brandao et al, 2005), if the AP detects the radar at above -50dBm, then the radar is at a distance where it could possibly "see" the AP transmitted signal.

As would be expected, during the directed flight test flight segments very close to the radar, the number of radar detections increased. In Figure 5, the details of the flight segments, annotated with the DFS detections are shown.

As can be seen in the plots, the DFS algorithm does detect radars as the beams sweep past and illuminate the airplane. Due to the statistical uncertainty of aircraft illumination while within the search volume, there is no expectation that the radar detections would have a strong correspondence to the shortest distance between the airplane and the radar.

Figure 4: Flight path from Glasgow Montana to Mt Sicker, BC radar site. Black dots indicate flight path, blue dots are radar detections above the FCC regulatory -62dBm threshold, green flags are radar detections above a -50dBm limit.

Figure 5: Details of flight path around Mt. Sicker radar site with DFS power readings annotated. Black dots indicate flight path, blue dots are radar detections above the FCC regulatory -62dBm threshold, green flags are radar detections above a -50dBm limit.

Listen-Only vs. In-Service DFS Detection Results

The current generation of APs has an intrinsic limitation in which they cannot simultaneously receive and transmit. When assessing the performance of the DFS detections, one might expect the detection performance to degrade when the radio is attempting to transmit a significant amount of data at the same time it is monitoring for the presence of a radar. This would be expected, since it is impossible for the AP to be able to listen for a radar while it is transmitting data.

To determine the limitations of an in-service AP, the experimental RLAN was configured with two independent APs – one operating in listen-only mode, the other in functional network service. A comparison of the two AP's ability to detect radars can be seen in Fig 6., where the in-service AP can be seen to suffer some performance impairments (as would be well expected), but still be capable of detecting radars.


Figure 6: A comparison between listen-only and in-service AP radar detection rates during flight test over Mt Sicker. Scatterplot dots represent the count of DFS detections over the FCC limit of -62dBm obtained from each AP in 5-minute bins during the flight test.

Weather Radar Interference Results

For the flight on 21 Jun, all EC radars, with the exception of Mt Sicker (XSI) were in standard scanning mode (Lapczak et al, 1999; Joe et al, 2002). The Mt Sicker configuration was optimized to enhance the effectiveness of the flight tests. Speckle filter was removed and only volume scanning (24 elevations from 0.1^{o} to 24.4^{o}) using a 2 µs pulse on a 5 min cycle was employed.

The reflectivity data in PPI displays for all of the elevation angles ("tilts") collected during the 21 Jun 2006 flight for both the Mt Sicker (XSI) and Aldergrove (WUJ) radars have been examined. No interference that would be attributable to an RLAN operating with a 2 millisecond transmit time and a 180 microsecond listen time was found.

This flight test campaign has shown that

- The US-developed DFS algorithm continues to function in a high-speed platform. The velocity of the mobile network doesn't impact the functionality of the algorithm in any noticeable way.
- The airborne RLAN did not apparently interfere with the EC weather radars, in spite of worst-case configurations and flight paths.

The results that the DFS algorithm works well at speed are expected. Computing the potential Doppler shift in frequencies due to aircraft velocity results in numbers well within the resolution bandwidths of both radars and 802.11 RLANs, and thus should not be a factor in DFS functionality.

On the other hand, the lack of apparent interference into the radar was somewhat unexpected and disconcerting. This result was surprising because the airborne DFS algorithm reported radar power as high as -40 dBm and expectations were that, within a few dB, if the RLAN could see the radar, then the radar could see the RLAN. Consequently a decision was made to explore this discrepancy further.

4.2 King Site Ground Test

To explore the gaps between expectations and experimental results, ground testing was conducted using the flight test APs and the EC King City radar.

The major objectives of the testing were:
- Assess the performance of the DFS algorithm when subjected to Canadian weather radar systems. This was the first attempt to validate actual DFS implementation in a commercial AP against Canadian radar.
- Confirm amounts of interference caused by terrestrial commercial APs operating in radar frequency bands.

The same APs and firmware loads were used as on the airplane, as were the regulatory licensing arrangements. The APs were operated in listen-only mode, and also in transmit mode during various stages of the testing. The radar recorded the AP transmission, using a non-operational constant elevation sweep of the radar antenna.

Testing was conducting in the following scenarios:
- Bench testing in the radar building computer room with the radar operating in various modes
- External testing in listen-only mode, to correlate the AP DFS events with radar operations
- External testing in transmit mode, to confirm link budget calculations and radar interference issues

Also tested were a variety of other potential variables, to assess the impact upon DFS performance in the real world. Variables tested included:
- Adjacent 802.11 channel DFS detection rate.
- AP antenna polarization
- Radar azimuth rotation rate variations, from "staring mode" (0 deg/s) to very high scan rates (36 deg/s)
- Radar elevation changes
- Radar transmit on/off
- Various pulse lengths, from 0.8μs to 2μs
- Various pulse repetition frequencies (PRFs), dual and single PRFs

Bench Testing

The AP was operated in listen-only mode in the radar building as part of the initial equipment check out procedure. The AP was situated less than 3 m from the transmitter cabinet as seen in Fig. 7. The radar antenna was on a tower 30 m above the building. Surprising to the radar operators, the AP recorded DFS events at power levels around -60 to -40 dBm, with a periodic pattern corresponding to the antenna rotation rate and direction. To confirm that the "hits" were due to the ra-

dar, the transmitter was cycled on and off. When the transmitter was off, the hits disappeared, as seen in Figure 8, providing clear evidence of the operability of the DFS.

Figure 7: Bench testing the AP in the King Site radar control room.


Figure 8: Plot of DFS detections and relative power over time. The lack of detections correspond to periods when the radar transmitter was turned off.

Initially, the "hits" were assumed to be DFS receiver noise but the periodic pattern and the correlation with the antenna direction clearly indicated that it was related to the radar.

External Testing (AP Listen-Only Mode)

The AP was operated from a vehicle at different locations that had an (expected) line of sight to the radar with different distances (3 to 40 km). The radar swept at the expected elevation angle of the AP, found by using the difference between the radar location and the GPS coordinates at each test location.

Figure 9 shows a short sequence of the DFS detections at a range of 2.7 km and an elevation angle of 0°. The azimuth scan rate was 2° per second. The power measurements had more than 50 dB dynamic range. Peak values of around -20 dBm were observed as the antenna pointed at the AP. The peak of the RLAN signal is much broader than the 0.65° HPBW of the radar. The DFS algorithm detected the radar regardless of the azimuth angle the radar antenna was pointing

Figure 9: AP DFS detections in the field at a range of 2.7km. Radar was scanning azimuth at 2° per second with a constant elevation angle of 0°. The peaks of detection power correspond to when the radar was pointing directly at the AP.

To explore this a little more, the radar scan rate was increased to 36° per second, and the antenna elevation angle was raised step-wise from 5° to 35° in 5° increments once per minute. Figure 10 shows the corresponding DFS hits reported by the AP. The peak power decreased as the elevation increased away from the on-target elevation angle (0°) whereas the base levels remain the same. This clearly illustrates that the DFS detects the weather radar even on off-axis directions. The DFS is either seeing signal directly from the antenna or off multiple scattering mechanisms.


Figure 10: AP DFS detections as radar antenna elevation angle is swept between 5 and 35 degrees. AP was located 2.7km away from radar.

As the distance between the AP and the radar increased, the ability of the AP to detect the radar via multipath or the antenna backlobes degraded. At the farthest range of the test (~48km), the peak signal could still be identified whereas the off–axis hits decreased significantly, as seen in Figure *11*11.

Another question of interest was the prevalence of false positive radar detection. While at the furthest range, the radar transmitter was cycled on and off on 30 second intervals, starting at 16:08. As shown in Figure *11*12, the AP generally did not generate false positives, however, it would continue to output log entries for prior detections for several seconds after the radar was no longer visible.

AP DFS Radar Detections

Figure 11: AP DFS detections at a range of 48km. It can take up to 5 seconds for the AP to stop reporting a radar signal after the signal is removed.

Longer range tests were not conducted due to time constraints. Locations were chosen where good line of sight was expected and so these should be interpreted as near-worse case scenarios. Of course, the results are dependent on the local conditions of the location, intervening terrain and atmospheric propagation conditions.

Additional Comments on Listen-Mode Tests

In addition, the AP performed DFS monitoring on adjacent channels to the radar operation. The AP did not detect the radar when monitoring adjacent 802.11 channels. No DFS events during the monitoring period could be attributed to the test radar when monitoring the first and second adjacent channels.

It is important to note that the AP reporting of radar signal power levels was, at best, an approximation. The manufacturer advised that the received signal strength indicator (RSSI) was used to compute the reported radar power. Upon reviewing link budget analysis and reported signal strengths, however, it became clear that the AP radio must have some type of automatic gain control (AGC) functionality altering the reported signal levels dynamically.

In the ground test, the DFS was able to detect the weather radar out to the maximum measured range (~47 km). Figure12 shows the radar power received by the AP. These values are the maximum reported values at the indicated range. Presumably, these occur when the radar is directly incident on the AP. It was expected that the path loss exponent (PLE) would roughly be related to the free space value of 2 (red line). A previous related study (Brandao et al, 2xx) reported a PLE of 2.28 for terrestrial RLAN systems. Here the value is about 0.95 which is interpreted as a non-linear effect, probably due to signal enhancement using AGC or some other technique. There is a hint of a sawtooth pattern indicative of AGC.

Figure 12: Comparison between expected and observed AP reports of radar power. Red line is expected value, with a path loss exponent (PLE) of 2, while the black line is the observed roll-off of AP power reports.

External Testing (AP Transmit Mode)

The AP was operated in transmit mode at 3 locations (2.7, 6.4 and 16.7 km). The antenna of the AP was varied from horizontal to vertical positions and in between. The radar elevation angle was set at the expected incident angle on the AP.

Below are two examples of RLAN interference into the radar shown as a PPI display. Figure 13 shows the impact of an AP at a range of 6.7 km, and Figure 14 shows the impact at a 16.8 km range. The data was collected without any filtering and the images show ground clutter echoes near the radar (center of image). The RLAN signal shows up as long radials of constant power.


Figure 13: Interference into the radar from an AP transmitting at a range of 6.4km.


Figure 14: Interference into the radar from an AP transmitting at a range of 16.7km.

In Figure 15, the data is presented as the radar reflectivity factor and in Figure 16, the data is presented as received power. The spikes in the data are due to reflections from local ground targets. Figure 16 shows that the RLAN is observed as constant power source extending to all ranges. The appearance of the RLAN signal over all range bins is due to the very long packet lengths (over 2ms) of the RLAN signal look like a 200km-long target to the radar.


Figure 15: Apparent AP reflectivity return over range bins as observed by the radar. The reflectivity increases over distance due to dBZ normalization.

AP Interference Level into Radar in dBm

Figure 16: AP power received in radar processor over range bins. The received power appears to be constant over all range bins because it actually is sourced at only one location, and thus is constant.

In Figure *17*17, a summary of the RLAN power measurements taken at different distances from the radar (3 to 16 km) are presented. The RLAN had two omni-directional (monopole) antennas that each could be manually oriented horizontally or vertically. In a free-space environment, it would be expected that the received power measurements taken by the radar would decrease with range. In this practical situation, however, the results do not show this. The power measurements at 3 km and 16 km are approximately the same and the measurements at 7 km are about 10 to 20 dB higher than the other two. This variation is most likely due to terrain blockage, multi-path, and propagation conditions dominating the range effect. While there was some variation with antenna orientation at a particular site, the results were inconsistent at the various ranges.


Figure 17: RLAN power received at the radar by distance and AP antenna polarization.

Conclusions from Ground Testing

The Colubris DFS is very sensitive, and the DFS algorithm functioned very well, with few or no false positives. The AP was able to detect the radar at near ranges

even when the radar was not incident on the AP – the AP detecting off-main lobe emissions or via terrain scattering, or both.

At the maximum range of the AP listen-only tests (~47 km), the AP still easily detected the radar on direct incidence. At the maximum range of the AP-transmit test (~16.7 km), the radar was still able to see the AP (~30 dB above noise). The latter results are highly dependent on the location of the AP and subject to blockage, multi-path and propagation effects. The propagation effects can be due to earth curvature and index of refraction effects and vegetation/terrain/plane effects.

The AP can detect the radar at distances where RLAN interference into the radar would not exist, although the margin was not excessive. (Note that this was a design objective during the DFS algorithm development – an approximately 6dB margin between when the AP detects the radar, and when the AP begins to interfere with the radar.)

At shorter ranges (say, less than 20km), the AP can detect the radar off the main lobe, implying that side and back lobes contain sufficient energy for detection. At longer ranges (tested up to 47km), the AP can detect the radar upon direct incidence of the main beam.

4.3 Strathmore Flight Test

The Mt. Sicker flight test produced evidence that DFS functioned well at aircraft speeds, but questions remained concerning the link budget calculations, since the radar should have experienced interference from the RLAN, but didn't. Follow-on ground testing at the King site showed that the link budget was reasonably accurate for terrestrial testing, and that DFS functioned as designed on the ground as well.

To resolve the airborne RLAN link budget, test some airplane modifications, and obtain more accurate data, an additional flight test was planned. Objectives for this flight test included:

- Testing the effects of airplane RF hardening – the airplane had undergone modifications to increase the attenuation between the interior of the cabin and the external environment.
- A secondary transmitting system was installed (above and beyond the onboard RLAN equipment). This secondary system incorporated an external antenna and high-powered amplifier to ensure that the radar would encounter interference (to validate the link budget).
- Alterations to the flight path and radar operations to enhance data gathering.

The same Boeing 777-200 airplane and installed RLAN components used for the Mt. Sicker flight tests were used for the Strathmore flight tests.

Airplane RF Shielding

The airplane was modified from the original configuration, by adding a level of fuselage RF hardening which was intended to substantially increase the level of fuselage attenuation between the interior of the cabin and

the external environment. This RF hardening was intended to evaluate future airplane fuselage configurations, which could potentially have higher fuselage attenuation than existing airplane models to reduce the potential effect of the radars on the RLAN performance in the cabin and vice versa.

High-Powered RLAN Emulator

In addition to the installed RLAN, the airplane was also equipped with an additional emulated RLAN, which was constructed with the intent of being able to generate RLAN signals in such a way that the radar would be certain to encounter interference. Recall from the Mt. Sicker tests, the radar beam was incident on the airplane (from correlating the time of the beam location in azimuth and elevation with the airplane location, not shown) in the reflectivity data, but did not suffer from RLAN interference. On the Strathmore test, the airplane and radar configurations were designed to ensure interference would occur so that the link budget could be assessed and validated.

The emulator consisted of the following components:
- An Agilent 4438C vector signal generator, with 802.11 emulation module
- Hughes TWT 10 Watt amplifier
- External antenna (2dBi gain max) in a window plug forward of the wing

The emulator was constructed and calibrated to the antenna input on the airplane. The antenna radiation patterns were measured and calibrated at the antenna test range for the frequencies of interest.

Flight Path

For the Strathmore test, an artificial flight pattern was selected to optimize the data gathering capability. The flight path selected was to have the airplane orbit the radar at 25nm (46.3km) radius at 10,000 foot (3048m) altitude (above ground level – AGL). As a secondary option, the airplane also orbited the radar briefly at 50nm (92.6km) radius, still at 10,000 foot altitude. Over the course of the flight test, the airplane flew two complete circuits of the 25-nm radius, and just under a complete circle on the 50-nm radius, as shown in Figure 18. The 25-nm orbits required approximately 35 minutes to complete, while the 50-nm orbit took over an hour.

Radar Configuration

The Strathmore radar was configured to maximize illumination of the orbiting airplane. The elevation angle was fixed to the altitude and distance of the airplane's orbit, and the azimuth was scanned at 36°/s, or 10 seconds per complete revolution.

Figure 18: Airplane flight path for Strathmore radar DFS testing.
In an effort to ensure the highest levels of illumination, once the airplane began orbiting the radar, the elevation angle was adjusted above and below the theoretical angle seeking a maximum. The data showed that the airplane was maximally illuminated at the theoretical elevation, which was used for the remainder of the flight test.

The radar configuration for this flight test consisted of the following:
- Radar pulses were 2μs long, with a PRF of 250Hz
- Range bins adjusted to 250m, with no range averaging. A total of 1024 bins, providing a total range of 0.0-255.75km
- Radar processing was 0.5° in azimuth rays
- Pulse pair processing (3-4 pulses per ray, minimal processing) with log threshold 2.5dB, 1 byte dBZ data with resolution on 0.5dB
- All filters were disabled on the radar, including the speckle filter and the range and ray averaging filter.

Test Variations

Data were collected over a variation of test conditions. The test conditions included:

- Airborne RLAN transmitting and not transmitting
- Airborne RLAN emulator (external to fuselage) transmitting and not transmitting
- Airborne RLAN emulator at various power levels, including all 5dBm steps between a maximum of 40dBm to a minimum of 5dBm
- Radar transmitter on and off
- Radar pointing at airplane and away from airplane

Coordination between the airplane and the radar site was enabled via Iridium phone calls, and allowed the radar operators and flight test engineers to stay in constant communications during the tests.

Onboard DFS Detection Results

Since the radar was scanning at a fixed elevation (~4°) and at 36° / second (10 seconds per complete revolution), a metric of airborne RLAN detection capability was to examine the time difference between each DFS event for the AP. Ideally, assuming that the AP did not miss a single radar illumination without detecting it, the maximum time between events would be no more than ten seconds. In fact, there were no missed detections. Examining Figure 19 which depicts the time difference between each DFS event at the AP, we can see that no events exceed ten seconds, with many other detections being logged in between. This data reflects almost one orbit around the radar by the airplane. Thus there is a high degree of confidence that the AP detected the radar, at minimum, upon each illumination of the airplane by the radar beam.

No spurious detections by the RLAN AP were encountered during this flight test. Spurious detections are defined as on-channel detections when the radar transmitter was turned off or detections on other channels when no radars were present.


Figure 19: Time between DFS events (delta time) for the airborne RLAN during approximately one airplane orbit around the radar.

Radar Interference Results

Using the special firmware for the AP, the flight test crew was able to transmit continuously on a channel overlaying the radar operating frequency. At no time was the radar able to detect any interference by the RLAN – identical to the Mt. Sicker results. In order to ensure the link budget was accurate, the airplane was equipped with a high-powered RLAN emulator, capable of generating interference with the radar when turned on.

To compare the impact of the external high-powered RLAN emulator with the internal low-powered real RLAN, the RLAN onboard the airplane was turned off and on, and the external emulator was stepped through a variety of output powers while evaluating the interference into the radar.

Referring to Figure 2, which depicts an unfiltered "normal" radar image at the Strathmore site, the normal ground clutter, nearby mountains, and other artifacts are visible in the radar returns.

In Figure , the impact of operating the external RLAN emulator at a high power level of 40dBm (10W) can be seen on the radar image. The RLAN emulator's interference completely fills all range bins of the radar processor, leading to the radial line emanating from the radar location and extending to the horizon. Additionally the aircraft itself can be seen as a higher than usual reflectivity return in the radar image.


Figure 20: Typical (normal) Strathmore radar image with speckle filter turned off for flight test.

After the external RLAN emulator output power was decreased to 20dBm, the interference into the radar decreased slightly as seen in Figure ; decreasing the output power to 10dBm results in the radar image seen in Figure .

The interference pattern at the radar display in Figure is clearly breaking up, becoming less visible, and resembling the random speckle pattern seen surrounding it. At an output power of 5dBm, the external RLAN emulator was not visible on the radar display at all.

Figure 21: Radar reflectivity of the airplane with an external RLAN emulator outputting 40dBm.


Figure 22: Radar reflectivity of the airplane with an external RLAN emulator outputting 20dBm.


Figure 23: Radar reflectivity of the airplane with an external RLAN emulator outputting 10dBm.

The radar image of the airplane with the internal RLAN operating is shown in Figure 24. As can be seen, the airplane is visible (since the speckle filter is turned off) in the image, but the streak characteristic of RLAN interference is absent, indicating that there was no interference due to the internal airborne RLAN. It's also important to note that the RLAN was continuing to report DFS events, which normally would have triggered a channel change to avoid radar interference. Thus we can conclude that for these conditions (range of 25 nmi, altitude of 10Kft), a stock (non-test specific firmware) RLAN would have correctly identified the radar and changed channels, before the radar experienced interference.

The flight test also consisted of performing an "A-B" comparison between the external emulator and the internal RLAN. In each case, the external emulator was visible, while the internal RLAN was not visible.


Figure 24: Radar reflectivity of the airplane with an internal RLAN operating at 20dBm.

Link Budget Calculations for Strathmore

A link budget for the Strathmore flight test is shown in Figure . This budget shows the calculations for four external signal levels (40dBm, 20dBm, 10dBm, and 5dBm) and the internal RLAN signal levels as seen by terrestrial radar.

The radar has a theoretical noise floor at the output of the radar antenna of approximately -110.7dBm. Noting that the two columns annotated as "no interference" are actually above the theoretical noise floor, it was suspected that the radar antenna was sub-optimally oriented to inject a maximum amount of interference noise into the radar.

Comparing the interference signal levels from the external RLAN emulator to the internal RLAN, the internal network is seen to have about 4.3dB lower noise level than 5dBm external signal (no interference detected), and 9.3dB margin with the external 10dBm signal, which has marginal impact on the radar.

However, at about 12nm (22 km), the path loss is about 9.3 dB lower and the internal RLAN signal would look like the 10 dB external antenna case and should be marginally detectable by the radar. At this latter range

and for an aircraft flying at 25,000 ft (8 km), in order to see the plane, the weather radar elevation angle is about 21° above the horizon. The aircraft is approaching the cone of silence right above the radar. There is a small chance of a direct hit on the aircraft as it takes about 9 seconds to pass through the coverage area. Lower flight altitudes would increase the probability of detection due to the possibility of being scanned by the radar since the horizontal radar coverage would increase the residence time due to the ring width increases.

To determine whether the regulator requirements would have protected the radar from these signals, the AP

DFS detection logs were examined for the detected radar power levels. In Figure , the detected radar power seen within the aircraft cabin is depicted. As can be seen, the radar is routinely detected.

Results from the orbit at 50nm were consistent with and similar to the closer 25nm orbit results. In this case, the radar antenna was fixed at approximately 2° elevation, with no other changes to the test protocol. The AP correctly identified the radar, and the radar encountered no interference from the onboard RLAN

.

| Flight Test Theoretical Link Budget					
	Ext 40dBm	Ext 20dBm	Ext 10dBm	Ext 5dBm	Internal RLAN
RLAN Tx Power (dBm/20 MHz)	40.0	20.0	10.0	5.0	20.0
Bandwidth Adjustment (WLAN to Radar) (dB)	-12.0	-12.0	-12.0	-12.0	-12.0
Antenna Gain (dBi)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
RLAN TX Power EIRP (dBm/RadarBW)	30.0	10.0	0.0	-5.0	8.0
Fuselage attenuation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-17.3
Path (free-space) loss @ 25 nm	-140.7	-140.7	-140.7	-140.7	-140.7
Signal Level into Radar Antenna (dBm/RadarBW)	-110.8	-130.8	-140.8	-145.8	-150.1
Radar Antenna Gain (dBi)	47.5	47.5	47.5	47.5	47.5
Signal Level out of Radar Antenna (dBm/RadarBW)	-63.3	-83.3	-93.3	-98.3	-102.6
Flight Test Experimental Results	Stong Interference	Strong Interference	Marginal Interference	No Interference	No Interference

Figure 25: Link budget calculations for Strathmore 25nm orbit.

Figure 26: Radar signal power levels detected by DFS algorithm during 25nm circular orbit. Dashed vertical grid lines represent the 10-second period which the radar should have been detected.

Conclusions from Strathmore Flight Test

With refined radar scan strategies and improved test flight patterns, more data (and better data) was obtained concerning the impact of airborne RLANs upon terres-

trial weather radars. These results validated the results from the Mt. Sicker flight tests, which showed that

- The DFS algorithm functions as designed in high-speed mobile platforms, correctly detecting at-risk radars
- The DFS algorithm in airborne RLAN systems detects a radar reliably upon direct illumination, and often at other times as well
- The RLAN will not interfere with weather radar at minimal slant ranges, and the radar detection algorithm will detect the radar prior to interference becoming an issue, including non-direct incidence detections at short ranges.

5   Conclusions

Ground and airborne interference testing between a terrestrial weather radar and a Colubris AP with DFS was conducted in the 5GHz spectrum. Two airborne tests and one ground test was conducted. The main objective was to determine if a DFS on a high speed mobile aircraft would detect a weather radar, since existing regulations and mitigation algorithms were conceived and developed for fixed APs and weather radars.

The results from this series of flight and ground tests are clear. Using a preliminary version of the new US FCC version of the DFS algorithm in a production Colubris MAP-330 AP, tests have been performed on both airborne platforms and ground tests showing that the algorithm functions as designed.

The Colubris detection algorithm appears to be designed to maximally detect the radar regardless of the required DFS certification standards. It exceeds the certification standard in several ways, including short pulses and low PRFs.

The DFS can see the weather radar to at least to 50 km range on the ground and likely more (we performed limited range testing). In the air, the weather radar was detected by the weather radar out to a range of more than 250 km which is close to the radio horizon.

In airborne testing, a RLAN emulator operating at typical AP powers, with antennae mounted outside the fuselage could be seen at near ranges (<25 km, limited testing) by the weather radar. In the tests situations conducted, the AP's signals were adequately attenuated by the aircraft fuselage and no interference was observed on the weather radar. An analysis indicates that at close range (less than 20 km), it is possible for the radar to see the airborne RLAN, but the aircraft is likely be in the cone of silence over the top of the weather radar where the likelihood of direct incidence is low. Therefore, in this application, the weather radar will not experience interference.

In high bandwidth, streaming applications, the DFS is necessary to provide optimum RLAN performance even with a 5GHz "hardened" fuselage.

DFS Performance

In both airborne and ground testing, the DFS algorithm functioned very well, detecting the radar signal prior to radar interference would occur, and with few spurious detections. The margin between detection and radar interference was slightly closer in ground testing, which is consistent with the design of the algorithm as pertained to a fixed RLAN with terrestrial propagation characteristics.

In airborne applications, the DFS algorithm detected the radar at increased ranges than terrestrial systems, which is unsurprising, given the free-space propagation without the additional losses of terrestrial systems. Again, the DFS algorithm detected the radar long before the radar encountered any interference.

Limitations

While the results of this testing are extremely encouraging, it is important to highlight the limitations of this work.

The Colubris APs have a number of attributes, which may not be present in other makes or models (unless required by law). Specifically:
- The APs used for this testing seemed to be adept at detecting $0.8\mu s$ radar pulses as they were at de-

tecting pulses longer than $1\mu s$, even though the FCC rules do not require such performance.
- The Colubris APs were equally adept at detecting very slow pulse trains of 4mS (250Hz PRF), which is also beyond the FCC requirements (the FCC lower limit is 700Hz PRF).
- The Colubris policy is to change channels upon detection of a radar, without regard to the ITU mandated -62dBm threshold, thus dramatically improving the link budget margin in favor of protecting the radars.

Weather radars can use pulses as short as $0.5\mu s$, which can be very difficult to detect. This scenario was not tested during these flight tests. For a complete list of considered radar characteristics and protection, refer to ITUR-M, 1638.

Radar Interference

In terrestrial testing, a production RLAN AP was able to produce a signal generating interference into the radar output. No such interference was generated by the airborne RLAN, even though the airplane flew directly over the radar at an altitude of 10,000 feet.

To validate that the flight tests were being conducted properly, an external high-powered RLAN emulator was used to generate signals, which did interfere with the weather radars. Direct A-B comparisons between the internal and external systems showed that the internal RLAN was substantially shielded by the fuselage – perhaps more than expected given prior fuselage attenuation test results.

Topics for Further Research

While this work has largely answered questions concerning airborne RLANs, other mobile platforms have been less well served. The following topics are suggested as further research topics in the examination of the impact of mobile RLANs:

- An assessment of variations of radar pulse lengths, including sub-microsecond pulses
- Shielding effectiveness of passenger train railcars to RLAN signals
- Impact of terrestrial mobile platforms suddenly appearing in a radar scan volume. Examples might include a train leaving a tunnel into a radar search volume, or a ship rounding a land feature, and into a search volume.
- The Colubris RLAN APs had a non-linear detection behavior and the maximum range of its ability to detect the weather radar on the ground or in the air was not experimentally determined. Studies to determine the range performance of the DFS as compared to radar interference would be of value.
- The attenuation characteristics at 5GHz were inferred from 2GHZ studies. Additional attenuation at 5GHz is suspected which may explain why the airborne AP's were not seen by the ground based weather radars. Additional study of fuselage attenuation characteristics over a range of frequen-

cies of be of significant value to many industries unrelated to radar issues.

- The increase in background noise to the weather radar by a network of AP's and vice versa was out of scope for this study. If this is a significant effect, it may have an affect on the effective noise level of the weather radar and vice versa.
- It appears that the combination of detection technology (hardware) and the detection algorithm (software) extends significant flexibility to the AP manufacturers. Consequently the DFS results reported here may not be universally applicable.

6   References

Brandão, A.L., J. Sydor, W. Brett, J. Scott, P. Joe, D. Hung, "5GHz RLAN interference on active meteorological radars", Proceedings IEEE VTC2005-Spring, Stockholm, Sweden, May 30-June 1, 2005.

Joe, P. and S. Lapczak, 2002: Evolution of the Canadian Operational Radar Network, ERAD Publication Series, 1, 370-382 (2002).

Lapczak, S., E. Aldcroft, M. Stanley-Jones, J. Scott, P. Joe, P. Van Rijn, M. Falla, A. Gagne, P. Ford, K. Reynolds and D. Hudak, 1999: The Canadian National Radar Project, 29th Conf. Radar Met., Montreal, AMS, 327-330

Joe, P., J. Scott, J. Sydor, A. Brandão, & A. Yongacoglu, "Radio local area network (RLAN) and C-band weather radar interference studies", Proceedings of the 32nd

AMS Radar Conference on Radar Meteorology, Albuquerque, New Mexico, Oct 24-29, 2005.

Recommendation ITU-R M 1652, "Dynamic frequency selection (DFS)1 in wireless access systems including radio local area networks for the purpose of protecting the radiodetermination service in the 5 GHz band", 2003

ITU Resolution 229, "Use of the bands 5150-5 250MHz, 5250-5350MHz and 5470-5725MHz by the mobile service for the implementation of wireless access systems including radio local area networks", 2003

FCC Memorandum of Opinion and Order in the matter of Revision of Parts 2 and 15 of the Commission's Rules to Permit Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (U-NII) devices in the 5GHz band, ET Docket No 03-122, Jun 29, 2006.

K. Kirchoff, "Analysis of expected RF attenuation from the 787 carbon fiber composite fuselage", unpublished Boeing document, May 10, 2006. (Boeing Proprietary)

Boeing Electromagnetic Effects Group, "747-400 Fuselage Attenuation of 802.11a Signals", Boeing document number D521U140, September 17, 2004. (Boeing Proprietary)

Recommendation ITU-R M.1638, "Characteristics of and protection criteria for sharing studies for radiolocation, aeronautical radionavigation and meteorological radars operating in the frequency ands between 5250 and 5850 MHz", 2003