1. INTRODUCTION

The 45th Weather Squadron (45 WS) provides comprehensive weather support to America’s space program at KSC/CCAFS (Harms et al. 1999). Part of this support includes daily 24-Hour and Weekly Planning Forecasts, which are used for planning operations at KSC/CCAFS. The 24-Hour Forecast is valid from 8:00 am to 8:00 am the next day in local time, and it is broken into six 4-hour time blocks. The Weekly Forecast is for the next 6 days starting with the next day and is broken into 12-hour time blocks. One of the elements in the planning forecasts is the expected peak wind speed for the day. Forecasting this element has proven challenging during the cool season months at KSC/CCAFS (October-April).

To improve their peak wind forecasting capability, the 45 WS tasked the Applied Meteorology Unit (AMU) (Bauman et al. 2004) to develop a tool to forecast the speed and timing of the daily peak and its associated average wind occurring between the surface and 300 ft on KSC/CCAFS during the cool season. The tool uses data available by 1200 UTC to meet the deadlines for issuing the Planning Forecasts. Because the 45 WS issues wind warnings for wind gusts ≥ 35 kt, ≥ 50 kt, and ≥ 60 kt, the AMU tool also provides the probability that the expected peak speed will meet each of these warning thresholds.

2. DATA

Weather observations were collected for the cool season months from October 2002 to February 2007. The data sources included:

- 5-minute average and peak wind observations from the KSC/CCAFS tower network to be used as predictors and predictands,
- Hourly and special observations from the Shuttle Landing Facility (SLF) to verify the occurrence of precipitation at or near the SLF, and
- CCAFS morning upper-air soundings to determine wind speeds at different levels and the existence of temperature inversions.

Table 1 shows the sensor levels at each tower used in this task, and Figure 1 shows the location of the towers in the KSC/CCAFS area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tower #</th>
<th>Tower Wind Levels (ft)</th>
<th>Tower #</th>
<th>Tower Wind Levels (ft)</th>
<th>Tower #</th>
<th>Tower Wind Levels (ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td>0108</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td>0397</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0511</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002</td>
<td>12, 54, 90, 145, 204</td>
<td>0110</td>
<td>12, 54, 162, 204</td>
<td>0398</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0512</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td>0211</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td>0403</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0513</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>12, 54, 162, 204</td>
<td>0300</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0412</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0714</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0019</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0303</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td>0415</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0803</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0022</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0311</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td>0418</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0805</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0036</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0313</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td>0421</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0401</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0040</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0393</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0506</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0041</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0394</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0509</td>
<td>12, 54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. PEAK WIND SPEED OF THE DAY

The following candidate predictors were evaluated to predict the daily peak wind speed:

- Strongest wind in the lowest 3000, 4000, and 5000 ft,
- Persistence,
- Inversion depth and strength, and
- The wind speed at the top of the surface-based temperature inversion.

If no inversion was observed in the sounding, the surface wind was used for the wind speed at the top of the inversion and the inversion depth and strength were set to 0. Figures 2-6 show scatter plots for persistence, strongest wind in the lowest 5000, 4000, and 3000 ft, and the surface wind at the top of the inversion.

The multiple linear regression equation that combined the strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft with the inversion depth and strength showed the lowest mean absolute error and highest $R^2$ (coefficient of determination) values, therefore showing the greatest skill. The strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft showed the best skill as a single predictor, followed closely by the strongest wind in the 4000 ft and 5000 ft. Figure 7 is a bar graph
comparing the mean absolute error from several regression equations. In regards to mean absolute error, the worst predictors were persistence and the wind speed at the top of the inversion. The differences in mean absolute error were small among the strongest wind in the lowest 3000, 4000, and 5000 ft predictors.

A second prediction equation was created by stratifying each day into one of four categories, based on the existence or non-existence of a temperature inversion and the occurrence or non-occurrence of precipitation at the SLF. A temperature inversion was defined as an increase in temperature from the surface to 500 ft, in order to ignore very shallow and weak inversions that often occur in the morning sounding.

Figures 8 and 9 show vertical profiles of wind speed and temperature for the four categories. The lightest winds occurred on days with an inversion and no precipitation. On days with an inversion, wind speeds tended to increase rapidly from the surface to around 500 ft, increase slowly between 500 ft and 1500 ft, and then remain nearly steady above 1500 ft. On days without an inversion, winds tended to increase rapidly from the surface to around 1000 ft, increase slowly between 1000 ft and 2000 ft, and then remain steady or decrease slowly above 2000 ft. Days with no inversion and no precipitation had the coolest temperature aloft, which indicates the possibility of post-frontal cold-air advection. Days with precipitation had the warmest temperatures. On days with an inversion, the top of the inversion tended to occur around 500 ft.

Figures 10-13 show scatter plots of the strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft for each category. The category with an inversion and no precipitation shows the least amount of scatter across the linear regression line, indicating the best linear relationship between observed peak wind speed and strongest wind speed in the lowest 3000 ft, among the four categories.

Figure 2. Observed peak wind speed versus persistence.

Figure 3. Observed peak wind speed versus strongest wind in the lowest 5000 ft.
Figure 4. Observed peak wind speed versus strongest wind in the lowest 4000 ft.

Figure 5. Observed peak wind speed versus strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft.

Figure 6. Observed peak wind speed versus wind speed at the top of the inversion.

Figure 7. Mean absolute error from several regression equations to predict the daily peak wind speed. PR is persistence, SW-5 to SW-3 is the strongest wind in the lowest 5000 ft to 3000 ft, WS-INF is the wind speed at the top of the inversion, INV-D is inversion depth (in ft), and INV-S is inversion strength (in degrees Celsius).
Figure 8. Observed wind profiles of speed for the four inversion/precipitation categories.

Figure 9. Observed temperature profiles for the four inversion/precipitation categories.

Figure 10. Observed peak wind speed versus strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft, when both an inversion and precipitation are observed.

Figure 11. Observed peak wind speed versus strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft, when an inversion and no precipitation are observed.
A third prediction equation was created by stratifying each day into one of six categories, based on the synoptic weather pattern at 1200 UTC (category name in parentheses):

- Surface high pressure over or near Florida with variable winds across central Florida (P1),
- Surface high pressure north or east of Florida with east winds across central Florida (P2),
- Surface high pressure south or west of Florida with west winds across central Florida (P3),
- Front approaching Florida from the north (P4),
- Front across central Florida (P5), and
- Front to the south of central Florida (P6).

Figures 14 and 15 show vertical profiles of wind speed and temperature for the six categories. Wind speeds aloft are similar for days with surface fronts approaching or across Florida. Winds are weakest aloft when surface high pressure is over or near Florida. Each weather pattern contains a surface-based temperature inversion, although the inversion is strongest when surface high pressure is across Florida.

Figures 16-21 show scatter plots of the strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft for each category. The best linear relationship between observed peak wind speed and strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft occurs when surface high pressure is north or east of Florida. The worst linear relationship occurs when surface high pressure is south or west of Florida.
Figure 14. Vertical profiles of observed wind speed for each of the six synoptic pattern categories.

Figure 15. Vertical profiles of observed temperature for each of the six synoptic pattern categories.

Figure 16. Observed peak wind speed versus strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft, when surface high pressure is across Florida.

Figure 17. Observed peak wind speed versus strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft, when surface high pressure is north or east of Florida.
4. AVERAGE WIND SPEED DURING PEAK WIND SPEED OCCURRENCE

The following candidate predictors were evaluated to predict the 5-minute average wind speed at the time of the peak wind:

- Observed peak wind speed,
- Gust factor, and
- Wind sensor height.

The gust factor is the ratio of the peak to average wind speed over a given time period (5 minutes in this case). Figure 22 shows a scatter plot of peak wind as a predictor. Figures 23 and 24 are scatter
only the observed peak wind speed showed useful skill as a predictor.

Figure 22. Observed 5-minute average wind speed versus observed peak wind speed.

Figure 23. Observed gust factor versus observed peak wind speed.

Figure 24. Observed gust factor versus height of wind sensor.

5. TIMING OF THE PEAK WIND SPEED OF THE DAY

Like the peak wind speed forecast, three separate prediction methods were created to predict the timing of the peak wind speed of the day. The first was a multiple linear regression equation, with inversion depth and strength as predictors. A strong and deep inversion tended to delay the occurrence of the peak wind speed of the day, most likely since it takes longer for higher level winds to mix down to the surface layer. The second method used the average time of peak wind occurrence based on the synoptic weather pattern. The peak wind tended to occur the earliest when there was either a front to the south of central Florida, or high pressure to the south or west of Florida. The peak wind tended to occur the latest when there was either a front approaching Florida from the north, or high pressure across Florida. The third method used the average time of peak wind occurrence based on the inversion/precipitation stratification. The peak wind occurred earliest on days with no inversion or precipitation.
6. PEAK WIND FORECAST TOOL

The forecast tool was created in Microsoft Excel, using the Visual Basic for Applications programming language. In addition to the speed and timing of the daily peak and average wind speed, the tool also predicts the probability of exceeding the warning thresholds for peak wind speeds of 35 kt, 50 kt, and 60 kt. When the forecaster runs the tool, the input Graphical User Interface (GUI) is displayed (Figure 25). After the forecaster has finished entering data, the output GUI displays the predicted values (Figure 26).

The GUI was developed for accuracy, speed, and ease of use. The GUI provides:

- The peak wind speed, timing of the peak wind speed, and 5-minute average speed associated with the peak wind speed calculated from the values input by the forecaster to the GUI in Figure 25. The first and second parameters are calculated from the average of three prediction equations, weighted by each equation’s mean absolute error. The average speed is calculated from the predicted peak wind speed.

- The probability of exceeding the three warning thresholds using the 1-σ error estimates from the linear regression using the following equation (Wilks 2006):

\[
1 - \left[ 0.5 \times \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - e^{-2/\sigma^2}} \right) \right]
\]

where \(x\) is the threshold value (35, 50, or 60), \(y\) is the predicted peak wind speed, and \(z\) is the predicted sigma (estimated error of the linear regression equation).

- Internal consistency checks for the input data. For example, if there is a surface-based temperature inversion, then the temperature at the top of the inversion must be warmer than the surface.
The third equation also used the strongest wind in the lowest 3000 ft as a predictor, and stratified the data into six categories:

- Surface high pressure near or over Florida,
- Surface high north or east of Florida,
- Surface high south or west of Florida,
- Surface front approaching Florida from the north,
- Surface front over central Florida, and
- Surface front over south Florida.

The predicted peak wind speed used the average of the three equations, weighted by each equation’s mean absolute error.

Three predictors were evaluated for predicting the average wind speed: observed peak wind speed, gust factor, and wind sensor height. Only the observed peak wind speed showed useful skill as a predictor.

The timing of the peak wind speed used three prediction methods. The first was a multiple linear regression with inversion depth and strength as predictors. The second method used the synoptic weather pattern as its only predictor, while the third method used the inversion/precipitation stratification as the predictor. The predicted timing of the peak wind speed used the average of the three methods.

A GUI was developed to manage the inputs, calculations, and display of final results. The GUI displays the expected peak wind speed, its timing, and the mean wind speed associated with the peak wind. The GUI also provides the probability of meeting the three wind warnings issued by 45 WS: ≥ 35 kt, ≥ 50 kt, and ≥ 60 kt. The probabilities are based on the estimated error of the linear regression equations.
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