1. INTRODUCTION

Although a supercell thunderstorm may last for several hours, evolutionary processes within the storm—especially those related to the formation of microbursts and tornadoes—can occur on the scale of a few minutes. With the operational WSR-88D Doppler radar collecting volume scans at 4– to 6–min intervals, the initiation and descent of hazardous conditions is difficult to monitor. Unlike the WSR–88D, which uses a mechanically scanned beam, the electronically scanned beam of a phased array radar (PAR) permits greater scanning versatility and can collect 1–min volume scans in 90° sectors (e.g., Heinselman et al. 2008).

In late 2003, a 9.4–cm–wavelength (S–band) phased array Doppler radar became operational at the National Weather Radar Testbed in Norman, Oklahoma (e.g., Zrnić et al. 2007). The radar’s 3.66–m–wide flat–plate antenna consists of 4352 transmit–receive elements. When the beam is perpendicular to the plate, the half–power beamwidth is 1.5°. When the beam is ±45° from the perpendicular, the beamwidth is 2.1°. Since the beamwidth varies as the inverse cosine of the angle from the perpendicular, the beamwidth is essentially uniform (1.5–1.7°) within ±30° of the perpendicular. In comparison, the effective horizontal beamwidth of a WSR–88D is approximately 1.4° for 1.0° azimuthal sampling (e.g., Brown et al. 2002).

During the early afternoon of 24 May 2008, a tornadic supercell thunderstorm entered southern Garfield County in north-central Oklahoma and moved slowly eastward along the county’s southern border. Between approximately 1930 and 2230 UTC, a series of about 8 tornadoes were associated with the storm. Damage surveys indicated tracks ranging from 0.1 km to 16 km in length.

During the period that tornadoes were being produced, the storm was scanned by the PAR and the WSR–88D KTLX radar that is located about 20 km to the northeast of the PAR (Fig. 1). The PAR initially collected 45°–wide volume scans, centered on the storm, every 0.5 min. Collection had been changed to 90°–wide volume scans every 1.0 min prior to the time period discussed in this paper. KTLX completed full 360° volume scans in 4.2 min.

In this paper, we present some preliminary comparisons of the 1.0–min PAR and 4.2–min KTLX measurements during the time period that the longest-lasting tornado was occurring. At the time that this paper was written, not all of the radar characteristics of the storm had been analyzed. The results of more thorough analyses will be presented at a later date.

2. METHOD

During the time period that KTLX scanned the supercell storm, data were collected using Volume Coverage Pattern 12 (e.g., Brown et al. 2005). Each 360° volume scan consisted of 14 elevation angles (0.5, 0.9, 1.3, 1.8, 2.4, 3.1, 4.0, 5.1, 6.4, 8.0, 10.0, 12.5, 15.6, and 19.5°) that were collected at 4.2–min intervals. Data were collected at 1.0° azimuthal intervals. Doppler velocity data were collected and displayed at range intervals of 0.25 km, while the reflectivity data were averaged over four 0.25–km–range intervals and displayed at 1.0–km intervals.

For its 45°– and 90°–wide (0.5 min and 1.0 min) volume scans, the PAR collected data at the following 14 elevation angles: 0.5, 1.1, 1.7, 2.4, 3.2, 4.1, 5.1, 6.2, 7.4, 8.7, 10.1, 11.7, 13.5, and 15.5°. Between the 5.1 and 6.2° elevation angles, the radar made a second 0.5° elevation scan. Reflectivity and Doppler velocity data were collected at 1.0° azimuthal intervals and 0.235 km range intervals. With electronic scanning, the PAR is not subject to the azimuthal smearing of data that is a characteristic of mechanically scanned radars like the WSR–88D.

Though a single Doppler radar does not measure the three–dimensional flow field within a severe thunderstorm, Doppler velocity and reflectivity signatures help to deduce important supercell thunderstorm dynamics. The presence of rotation in a storm produces a unique single Doppler velocity signature. The mesocyclone, which provides the
necessary background cyclonic vorticity for tornado formation in a supercell storm, is revealed in single Doppler velocity data by a region of pronounced flow away from the radar located in a clockwise azimuthal direction from a region of pronounced flow toward the radar. The mean rotational velocity was computed by taking one–half of the difference between maximum flow away from the radar (typically positive) and maximum flow toward the radar (typically negative). Though there is considerable variability from mesocyclone to mesocyclone, mean rotational velocities characteristically are of the order of 25 m s\(^{-1}\) and core diameters (distance between maximum flow toward and away from the radar) characteristically are of the order of 5 km (e.g., Burgess et al. 1982).

The tornado is such a small rotating phenomenon that—when the radar beam is wider than the tornado—the peak values of the resulting tornadic vortex signature (TVS) are approximately one beamwidth apart regardless of tornado size (e.g., Brown et al. 1978). This means that, for a radar that has a beamwidth of 1.0–1.5\(^{\circ}\) and that collects velocity data at azimuthal intervals of 1.0\(^{\circ}\), the peak Doppler velocity values representing flow toward and away from the radar typically are found at adjacent azimuths. In those less common situations when the radar beam is centered on the tornado, the extreme Doppler velocity values are two azimuthal intervals apart. For the preliminary TVS data presented in this paper, the Doppler velocity difference across the TVS was taken as the difference between two adjacent azimuths (sometimes called gate–to–gate differences). Later, the Doppler velocity differences will be reevaluated by manually fitting the Doppler velocity measurements to theoretical TVS curves in order to estimate the difference between the peak Doppler velocity values derived from the curves, rather than using the simpler gate–to–gate values (Brown 1998).

Doppler radar measurements contain several reflectivity signatures that are useful in deducing relative updraft strengths. These signatures include the bounded weak echo region (BWER), evolution of maximum reflectivity at midaltitudes, and the vertical bounded weak echo region (BWER), evolution of relative updraft strengths. These signatures include the reflectivity signatures that are useful in deducing Doppler radar measurements contain several gate–to–gate values (Brown 1998).

Starting at 2135 UTC and lifted at approximately 2215–2220 UTC. Variations in the height of the 30–dBZ tops of updraft/downdraft cells in the Garfield County supercell storm in Fig. 2 show updrafts reaching lesser heights (suggesting slightly weaker updrafts) and a decrease in updraft activity around 2135 UTC. After recovering, there was a briefer decrease in heights of the PAR–detected cells around 2148 UTC. After about 2205 UTC, PAR data indicate that there was a gradual decline in the strength of successive updrafts until about 2228 UTC, after which the PAR measurements indicate an increase in heights.

The mesocyclone data in Fig. 3 indicate that there were two time periods with stronger rotational velocities. The first period, up through about 2135 UTC, was quite variable. Following a period of weaker rotational velocities through about 2142 UTC, more consistent rotational velocities were present through about 2207 UTC. It is interesting that the intermediate period with weaker rotational velocities around 2135 UTC coincides with the weaker updrafts (shorter 30–dBZ cell tops). It may be noted that the PAR data provide much more detail about mesocyclone evolution than do the KTLX data.

Likewise, the PAR data in Fig. 4 provide more details about TVS evolution. For example, between 2130 and 2135 UTC a strong TVS was detected aloft
and it increased in vertical extent as it approached the ground. This likely marked the beginning of the tornado that produced the 16-km-long damage track. However, for some reason, as indicated by the gray region, it was not possible to detect evidence of the tornado for the next 3–4 min even though a region of marked cyclonic shear remained. The gray region corresponds to the same time period when the mesocyclone signature was weak and when the updrafts appeared to be weaker. Both the PAR and KTLX TVS values also were noticeably weaker from about 2151 to 2159 UTC.

There are suggestions in the TVS time–height plots and in the apparent evolution of the low–altitude reflectivity hook echo (not shown) that the 16-km-long damage track may have been produced by more than one tornado. With a slowly moving storm passing over rural areas, it would be difficult to know whether sparse damage along a given damage track was produced by one or by more than one tornado. As mentioned earlier, we will be re-evaluating the PAR TVS data by fitting the data to theoretical TVS Doppler velocity curves (Brown 1998). In this way, we expect the more consistent TVS differences to reveal whether the 16-km-long damage track was produced by one or more than one tornado.

4. DISCUSSION

It is clear from the data presented in Figs. 2–4 that the 1.0-min PAR data provide a much more detailed picture of the processes taking place within a tornadic storm than do the 4.2-min KTLX data. Consequently, one can identify and interpret storm features with greater confidence.

VCP 12 used by KTLX for this study is the fastest of the scanning strategies available to WSR–88D radars. The other precipitation scanning strategies take 5–6 min to complete a volume scan. Therefore, this study provides the most favorable comparison of a WSR–88D data with enhanced resolution. Consequently, one can identify and interpret storm features with greater confidence.

VCP 12 used by KTLX for this study is the fastest of the scanning strategies available to WSR–88D radars. The other precipitation scanning strategies take 5–6 min to complete a volume scan. Therefore, this study provides the most favorable comparison of a WSR–88D data with enhanced resolution. Consequently, one can identify and interpret storm features with greater confidence.
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Fig. 1. Location of the Garfield County supercell thunderstorm relative to the phased array radar (PAR) and the KTLX WSR–88D radar at 2145 UTC.

Fig. 2. Time–height plots of the 30 dBZ tops of individual updraft/downdraft cells as revealed by 4.2–min KTLX data (top) and 1.0–min PAR data (bottom).
Fig. 3. Time–height plots of the mean mesocyclone rotational velocity (m s$^{-1}$) derived from the extreme Doppler velocity values toward and away from the radar associated with the single Doppler velocity mesocyclone signature. The color bar on the right indicates the strength of the mean rotational velocity based on 4.2–min KTLX (top) and 1.0–min PAR (bottom) measurements. White regions indicate that no mesocyclone signatures were present.

Fig. 4. Time–height plots of the gate–to–gate Doppler velocity difference (m s$^{-1}$) across the single Doppler velocity tornadic vortex signature (TVS). The color bar on the right indicates TVS strength based on 4.2–min KTLX (top) and 1.0–min PAR (bottom) measurements. Gray PAR regions indicate that TVS–scale cyclonic shear was present, but distinctive TVSs were not identifiable. White regions indicate that TVS–scale cyclonic shear was not present.