1. INTRODUCTION

Since the advent of meteorological satellites in the 1960’s, numerous experiments have been conducted in order to evaluate the impact of these and other data on atmospheric analysis and prediction. Such studies have included both OSE’S and OSSE’s. The OSE’s were conducted to evaluate the impact of specific observations or classes of observations on analyses and forecasts. Such experiments have been performed for selected types of conventional data and for various satellite data sets as they became available. (See for example the 1989 ECMWF/EUMETSAT workshop proceedings on “The use of satellite data in operational numerical weather prediction” and the references contained therein.) The OSSE’s were conducted to evaluate the potential for future observing systems to improve Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) and to plan for the Global Weather Experiment and more recently for EOS (Atlas et al., 1985a; Arnold and Dey, 1986; Hoffman et al., 1990). In addition, OSSE’s have been run to evaluate trade-offs in the design of observing systems and observing networks (Atlas and Emmitt, 1991; Rohaly and Krishnamurti, 1993), and to test new methodology for data assimilation (Atlas and Bloom, 1989).

2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Although there are many possibilities for how an OSE may be conducted, the most typical procedure is as follows: First a “Control” data assimilation cycle is performed. This is followed by one or more experimental assimilations in which a particular type of data (or specific observations) are either withheld or added to the Control. Forecasts are then generated from both the Control and experimental assimilations every few days (to achieve relative independence of the forecast sample). The analyses and forecasts from each assimilation are then verified and compared in order to determine the impact of each data type being evaluated.

Experiments performed in this manner provide a quantitative assessment of the value of a selected type of data to the specific data assimilation system (DAS) that was used. In addition, the OSE also provides useful information on the effectiveness of the DAS. This information can be used to improve the utilization of this and other data in the DAS, as well as to determine the value of the data.

The methodology currently used for OSSE’s is very similar to that described above for OSE’s. However, this methodology has evolved considerably since these experiments were first carried out in the 1950’s and 60’s (Arnold and Dey, 1986). The earliest simulation studies proceeded according to the following sequence of steps. First, an artificial history of the atmosphere was created by numerical integration of a model. Second, simulated “data” were created from the history by the addition of random variations to the history values for temperature, wind, and pressure. Third, the numerical integration that created the history was repeated, but with the meteorological variables in the model replaced by the simulated data at locations and times corresponding to the assumed pattern of observations.

OSSE’s of this type were conducted by Charney et al. (1969), Halem and Jastrow (1970), Jastrow and Halem (1970, 1973), Williamson and Kasahara (1971), Kasahara (1972), Gordon et al. (1972), and others in preparation for the Global Weather Experiment. These studies provided an analysis of the Global Atmospheric Research Program (GARP) data requirements, the “useful” range of predictability, and the need for reference level data. From the results, it was concluded that the assimilation of satellite-derived temperature profiles meeting the GARP data specifications would yield a substantial improvement to the accuracy of numerical weather forecasts. A later study by Cane et al. (1981) using a modified OSSE procedure indicated similar potential for satellite surface wind data.

An examination of the underlying rationale for the early simulation studies (Jastrow and Halem, 1973), as well as a comparison of the results of the above studies with the results of subsequent real data im-
impact tests (e.g. Halem et al., 1982; Baker et al., 1984) indicated several important limitations. The most important weakness stems from the fact that the same numerical model was used both to generate the simulated observations and to test the effectiveness of these observations. (This is referred to as the “identical twin” problem.) Other weaknesses relate to the model-dependence of the studies and the specification of observational errors as random. These weaknesses can lead to overly optimistic results and incorrect conclusions from an OSSE.

The current methodology used for OSSE’s was designed to increase the realism and usefulness of such experiments (Atlas et al., 1985b). In essence, the analysis/forecast simulation system consists of the following elements:

1. A long atmospheric model integration using a very high resolution “state of the art” numerical model to provide a complete record of the assumed “true” state of the atmosphere (referred to as the “nature run” or “reference atmosphere”). For the OSSE to be meaningful, it is essential that the nature run be realistic, i.e. possess a model climatology, average storm tracks, etc. that agrees with observations to within prespecified limits.

2. Simulated conventional and space-based observations from the nature run. All of the observations should be simulated with observed (or expected) coverages, resolution, and accuracy. In addition, bias and horizontal and vertical correlations of errors with each other and with the synoptic situation should be introduced appropriately. Two approaches have been used for this purpose (Atlas et al., 1985b; Hoffman et al., 1990). The simpler approach is to interpolate the nature run values to the observation locations and then add appropriate errors. The more complicated (and expensive) approach is to attempt to retrieve observations from the nature run in the same way as observations are retrieved from the real atmosphere.

3. Control and experimental data assimilation cycles. These are identical to the assimilation cycles in an OSE except that only simulated data are assimilated. In order to avoid the identical twin problem, a different model from that used to generate the nature run is used for assimilation and forecasting. Typically this model has less accuracy and resolution than the nature model. Ideally, the differences between the assimilation and nature models should approximate the differences between a “state of the art” model and the real atmosphere.

4. Forecasts produced from the Control and Experimental assimilations. As with the OSE’s, forecasts are generated every few days to develop an independent sample. The analyses and forecasts are then verified against the nature run to obtain a quantitative estimate of the impact of proposed observing systems and the expected accuracies of the analysis and forecast products that incorporate the new data.

An important component of the OSSE that improves the interpretation of results is validation against a corresponding OSE. In this regard, the accuracy of analyses and forecasts and the impact of already existing observing systems in simulation is compared with the corresponding accuracies and data impacts in the real world. Ideally, both the simulated and real results should be similar. Under these conditions, no calibration is necessary and the OSSE results may be interpreted directly. If this is not the case, then calibration of the OSSE results can be attempted by determining the constant of proportionality between the OSE and OSSE impact as described by Hoffman et al. (1990).

3. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

A large number of observing system experiments (OSE’s) have been conducted to assess the impact of different types of atmospheric observations. In general, these experiments have shown space-based observing systems to be very important components of the Global Observing System. Nevertheless, conventional surface-based observations are still of critical importance.

Observing system simulation experiments (OSSE’s) provide an effective means to evaluate the potential impact of a proposed observing system, as well as to determine tradeoffs in their design, and to evaluate data assimilation methodology. Great care must be taken to ensure realism of the OSSE’s, and in the interpretation of OSSE results. OSSE’s have shown considerable potential for space-based lidar wind profiles and for improved space-based surface winds. However, quantitative results from OSSE’s may only be valid for instruments meeting the expected accuracies and coverages that were simulated, and for the data assimilation systems used in the particular OSSE.

At the conference, results will be presented from OSE’s and OSSE’s that have been conducted over the last several years at the DAO. These will include OSE’s to assess the impact of current satellite observations in the DAO’s data assimilation system, and OSSE’s aimed at assessing the future impact of space-based lidar winds and other advanced sounders, as well as
experiments to evaluate trade-offs in the design of future observing systems
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