Gravity waves can influence precipitation by introducing periodic variations in its intensity. Eom (1975) wave conceptual model has been widely applied and successfully explained many observations of heavy precipitation bands in terms of wave-induced rising and sinking motions. In this model the waves are trapped (no tilt of phase lines with respect to height), and the greatest precipitation rate occurs between the maximum upward motion and the trailing wave ridge due to a time lag between the onset of vertical motions and cloud formation (Fig. 1).

Uccellini and Koch (1987) proposed a model for the occurrence of mesoscale gravity waves which has been further refined by Koch and O’Handley (1997). It requires both a synoptic-scale setting for their generation by geostrophic adjustment and a maintenance mechanism by wave ducting.

During MAP experiment the University of Turin deployed in the western part of the Po valley a microbarometric network and a high precision pluviometer. During IOP8 of MAP (20-21 October 1999) the meteorological setting was favorable to the above mentioned mechanisms of generation and maintenance of gravity waves during conditions of moderate, stratiform rain. In particular, from 0600 h - 1200 h local time of 21 October, a simultaneous increase of gravity wave activity and rain rate was observed (Fig. 2).

In this 6 hours interval pressure and rain rate show the same periodical behaviour, with a period of about 66 minutes for the pressure and about 64 minutes for the rain (Fig. 3). The wave propagated with a phase speed of $25 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, implying a wavelength of about 100 km.

The cross-correlation between the two sets of raw data is significant at the level $\alpha = 0.01$ for about 4 cycles (Fig. 4). The time lag between the two signals is equal to 20 minutes, with the rain rate following the pressure. If the delay (5 minutes) introduced at these frequencies by the microbarometer (Richardone 1993) is taken into account (Fig. 5), the time lag between pressure and rain rate becomes equal to 15 minutes, which is almost equal to one quarter of a period.
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