EVALUATION OF EXPERIMENTAL DATA FROM THE GAINS BALLOON GPS SURFACE REFLECTION INSTRUMENT

George G. Ganoe * NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton Virginia

Thomas A. Johnson, John Ryan Somero Aerospace Innovations, LLC, Yorktown, Virginia

Abstract—The GPS Surface Reflection Instrument was integrated as an experiment on the GAINS (Global Airocean IN-situ System) 48-hour balloon mission flown in June 2002. The data collected by similar instruments in the past has been used to measure sea state from which ocean surface winds can be accurately estimated. The GPS signal has also been shown to be reflected from wetland areas and even from subsurface moisture.

The current version of the instrument has been redesigned to be more compact, use less power, and withstand a greater variation in environmental conditions than previous versions. This instrument has also incorporated a new data collection mode to track 5 direct satellites (providing a continuous navigation solution) and multiplex the remaining 7 channels to track the reflected signal of the satellite tracked in channel 0. The new software mode has been shown to increase the signal to noise ratio of the collected data and enhance the science return of the instrument.

During the GAINS balloon flight over the Northwest US, the instrument measured surface reflections as they were detected over the balloon's ground track. Since ground surface elevations in this area vary widely from the WGS-84 ellipsoid altitude, the instrument software has been modified to incorporate a surface altitude correction based on USGS 30-minute Digital Elevation Models. Information presented will include facts about instrument design goals, data collection methodologies and algorithms, and will focus on results of the science data analyses for the mission.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Global Positioning System (GPS) signal has been shown to be reflected from bodies of water, marshland areas, wet soil, and even from subsurface moisture. An instrument has been developed by the NASA Langley Research Center with the ability to record the power of the reflected signal over a range of pre-selected time delays. Various implementations of this instrument technology have been used to collect reflected GPS data, primarily over the oceans and seas¹. The data collected has been shown to be useful for measurement of sea surface roughness² and surface wind speed³. Continuing studies are underway to determine other potential uses for those data sets. Our current experiment seeks to determine if there may be value to making measurements over terrestrial areas for measurement of soil moisture or other remote sensing applications. A new implementation of the GPS surface reflection instrument has been designed and built with the goal of being compatible with high altitude balloon environments. The software for the new instrument has incorporated the capability to make measurements using both of the most useful modes from previous implementations as well as some new functionality considered necessary for terrestrial applications. This paper describes the target mission for the new instrument, the instrument background and capabilities, a summary of the instrument performance during the flight, and some preliminary analysis results of the data received.

2 MISSION BACKGROUND

It has long been known that various materials including metallic objects and bodies of water reflect the signals transmitted from the GPS satellite constellation⁴. While these reflections can be sources of error for the intended use of the GPS signal to provide accurate position information, the information that may be gleaned from measuring the reflected signal has been shown to be useful.

2.1 Past Missions

The GPS surface reflection instrument has flown on aircraft and balloon missions in the past. These missions have been primarily flown over water with the purpose of validating concepts for determining the sea state and hence wind speed and direction from the scattered reflected signal received by the instrument. Good correlation with

^{*} *Corresponding author address:* George G. Ganoe, NASA Langley Research Center, MS 328, Hampton, Va. 23681-0001; e-mail: g.g.ganoe@larc.nasa.gov

comparative data has been shown by researchers⁵.

Numerous aircraft flights have been conducted using the early instrument version, and recently some have been conducted using a reconfigured version of the compact GPS reflection surface receiver built for the GAINS balloon mission.



Figure 1. Representative power vs. delay measurements for terrain sampling

3

2.2 Evidence for soil moisture

Some data sets included data taken while the specular reflection point of the GPS signal being monitored was located over inland

areas. In many of these instances, some reflected signal power was where received no apparent reflection sources were available. The strength of the reflected signal appeared to be correlated to the level of moisture in the soil at the reflection point. In order to corroborate that finding, an additional data set has been collected during a flight over East Texas⁶ which shows evidence that the reflected signal power varies monotonically with the level of soil moisture in the ground. An example of the power versus delay measured for some representative samples is shown in Figure 1. The horizontal scale represents the time delay in code chips, and the vertical scale is a relative power measured in digital units.

INSTRUMENT BACKGROUND

The GPS Surface Reflection Instrument (GSRI) consists of a PC compatible computer running the DOS operating system and a GPS



Figure 2. GPS Surface Reflection Instrument integration on GAINS Balloon

receiver peripheral compatible with the GPS Builder II from the company formerly known as GEC Plessey Semiconductors. A photo montage depicting the instrument and its integration into the GAINS Balloon gondola is shown in Figure 2. This hardware runs a modified version of the GPS Builder II development software. The modified software currently being used is capable of being operated in one of four operational modes. The default mode provides a standard GPS receiver capability which uses all 12 correlator channels to receive signals from one (selected by a startup option) of the two antenna inputs. The default mode is used extensively for performing system functionality checks on the ground, and for comparing the sensitivity of the two RF input channels. The other three modes split the 12 correlator channels between the antennas and some channels receive direct signals while the rest receive the reflected signal data of interest. The data from these channels is then saved for later post flight processing to extract the desired information. The three data collection modes are described in a subsequent section. Since the elevation of the ground reflection point for the desired signals can not be calculated accurately over areas where the actual elevation varies from the WGS-84 ellipsoid, an optional elevation correction technique has been incorporated into the software to insure that the time delay range of reflected signals being scanned is reasonable. The elevation correction technique can be selected as a start up option for either of the two modes that have the ability to compute real time position solutions. That elevation correction technique is described in the next section.

1									
Data type	variable Name	Description							
Float	minLat	minimum latitude of data in file							
Float	minLon	minimum longitude of data in file							
Float	maxLat	maximum latitude of data in file							
Float	maxLon	maximum longitude of data in file							
Float	nlat	number of discrete points of latitude along a single degree of longitude (=[maxLat- minLat]/delta)							
Float	nlon	number of discrete points of longitude along a single degree of latitude (=[maxLon- minLon]/delta)							
Float	delta	delta latitude (in degrees) of data set							

3.1 *Elevation Correction*

The flight of the GSRI on the GAINS balloon mission carried the instrument over a ground track that varies widely from the WGS-84 ellipsoid. Since the GSRI software assumes reflections are WGS-84 centered about the ellipsoid, modifications were required in order to collect relevant data onboard the GAINS balloon. The GSRI software was modified to account for deviations from the WG-84 ellipsoid by utilizing the GSRI position and an elevation data set that was derived from the USGS 30 minute digital elevation map.

Since on-board storage space available for this function was limited to 8 MBytes, a file containing the digital elevation map for the area of interest was constructed from the USGS data set. The elevation data file header contains the information required by the GSRI software to easily index to the requested elevation data based on the GPS position solution (that is already produced by the GSRI). The software uses the current GPS latitude and longitude and calculates the file position in the elevation map file based on the header information provided. The elevation data is then read from the file as a binary floating point value. The algorithm provided a simple and fast solution for obtaining the necessary elevation data without requiring a lot of memory or processing power. The data file header is detailed in Table 1. After the header, the file is packed with floating point values representing discrete elevations. The order of the elevations is detailed as follows:

[min lat,	[min lat,		[min lat,					
min lon]	min lon + delta]		max lon]					
[min lat + delta,	[min lat + delta,		[min lat + delta,					
min lon]	min lon + delta]		max lon]					
,								
[max lat - delta,	[max lat - delta,		[max lat - delta,					
min lon]	min lon + delta]		max lon]					
[max lat,	[max lat,		[max lat,					
min lon]	min lon + delta]		max lon]					

3.2 Data Collection Modes

The current GSRI hardware provides a single 12 channel GPS correlator to correlate data between the RF interface receiving the direct GPS signals (RF0) and the RF interface receiving those same signals reflected from the Earth's surface (RF1). Each of the 12 channels must be assigned to a particular satellite on one of the RF interfaces. The initial software tracked 6 direct satellites on the RF0 interface, and used the remaining 6 channels to monitor the reflected signals from each of those satellites on the RF1 interface. Since only one reflected channel exists for a particular satellite and multiple delay bins are needed, each measurement cycle (1 millisecond) the delay offset of the reflected channels is incremented by a half codechip step. Thus the desired delay bins are sequentially updated once each tick (0.1 seconds). This software provides a single correlation for each satellite (over several measurement cycles), while providing a GPS position solution. In the current software this mode is called the STEP mode. To increase the data return of a single satellite, a second version of software was created to track 2 direct satellites on the RF0 interface and split the remaining 10 channels to track 5 reflected channels for each satellite. The code delay for each of the 5 reflected channels is offset by 1 codechip step from the previous channel with the delay for the starting channel set by the expected path length delay determined by calculation using the WGS-84 elevation at the specular reflection point. Therefore multiple measurements at different offsets are collected in parallel on the particular satellite during each measurement cycle. While it is advantageous to gather more data on a given satellite, this version of software could not provide a position solution requiring the operator to know which satellites were in view (preventing autonomous operations). This mode is known as 2SV.

A new data collection mode is a compromise between collecting multiple measurements in parallel at different delay offsets each measurement cycle and providing a position solution for autonomous operations. The new mode tracks 5 direct satellites on the RF0 interface in order to provide a position solution. The other 7 channels are used to track the reflected signal of the satellite tracked in the first channel, with the delay offsets separated by 1 code chip step per channel. With two correlations per channel, this yields 14 half code chip delay This mode is called 1SV. bins. The main disadvantage to this software mode is that data is collected on a single satellite. The ideal solution would be to collect data at multiple codechip offsets on multiple satellites. One enhancement for this software is to multiplex the data collection (switch the satellite tracked by the 7 reflected channels). The 7 reflected channels would switch between collecting data on each of the 5 satellites tracked for the position solution. This would

provide a position solution, and provide multiple measurements on up to 5 satellites.

4 CURRENT MISSION

Since the number of data sets for evaluating the capability of the GPS surface reflection instrument to measure soil moisture and other terrestrial applications is currently very small, the GAINS balloon opportunity is particularly valuable. This mission provided the ability to collect over 12 hours of reflected signal data over varying terrain types in the Northeast United States. The information presented here consists of the approaches that were used for data collection, a summary of the data sets received, and a look at some early results from the data analysis. The conference presentation will detail the status of the data analysis that can be completed by then.

A flight timeline for the GSRI has been included as an appendix which shows; the data segments that were recorded along with their mode types, a profile of the instrument chassis temperature, and profiles of the recorded latitude, longitude, and altitude during the flight. While usable data sets were returned during the majority of the flight, we are investigating some anomalies



Figure 3 - Flight track from valid data sets

that occurred during some segments. The image in Figure 3 shows the track as retrieved from the valid data sets.

4.1 Data Collection Approach

The data collection approach was selected to provide a balanced data set from the most useful of the data collection modes currently available. Since the actual path of the balloon and the timeline for the path were not known in advance, the data collection automatically rotated through the selected modes. Each mode was exercised for 30 minutes, then the next mode in the sequence was exercised. The resulting collection of data sets provided data from each mode over a variety of terrain types. The modes selected were the STEP mode and the 1SV mode. Each of those modes was operated half the time with elevation aiding turned on, and the other half with elevation aiding turned off.

4.2 Data Analysis Approach

While the primary purpose of this flight was to provide flight test experience for the instrument in the balloon environment, the potential for collecting valuable research data could not be ignored. The recorded data set has been reviewed to determine what segments have returned useful reflected signal data. The specular reflection point for some of those segments has been plotted in a Geographic Information System (GIS), and additional segments will be plotted. Detailed maps of the plotted areas will be obtained in order to find tracks that are useful for finding correlation between the actual ground conditions and the measured reflected signal. When a suitable track is found, the received data will be correlated with the estimated ground conditions. If actual ground conditions can be determined by some corroborating ground truth, then those conditions will be used and given extra weight in the process of trying to deduce an algorithm for extracting the ground conditions from the received data. The data sets will be made available to interested researchers for their own analyses via a web site that has been set up for this purpose.

DATA ANALYSIS

5

Before data analysis can be done, the recorded data sets are retrieved from the instrument, and reviewed for validity. The valid data sets are then separated into groups by the operating mode for the data set. For each group, processing software adapted from the GPS ocean reflection research is used to provide unified data files containing the time, location, and signal parameters needed to perform the desired data analysis. As the data analysis progresses, the processing software will be modified further to reflect the unique features needed for analysis of terrestrial data. The results of the test of the elevation aiding algorithm to compensate for differences between the WGS-84 ellipsoid and actual elevations has provided inconclusive results for this mission. While the elevation aiding data sets appear to provide useful data, all of the valid sets occurred over relatively low elevations where the correction was not absolutely required. Although the balloon passed over some higher elevation areas of Oregon, the timing of the data passes with elevation aiding turned on did not coincide.

5.1 *Requirements for valid data*

In order for the collected data to be valid, there were two factors that must have been satisfied. The first was that at least four satellites must have been tracked in order to give an accurate position solution. The second was that in 1-SV mode the satellite tracked in channel zero



Figure 4 - Data tracks from the 1SV group

must have been a valid satellite with current ephemeris data. Several Data sets were rejected because they did not track a valid satellite in channel zero. Several data sets also included reflection data from PRN17. The Navy no longer collects ephemeris data for this and therefore satellite data from PRN17 was also labeled as invalid, however, other sources are being explored to acquire the necessary ephemeris data.

Data was collected on the 21st and 22nd of June, however, it



Figure 5 - 1SV data from Pacific coast line

appeared that there was an anomaly when the mission went into its second day. The anomaly, possibly a malfunction of the watchdog timer, is under investigation. This caused several data sets on the 22nd to be disregarded, as they did not contain all of the necessary data files.

5.2 Analysis of valid data

Preliminary data analysis of the valid data sets from the 1SV group and the STEP group are presented in the following sections.

5.2.1 1-SV mode

The valid data sets for the 1-SV mode were processed into comma delimited text files listing the latitude, longitude, and intensity of the reflected signals. The 1-SV data followed closely to the track of the balloon as it received its reflection data from the satellite most directly overhead (Figure 4). The 1-SV data gave strong



Figure 6 - 1SV data crossing the Willamette River



reflections off water as it passed over the Pacific Ocean and the Willamette River as seen in figures 5 and 6.

The 1-SV mode also returned reflection data while the balloon was flying over land. Although these reflections did not have as high intensity as those over water, several times there were significant signals received. As seen in Figure 7, there was a significant signal reflection received off Interstate 5.

Interstate 5 however has an on-ramp from Wilsonville road at the location where the high signal reflection was received. Interstate onramps are comprised of steel reinforcement beams, which may be responsible for the high signal reflections. High levels of reflection over land was seen only over these interstate sections and may have been caused by the steel within the interstate, however, lower power signal reflections were received for a majority of the mission (Figure 8). These signals likely correlate with the



Figure 8 - 1SV data north of Toledo, Or



Figure 9 - Data tracks from STEP group

presence of moisture in the soil. Characterization of the amount of soil moisture present, and the determination of its location (at or beneath the surface) can not yet be determined from the current analysis techniques being used.

5.2.2 STEP mode

The STEP mode appeared to have more difficulties due to the watchdog timer error than the 1-SV mode. Only five data sets from the STEP mode collected all of the necessary data to give valid reflection signals, however, as shown by Figure 9, these five data sets still cover a great portion of Oregon. The STEP mode data sets give a wider area of data per each data set because they were tracking the reflections from up to six satellites at once. This gives the multiple lines of data along the same track that is seen in figures 10 and 11. Also seen in figures 10 and 11, the STEP mode collected strong signals over both the Pacific Ocean and the Columbia River.

The STEP mode also gave lower power signal reflections while over land, seen also in figure 10, which would indicate that the STEP mode could also detect the presence of soil moisture. The STEP mode's tracking of six satellites reflections gave the large spread of data, but it also showed data for satellites that were moving toward the

horizon. As the reflected signal moves toward the horizon, the usefulness of the data diminishes.

CONCLUSIONS

6

In this paper, we have reported the operational results to date of the GPS Surface Reflection Instrument on the GAINS balloon mission. We have discussed the mission background, highlighting the evidence for the usefulness of the reflected GPS signal for remote sensing. That section also cited the potential of utilizing the reflected signal over terrestrial areas for measurement of the ground soil moisture. We then discussed the capabilities of the GSRI as it has been configured for the GAINS balloon mission. The instrument capabilities of elevation correction and its multiple modes of data collection provide a versatile basis for an initial exploration of the potential for utilization of the



Figure 10 - STEP data over Pacific Ocean



Figure 11 - STEP data over Columbia River

terrestrial reflected GPS signal. We then provided an overview of the data collection methodology for creating the data set, and the approach that was used for the initial analysis of that data set. As a result of the initial analysis, as well as from other measurement campaigns that have been conducted, the evidence for good correlation between the measured reflected signal strength and ground surface soil moisture is very strong. Additional measurement campaigns over instrumented terrain are in progress or being planned. That should result in the development of a quantitive relationship between the reflected signal measurements and the existing ground conditions as the research proceeds.

¹ Garrison, J. L., Katzberg, S. J. and Howell, C. T., III, "Detection of Ocean Reflected GPS Signals: Theory and Experiment," IEEE Southeastcon, April 1997. ² Garrison, J. L. and Katzberg, S. J. "Effect of sea roughness on bistatically scattered range coded signals from the Global Positioning System," Geophysical Research Letters, vol. 25, No. 13, pp. 2257-2260, July 1, 1998.

³ Lin, B., Katzberg, S. J., Garrison, J. L., and Wielicki, B. A., "The relationship between the GPS signals reflected from sea surface and the surface winds: modeling results and comparisons with aircraft measurements," accepted by J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 1999.

⁴ Cohen, C., and Parkinson, B., "Mitigating Multipath Error in GPS-Based Attitude Determination," *Guidance and Control 1991, vol. 74, Advances in the astronautical Sciences*, edited by R. Culp and J. McQuerry, American Astronautical Society, 1991, pp. 53-68.

⁶ Personal correspondence about a paper in preparation

Appendix - Flight timeline for GSRI on the GAINS Balloon Mission

	20020622.016 - 1SV standard												Ē
06/22 07;00	20020622.014 - 1SV standard					06/22 07:00	}	R					=
06/22 06:00	20020622.012 - 1SV standard					83		Γ¥.	1				=
	20020622.010 - 1SV standard					06: 06:	[\swarrow	/				Ē
06/22 05:00	20020622.00e - 1SV standard					06/22 05:00	\Box						
	20020622.00c - 1SV standard						11	1					—
06/22 04:00	20020622.00b - STEP w/elev aid					06/22 04:00	17	1					
06/22 03:00	20020622.00a - STEP standard 20020622.009 - STEP standard 20020622.008 - ISV w/elev aid					06/22 03:00		V VA					
00 73	20020622.007 - 1SV standard					83		\wedge					—
06/ 02:	20020622.006 - 1SV w/elev aid					05:00		\square					
51 S	20020622.003 - ISV standard					<u>1</u> 8		-					Ē
06/2 01:0	20020622.003 - 1SV standard					06/2 01:0			<u> </u>				<u> </u>
	-20020622.002 - 1SV w/elev aid -20020622.001 - 1SV standard								- Υ				
5/22						575 100							<u> </u>
88	20020621.015 - 1SV standard					80			1	λ			
	20020621.014 - 1SV w/elev aid 20020621.013 - 1SV standard					1.0							
06/2 23:0	20020621.012 - 1SV w/elev aid					06/2 23:0	1						<u> </u>
	20020621.011 - 1SV standard	_								1			<u> </u>
06/21 22:00	20020622.010 - STEP w/elev aid 20020622.00f - STEP standard					06/21 22:00					itude		
	20020622.00e - 1SV w/elev aid										Long		—
06/21 21:00	20020622.00d - 1SV standard					06/21 21:00			itude		\rightarrow		
00						0.51			Lat	X.			=
06/ 20:	-20020622.00b - STEP standard					06/" 20:0						1	
5/21 00	20020622.00a - 1SV w/elev aid					5/21 5/21		itude					<u> </u>
19	20020622.009 - 1SV standard					06		Alti				54	<u> </u>
06/21 8:00	20020622.008 - STEP w/elev aid			ľ		6/21 8:00						\sim	
10	20020622.007 - STEP standard					0			<u>_</u>				₽
06/21 17:00	20020622.006 - 1SV w/elev aid					06/21 17:00			>		h.,		F
20	20020622.005 - 1SV standard								/		1	(=
06/21 16:00	20020622.004 - STEP w/elev aid					06/21 16:00							—
06/21 15:00	20020622.003 - STEP standard 20020622.002 - STEP standard 20020622.001 - 1SV w/elev aid					06/21 15:00		1					
<u> </u>	20020622.000 - 1SV standard	-									•		<u> </u>
06/21 14:00						5/21 4:00	-	- 0	- 10				
		07		- 6 6	07 0	01	0,000	6,000 Ititud neters	2,000	S000 GSRI	4000	0	4000
l name ne (UTC)	ode	n rvals	age.				00	30 J 3. A in r	000	001	00	30	00
	m/y/m	sitio Inter	aver ure o	assis C)			121	121 Long eg m	I 22 BAID	CSR CSR	123	123	124
gna k tin	ectol	d Po tion	ning verati	ll ch ree (0	de in d	- S	0	-	-	0
Si Cloc	Din	Vali Solu	Runi temp	GSF (deg			45 4	45 3 Latitu deg m	45 2 GAIN	45 1 GSR	45 0	45	44 4