Robert J. Kuligowski* NOAA/NESDIS/ORA, Camp Springs, MD

> Jung-Sun Im IMSG Corporation, Lanham, MD

1. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

Remote sensing of precipitation has attracted interest from a wide variety of users due to its ability to offer information at much higher spatial and temporal resolutions, and over much larger areas, than would be possible using in situ measurements such as rain gauges. However, accuracy problems continue to plague both radar- and satellite-based estimates of precipitation, and consequently much work has focused on blending information from multiple remote senging platforms, such as satellite-based infrared and ground-based radar (e.g., Gourley et al. 2002) and satellite-based infrared (IR) and microwave (e.g., Sorooshian et al., 2000; Huffman et al. 2001; Todd et al. 2001; Turk et al. 2003; Miller et al 2001).

Lightning data represent another source of useful information for remote sensing of precipitation Numerous authors have already demonstrated the utility of these data for a wide range of a range of space-time scales, from Mohr et al. (1996) and Toracinta et al.'s (1996) studies of mesoscale convective systems using lightning, radar, and Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) data, to Sheridan et al. (1996) and Petersen and Rutledge's (1998) analysis of large-scale relationships between lightning and precipitation. A number of retrieval techniques have also been developed, ranging from lightning-alone approaches (Tapia et al. 1998) to approaches that use infrared and lightning data together (Grecu et al. 2000; Morales and Anagnostou 2003).

In this work, lightning data are being incorporated into the Self-Calibrating Multivariate Precipitation Retrieval (ScaMPR) algorithm, which is a flexible precipitation estimation framework that uses microwave data (but could also use radar data) to modify its calibration in real time..

As described in Kuligowski (2002), the objective of SCaMPR is to produce precipitation estimates with the accuracy of microwave-based estimates (which are generally agreed to be superior in accuracy to infrared estimates at the instantaneous time scale) with the frequency of infrared-based estimates (since microwavebased estimates are available only several times a day with a data latency time of several hours). ScaMPR uses microwave-based estimates of precipitation from the SSM/I based on the Ferraro (1997) algorithm (and now also the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU) based on the Ferraro et al. (2004) algorithm) as the target precipitation fields. After aggregating the GOES-based predictors to the SSM/I or AMSU-B footprint size and location, the SCaMPR algorithm operates in two phases:

- 1. Rain/no rain discrimination. Discriminant analysis is used to select and calibrate the best predictor variables for discriminating raining from non-raining pixels. The target values are those microwave pixels with rain rates exceeding 1 mm/h.
- Rain rate estimation. Forward screening multiple linear regression is used to select and calibrate the best predictor variables for estimating rain rate for raining pixels. Logarithmically transformed variables are included, since the relationship between IR window brightness temperature and rainfall rate is typically exponential (e.g., Vicente et al. 1998).

SCaMPR presently runs over the continental United States and nearby regions, producing estimates every 15 min at 4-km resolution that are available over the Internet at http://www.orbit.nesdis.noaa.gof/smcd/emb/ff.

2. METHODOLOGY AND ONGOING WORK

Lightning data from the National Lightning Detection Network (NLDN; Cummins et al. 1996) covering the continental United States are being received in real-time and archived at NESDIS. These data, which are point data at discrete times, have been converted to gridded, time-integrated predictors compatible with the spatial scales of the satellite data (15 km for the SSM/I and AMSU-B; 4 km for GOES).

The resulting parameters have been added to the set of predictors from which SCaMPR is allowed to select for both rain/no rain classification. The impacts of these data on SCaMPR performance are still under study at the time of this writing.

3. REFERENCES

- Cummins, K. L., A. Bardo, W. L. Hiscox, R. B. Pyle, and A. E. Pifer, 1996: NLDN'95: A combined TOA/MDF technology upgrade of the U.S. National Lightning Detection Network. Preprints, 12th Int. Conf. on Interactive Infromation and Processing Systems (IIPS) for Meteorology, Oceanography, and Hydrology. Atlanta, GA, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 3467-355.
- Ferraro, R. R., 1997: Special Sensor Microwave Imager derived global rainfall estimates for climatological applications. J. Geophys. Res., 102, 16715-16736.
- ----, F. Weng, N.C. Grody, L. Zhao, H. Meng, C. Kongoli, P. Pellegrino, S. Qiu and C. Dean, 2004: NOAA operational hydrolocial products derived from the

P2.10

^{*} *Corresponding author address*: Dr. Robert J. Kuligowski, E/RA2 RM 712 WWBG, 5200 Auth Rd., Camp Springs, MD 20746-4304; e-mail: Bob.Kuligowski@noaa.gov.

Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU). *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, in press.

- Gourley, J. J., R. A. Maddox, K. W. Howard, and D. W. Burgess, 2002: An exploratory multisensor technique for quantitative estimation of rainfall. *J. Hydrometeor.*, **3**, 166-180.
- Grecu, M., E. N. Anagnostou, and R. F. Adler, 2000: Assessment of the use of lightning information in satellite infrared rainfall estimation. *J. Hydrometeor.*, **1**, 211-221.
- Huffman, G. J., R. F. Adler, M. M. Morrissey, D. T. Bolvin, S. Curtis, R. Joyce, B. McGavock, and J. Susskind, 2001: Global precipitation at one-degree daily resolution from multisatellite observations. *J. Hydrometeor.*, **2**, 36-50.
- Kuligowski, R. J., 2002: A self-calibrating GOES rainfall algorithm for short-term rainfall estimates. *J. Hydrometeor.*, **3**, 112-130.
- Mohr, K. I., E. R. Toracinta, E. J. Zipser, and R. E. Orville, 1996: A comparison of WSR-88D reflectivities, SSM/I brightness temperatures, and lightning for mesoscale convective systems in Texas. Part II: SSM/I brightness temperatures and lightning. J. Appl. Meteor., 35, 919-931.
- Morales, C. A. and E. N. Anagnostou, 2003: Extending the capabilities of high-frequency rainfall estimation from geostationary-based satellite infrared via a network of long-range lightning observations. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, **4**, 141-159.
- Petersen, W. A., and S. A. Rutledge, 1998: On the relationship between cloud-to-ground lightning and rainfall. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103(D12)**, 14025-14040.
- Sheridan, S. C., J. F. Griffiths, and R. É. Orville, 1997: Warm season cloud-to-ground lightning-precipitation relationships in the south-central United States. *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 449-458.
- Sorooshian, S., K.-L. Hsu, X. Gao, H. V. Gupta, B. Imam, and D. Braithwaite, 2000: An evaluation of PERSIANN system satellite-based estimates of tropical rainfall. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **81**, 2035-2046.
- Tapia, A., J. A. Smith, and M. Dixon, 1998: Estimation of convective rainfall from lightning observations. J. Appl. Meteor., 37, 1497-1509.
- Todd, M. C., C. Kidd, D. Kniveton, and T. J. Bellerby, 2001: A combined satellite infrared and passive microwave technique for estimation of small-scale rainfall. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 18, 742-755.
- Toracinta, E. R., K. I. Mohr, E. J. Zipser, and R. E. Orville, 1996: A comparison of WSR-88D reflectivities, SSM/I brightness temperatures, and lightning for mesoscale convective systems in Texas. Part I: Radar reflectivity and lightning. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **35**, 902-918.
- Turk, F. J., E. E. Ebert, H.-J. Oh, B.-J. Sohn, V. Levizanni, E. A. Smith, and R. Ferraro, 2003: Validation of an operational global precipitation analysis at short time scales. Preprints, 12th Conf. on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography, Long Beach, CA, Amer. Meteor. Soc, CD-ROM.

Vicente, G. A., R. A. Scofield, and W. P. Menzel, 1998: The operational GOES infrared rainfall estimation technique. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **79**, 1883-1898.

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the NOAA Environmental Services Data and Information Management (ESDIM) program.

5. DISCLAIMER

The contents of this conference preprint are solely the opinions of the authors and do not constitute a statement of policy, decision, or position on behalf of NOAA or the U. S. Government.